MONTACHUSETT METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION

Transportation Improvement Program FFY2015 - 2018

DRAFT

Comment Period: May 19, 2014 to June 17, 2014



This document was prepared in accordance with 23 USC 450 by the Montachusett Regional Planning Commission with the assistance of the Federal Highway Administration in cooperation with the Massachusetts Department of Transportation, and with the assistance of the Federal Transit Administration.



MONTACHUSETT

REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION

Offices: 1427R Water St., Fitchburg, Massachusetts 01420 (978) 345-7376 Fax: (978) 345-2490

Montachusett Metropolitan Planning Organization Endorsement of the 2015 – 2018 Transportation Improvement Program

Whereas, the Montachusett Metropolitan Planning Organization (MMPO) has completed its review in accordance with 23 CFR Part 450 Section 322 (Development and content of the Metropolitan Transportation Plan) and 23 CFR Part 450 Section 324 (Transportation Improvement Program: General) and hereby certifies that the FFY 2015-2018 TIP is financially constrained and that it conforms to the Montachusett 2012-2035 Regional Transportation Plan. Based on the results of the review and analyses, the Montachusett 2012-2035 Regional Transportation Plan and FFY 2015-2018 TIP are consistent with the air quality goals of, and in conformity with, the Massachusetts State Implementation Plan;

Therefore, the Committee of Signatories representing the Montachusett Metropolitan Planning Organization (MMPO) by a majority vote hereby endorses the Montachusett Region FFY 2015-2018 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP).

| Richard A. Davey, Secretary and CEO | Victor Koivumaki, Chairman |
|---|--|
| Massachusetts Department of Transportation | Montachusett Regional Planning Commission |
| | |
| | |
| Mark Hawke, Chairman | Dean Mazzarella, Mayor |
| Montachusett Regional Transit Authority | City of Leominster |
| | |
| | |
| | <u> </u> |
| Lisa Wong, Mayor | Stephen R. Raymond, Selectmen, Town of Athol |
| City of Fitchburg | Representative, Sub Region 1 |
| | |
| | |
| Sue Lisio, Selectmen, Town of Townsend | Paula Bertram, Selectmen, Town of Lunenburg |
| Representative, Sub Region 2 | Representative, Sub Region 3 |
| | , , |
| | |
| | |
| Jean M. Syria, Selectmen, Town of Lancaster | Date |
| Representative, Sub Region 4 | |



MONTACHUSETT

REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION

Offices: 1427R Water St., Fitchburg, Massachusetts 01420 (978) 345-7376 Fax: (978) 345-2490

MPO SELF CERTIFICATION COMPLIANCE STATEMENT

This will certify that the Comprehensive, Continuing, Cooperative Transportation Planning Process for Fiscal Years 2015 and 2016 in the Montachusett Metropolitan Planning Organization is addressing major issues facing the region and is being conducted in accordance with all applicable requirements including:

- 1. 23 USC Section 134, 49 U.S.C. 5303, and this subpart;
- In nonattainment and maintenance areas, sections 174 & 176 (c) & (d) of the Clean Air Act, as amended (42 U.S.C. 7504, 7506 (c) & (d)) and 40 CFR part 93;
- 3. Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended (42 U.S.C. 2000d-1) and 49 CFR part 21;
- 4. 49 U.S.C. 5332, prohibiting discrimination on the basis of race, color, creed, national origin, sex, or age in employment or business opportunity;
- 5. Section 1101 (b) of the Safe Accountable Flexible and Efficient Transportation Equity Act a Legacy for Users (SAFETEA-LU), (Pub. L. 109-59) and 49 CFR part 26 regarding the involvement of disadvantaged business enterprises in USDOT funded projects;
- 23 CFR 230, regarding the implementation of an Equal Employment Opportunity Program on Federal and Federal-Aid construction contracts;
- 7. The provisions of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (42 U.S.C. 12101 et seq.) and 49 CFR Parts 27, 37 and 38;
- The Older Americans Act, as amended (42 U.S.C. 6101), prohibiting discrimination on the basis of age in programs or activities receiving Federal financial assistance;
- 9. Section 324 of title 23 U.S.C. regarding the prohibition of discrimination based on gender; and
- 10. Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (29 U.S.C. 794) and 49 CFR part 27 regarding discrimination against individuals with disabilities.
- 11. Anti-lobbying restrictions found in 49 U.S.C. Part 20. No appropriated funds may be expended by a recipient to influence or attempt to influence an officer or employee of any agency, a Member of Congress, in connection with the awarding of any Federal contract.

The Committee of Signatories representing the Montachusett Metropolitan Planning Organization (MMPO) by a majority vote hereby endorses the Self Certification Compliance Statement for the Montachusett MPO.

| Richard A. Davey, Secretary and CEO | Victor Koivumaki, Chairman |
|---|--|
| Massachusetts Department of Transportation | Montachusett Regional Planning Commission |
| Mark Hawke, Chairman | Dean Mazzarella, Mayor |
| Montachusett Regional Transit Authority | City of Leominster |
| Lisa Wong, Mayor | Stephen R. Raymond, Selectmen, Town of Athol |
| City of Fitchburg | Representative, Sub Region 1 |
| Sue Lisio. Selectmen, Town of Townsend | Paula Bertram, Selectmen, Town of Lunenburg |
| Representative, Sub Region 2 | Representative, Sub Region 3 |
| Jean M. Syria, Selectmen, Town of Lancaster | Date |
| Representative. Sub Region 4 | |

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PAGE

| | Fundamant Dama Fan Fadaral TID |
|-----------|---|
| I :: | Endorsement Page For Federal TIP |
| II ::: | MPO Self Certification Compliance Statement |
| iii | Table Of Contents MDO Signatories MDDC Officers MDDC Transportation Staff |
| iv | MPO Signatories, MRPC Officers, MRPC Transportation Staff Mentaghusett Joint Transportation Committee Members |
| V 1 | Montachusett Joint Transportation Committee Members Part A. Introduction |
| 1 | |
| 1 1 | Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) Development Process Requirement for Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) |
| 1 | Procedures for Development of TIP |
| 2 | Public Participation Procedures |
| 2 | Project Selection/Prioritization – Transportation Evaluation Criteria |
| 3 | Coordination/Consultation Process |
| 5 | Amendment/Modification Procedures |
| 6 | Transportation Funding Programs |
| 9 | Coordination with Regional Transportation Planning |
| 11 | State Policies and Directives |
| 14 | 701 CMR 7.00 Use of Road Flaggers and Police Details on Public Works Projects |
| 16 | Part B. Transportation Project Priorities: Federal & State Sections |
| 18 | Highway Listing: Year 2015 |
| 22 | Highway Listing: Year 2016 |
| 26 | Highway Listing: Year 2017 |
| 30 | Highway Listing: Year 2018 |
| 34 | Transit Listing: Year 2015 |
| 35 | Transit Listing: Year 2016 |
| 36 | Transit Listing: Year 2017 |
| 37 | Transit Listing: Year 2018 |
| 38 | Advanced Construction Conversion Chart |
| 39 | Statewide Highway Element |
| 41 | Part C. Federal Requirements |
| 42 | Financial Plan for the FFY 2015 - 2018 TIP |
| 44 | MART Operating and Fiscal Data |
| 44 | Montachusett RTA STIP Information |
| 45 | Operations & Maintenance Summary Table for MART – State FY 2014 |
| 46 | Summary of Funding Categories |
| 47 | Operating vs Capital Expenditures |
| 48 | Summary of Operating and Maintenance Expenditures |
| 50 | Status of Previous Annual Element Projects |
| 51 | Status of 2014 Transit Projects |
| 52 | Air Quality Conformity Information |
| 54 | Special Efforts for Elderly and Disabled |
| 55 50 | Appendix A - Regional Priorities for Which Funding Has Not Been Identified |
| 58 75 | Appendix B - 2015-2018 TIP Greenhouse Gas Monitoring & Evaluation |
| 75 | Attachment 1 - Comments Received On Draft TIP |

MONTACHUSETT METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION SIGNATORIES

Massachusetts Department of Transportation (MassDOT) Secretary Richard A. Davey MassDOT Highway Division Administrator Frank DePaola Montachusett Regional Planning Commission (MRPC) Chairman Victor Koivumaki Montachusett Regional Transit Authority (MART) Chairman Mayor Lisa Wong Mayor City of Leominster Mayor Dean Mazzarella Mayor City of Gardner Mayor Mark Hawke Athol Board of Selectmen Subregion 1 Stephen R. Raymond Townsend Board of Selectmen Subregion 2 Robert Plamondon

Athol Board of Selectmen Subregion 1

Townsend Board of Selectmen Subregion 2

Lunenburg Board of Selectmen Subregion 3

Lancaster Board of Selectmen Subregion 4

Stephen R. Ray

Robert Plamond

Paula Bertram

Jennifer Leone

MPO SUB-SIGNATORY COMMITTEE MEMBERS

David Mohler, Director OTP, MassDOT, for Secretary Davey

Arthur Frost, Project Development Engineer for Administrator Frank DePaola

Glenn Eaton, Executive Director, MRPC, for Chairman Koivumaki Mohammed H. Khan, Administrator, MART, for Chairman Mayor Wong

EXOFFICIO MEMBERS

Pamela Stephenson, Administrator, Federal Highway Administration Mary Beth Mello, Administrator, Federal Transit Administration

MONTACHUSETT REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION (MRPC) OFFICERS

Victor Koivumaki, ChairmanLancasterPaula Caron, Vice ChairmanFitchburgChristopher Jones, SecretaryTownsendAlan Pease, TreasurerAshbyJoanna Bilotta-Simeone, Asst. TreasurerLunenburg

MONTACHUSETT JOINT TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE (MJTC) OFFICERS

David Manugian, Chairman Groton
Paula Caron, Vice Chairman Fitchburg
Jon Wyman, Secretary Westminster

MONTACHUSETT REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION STAFF

Glenn Eaton, Executive Director

Linda Parmenter, Administrative/Human Resources Director

Bobbi Jo Johnson, Fiscal Director

Stephanie Brow, Administrative Assistant

Thomas Roufos, Grants Writer/Regional Planner

Brad Harris, Transportation Director

George Snow, Principal Planner

Sheri Bean, Transportation Planner

Brian Doherty, Transportation Planner

George Kahale, Transit Director

John Hume, Planning and Development Director

Chantell Fleck, Regional Planner

Samuel Lawton, Principal Planner

Kevin Flynn, Community Development Director

Tammy Haney, Programs Assistant

Jason Stanton, GIS/IT Director

Renee Marion, GIS Analyst

Thomas Roufos, Grants Writer/Regional Planner

MONTACHUSETT JOINT TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE

COMMUNITY APPOINTED BY SELECTMEN/MAYOR APPOINTED BY PLANNING BOARD

Ashburnham

Ashby Mary Krapf Alan Pease

Athol Doug Walsh

Pauline Hamel Ayer Peter Johnston

Clinton

Paula Caron Fitchburg

Gardner

Groton Anna Eliot David Manugian

Harvard Lucy Wallace

Hubbardston Lyn Gauthier James Crystoff Lancaster Noreen Piazza

Leominster David DiGiovanni

Lunenburg Robert Saiia

Petersham Roy Nilson Phillipston Ron Recos Royalston John Morse

Shirley Robert Thurston Sterling

Charles Hadju

Templeton **Bud Chase**

Townsend

Westminster Andrew Sears Winchendon Jim Kreidler Fedor Berndt

EXOFFICIO MEMBERS

Heather Hannon Office of Transportation Planning (OTP) and

Massachusetts Department of Transportation (MassDOT)

Pamela Stephenson Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), Administrator Mary Beth Mello Federal Transit Administration (FTA), Administrator

Department of Environmental Protection (DEP)

Jeffery Hoynoski MassDOT Highway Division - District 2 Arthur Frost MassDOT Highway Division - District 3

Montachusett Regional Planning Commission (MRPC)

Mohammed Khan Montachusett Regional Transit Authority (MART)

ORGANIZATION MEMBERS

Al Futterman Nashua River Watershed Association (NRWA) Tony Salerno Amalgamated Transit Union #690 (ATU 690)

Kit Walker Fitchburg Airport Commission

North Central MA Chamber of Commerce

Fitchburg Council on Aging

Mass Development

Devens Enterprise Commission (DEC) Peter Lowitt Montachusett Opportunity Council, Inc. Ayn Yeagle

This page left blank.

PART A. INTRODUCTION

TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (TIP) DEVELOPMENT PROCESS

Requirement for Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)

This document is the product of a comprehensive, continuing and cooperative effort to improve the regional transportation system by local officials, the Montachusett Joint Transportation Committee (MJTC), the Montachusett Regional Transit Authority (MART), the Montachusett Regional Planning Commission (MRPC), the Massachusetts Department of Transportation (MassDOT), and the MassDOT Highway Division. It is required to be updated every four (4) years by Federal Regulations issued jointly by the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and the Federal Transit Administration (FTA). This TIP is a prioritized listing of transportation projects proposed for implementation during the future four federal fiscal years. This time period is broken down into the coming year (Year 1 Element) and the following three years (Year 2 thru Year 4). The fiscal years are project specific where possible. The TIP projects are also identified by funding category so that where necessary priorities may be established for projects within each funding program. Unless otherwise noted, the agency responsible for implementing highway projects is the Massachusetts Department of Transportation Highway Division and, for transit projects, the Montachusett Regional Transit Authority. The reader will note that some of the same projects may be found again in this year's Year 1 Element because they have been delayed by various problems in their design or environmental requirements, while other projects found in last year's TIP have been removed due to implementation.

Procedures for Development of TIP

The MRPC staff annually develops the TIP project listing. Sources used include the MassDOT's Project Information System, MassDOT Highway Division Districts 2 and 3, local officials, the Montachusett Joint Transportation Committee, the Long and Short Range Elements (LRE and SRE, respectively) of the Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), the Montachusett Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO), the Congestion Management Process/Plan (CMP) and Transportation Control Measures (TCMs) identified in the Transportation Element of the State Implementation Plan (TESIP).

The local planning process conforms to the private enterprise requirements of the FTA Act, Section 5309, Section 5303 and Section 5307. Specifically this is demonstrated in the FTA Section 5307 Urban Area Formula Program. Funding from each of these grants is supplied to private transportation providers who provide, under contract, mass transportation services to the Montachusett Regional Transit Authority and to various communities to supply Council on Aging services. The private operators are Management of Transportation Services, Inc., Management of Transportation Services Gardner, Inc. and Dial-A-Mart Services, Inc. Input from all the providers is utilized in the planning process.

The MRPC has developed a Public Participation Program (PPP) that establishes the procedures utilized to ensure a "proactive public involvement process ... in developing plans and TIPs." The PPP was reviewed and updated to meet SAFETEA-LU (Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users) requirements. After a 45 day public review and comment period, the PPP was endorsed by the MPO on June 13, 2007. The PPP was subsequently amended in order to provide the MPO with the option to reduce the comment period for required documents to a minimum of 10 days under extraordinary circumstances. This amendment was endorsed by the MPO on April 5, 2010. Further refinements and updates to the PPP continue on a yearly basis to ensure that it meets federal requirements related to public outreach and Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964.

In conformance with the PPP, the draft TIP is distributed for a 30 day public review and comment period. Following completion of the 30 day review period, any comments or issues received are addressed and reflected in the final TIP. This document is then reviewed by the MJTC/MRPC and MPO and is recommended for endorsement by the Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) at a subsequent MPO meeting.

The fully endorsed TIP is then distributed to Federal, State and local agencies and groups, including FTA, FHWA, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) again in conformance with the PPP.

Throughout this procedure, the Montachusett Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) is developed in accordance with 23 CFR 450.324.

On July 6, 2012, President Obama signed into law the new Federal Surface Transportation Authorization known as Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century (MAP-21). The enactment of MAP-21 has not significantly changed the existing MPO planning goals as provided under the prior authorization known as the Safe Accountable Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users (SAFETEA-LU). These eight planning factors for both metro and statewide planning are as follows:

- "Support the economic vitality of the metropolitan area, especially by enabling global competitiveness, productivity and efficiency;
- •Increase the safety of the transportation system for all motorized and non-motorized users;
- •Increase the ability of the transportation system to support homeland security and to safeguard the personal security of motorized and non-motorized users;
- Increase accessibility and mobility of people and freight;
- Protect and enhance the environment, promote energy conservation, improve the quality of life and promote consistency between transportation improvements and State and local planned growth and economic development patterns;
- Enhance the integration and connectivity of the transportation system, across and between modes, for people and freight;
- Promote efficient system management and operation; and
- Emphasize the preservation of the existing transportation system."

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION PROCEDURES

Title 23 CFR Section 450.324 and 310 CMR 60.03(6)h require that the development of the TIP provide an adequate opportunity for public review and comment.

Section 450.316(b) establishes the outline for MPO public participation programs. The Montachusett MPO's Public Participation Program (PPP) as previously mentioned was formally adopted on June 13, 2007 and amended on April 5, 2010. The development and adoption of this program conforms to the requirements of the section. It guarantees public access to the TIP and all supporting documentation, provides for public notification of the availability of the TIP and the public's right to review the document and comment thereon, and provides a 30-day public review and comment period prior to the adoption of the TIP by the MPO. The Amended PPP allows for an abbreviated public comment period for the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP), Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP) or Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) for a period of not less than ten (10) days under what the MPO considers to be extraordinary circumstances. The PPP will continue to be reviewed and updated as needed in response to requirements and/or changes due to the passage of MAP-21 and any future federal authorizing legislation.

The Montachusett Regional Transit Authority, a FTA Section 5307/5310/5337/5339 applicant, has consulted with the Montachusett Regional Planning Commission and concurs that the public involvement process adopted by the MPO for the development of the TIP satisfies the public hearing requirements that pertain to the development of the "Program of Projects" (POP) for regular Section 5307, Urbanized Area Formula Program, grant applications including the provision for public notice and the time established for public review and comment.

For FTA projects that are not routine, i.e. applications that require an environmental assessment or an environmental impact statement, the public involvement provided for herein for TIP review is not sufficient. Additional public involvement, as presented in the joint FHWA/FTA environmental regulations, 23 CFR part 771 will be required by FTA for grant approval.

PROJECT SELECTION/PRIORITIZATION - TRANSPORTATION EVALUATION CRITERIA

For the purposes of project selection and programming, any project listed in Year 1 of the endorsed TIP will be considered to have the concurrence of the MPO without further action required. Prioritization of projects will have taken place by virtue of placement of a project in Years 1 to 4 of the TIP. Out years may contain unallocated funding amounts based upon anticipated federal aid regional target funds. These yearly listing will be further defined as specific projects in subsequent year TIPs.

Prioritization of projects is based upon input from MassDOT regarding project design and implementation status, local prioritization from chief elected officials, scoring of the project based upon the Transportation Evaluation Criteria (TEC), fiscal constraints for the Montachusett Region, consensus vote by the MJTC and formal adoption by the MPO. Throughout this procedure, input from local citizens are reviewed and considered where appropriate in the prioritization process.

An initial project listing is obtained from MassDOT and the local communities. These projects are then reviewed one by one to ascertain their current status as to design and potential advertising dates. Projects are then scored and evaluated utilizing the Transportation Evaluation Criteria (TEC). In late 2012 early 2013, the Montachusett MPO revised and updated the original TEC developed by MassDOT. This revised TEC is a series of criteria to "be applied by the appropriate implementing agency during the project development stage to ensure that our limited budgetary and staff resources are committed to the best proposals; to assist the MPO process of programming federal funding through the regional Transportation Improvement Programs; and to examine existing projects in the pipeline to determine which should ultimately proceed to design and construction." The criteria are used to cover all types of transportation projects from simple resurfacing to reconstruction and expansion. Benefits and impacts are examined for transportation as well as economic development, community effects, environmental justice issues, land use and environmental impacts. Final scores based upon the TEC then become part of the decision and prioritization process.

From this information, a project listing by fiscal year is developed. This fiscal listing is then compared to the Federal funding target allocation for the region. The listing is then reviewed by state and local officials, as well as the MJTC and the MPO, to determine fiscal constraint by funding year. Any problems are then identified. Through the MPO, projects are adjusted and prioritized in order to resolve the identified problems.

Additionally, a key feature of MAP-21 "is the establishment of a performance- and outcome-based program. The objective of this performance- and outcome-based program is for States to invest resources in projects that collectively will make progress toward the achievement of the national goals." Within MAP-21, national performance goals have been established in seven areas:

- Safety To achieve a significant reduction in traffic fatalities and serious injuries on all public roads.
- Infrastructure condition To maintain the highway infrastructure asset system in a state of good repair.
- Congestion reduction To achieve a significant reduction in congestion on the National Highway System.
- System reliability To improve the efficiency of the surface transportation system.
- Freight movement and economic vitality To improve the national freight network, strengthen the
 ability of rural communities to access national and international trade markets, and support regional
 economic development.
- Environmental sustainability To enhance the performance of the transportation system while protecting and enhancing the natural environment.
- Reduced project delivery delays To reduce project costs, promote jobs and the economy, and
 expedite the movement of people and goods by accelerating project completion through eliminating
 delays in the project development and delivery process, including reducing regulatory burdens and
 improving agencies' work practices.

Performance measures and targets are required to be established by FHWA, state DOTs, MPOs and other stakeholders in consultation with each other over the upcoming one to two years. The Montachusett MPO is committed to working with MassDOT, FHWA and other partners to develop and track the performance of elements of the regional transportation system and to utilize these performance measures as a tool or guide in the transportation planning process. MRPC staff has undertaken a review of available data, information and state and federal goals and requirements to begin the development of local performance measures that can be incorporated into the decision making process for the TIP. As these measures are more clearly defined and adopted it is expected that the TEC will be revised and/or updated to reflect them.

COORDINATION/CONSULTATION PROCESS

During the development process of the TIP, the MRPC coordinates with:

- MassDOT Highway Division Districts 2 and 3;
- MassDOT Office of Transportation Planning;
- Montachusett Regional Transit Authority;
- Montachusett Metropolitan Planning Organization;
- Montachusett Joint Transportation Committee.

In addition to specific meetings scheduled for TIP project and Transportation Evaluation Criteria (TEC) review, public meetings of the MJTC and MRPC provide opportunity for input from the general public and interested groups. Notices related to the TIP development and the public comment periods are disseminated to members of the MRPC Transportation Mailing Matrix in accordance with the Montachusett Public Participation Plan (MPO endorsed June 13, 2007 and Amended April 5, 2010).

As part of this outreach process, efforts to ensure meeting the requirements of Environmental Justice and Title VI of the 1964 Civil Rights Act are continually examined. This includes the development of a Limited English Proficiency (LEP) Access Plan (MPO Adopted September 2013), translation of memos and certain documents into other languages (based upon the LEP, this is currently done for Spanish), the availability of translation tools for the MRPC website and the inclusion of advocates for special groups in the MJTC membership.

Members of the matrix include but are not limited to:

Public/Private Groups - Montachusett Joint Transportation Committee (MJTC) Members; Montachusett Regional Planning Commission (MRPC) Members; Montachusett Metropolitan Planning Organization (MMPO) Members; Mayors; Boards of Selectmen; Planning Departments; Planning Boards; City and Town Clerks; Town Administrators; Police Departments; Fire Departments; Public Work Departments; Conservation Commissions; Congressmen; Senators; State Senators and Representatives; Local Media; Libraries; Councils on Aging; Private Transportation Providers; Regional Transit Authority; Chambers of Commerce; City Councilors; Environmental Protection Agency; Department of Environmental Management; State and Federal Agencies; Housing Authorities; School Districts; Hospitals and Medical Centers; Shopping Malls and Large Commercial Centers; Trail Advocacy Groups and Organizations; Community Development Corporations; Emergency Management Agencies and Directors; Rail Companies.

Special Interest Groups - Montachusett Opportunity Council; Local Transit Union; Cleghorn Neighborhood Center; Spanish American Center; MA Rehab Commission; Fitchburg Spanish Council; Local Community Development Corporations; Airport Managers; Neighborhood Groups; Community Action Groups

The FFY 2015 – 2018 TIP has been or will be discussed at the following scheduled meetings:

- February 12, 2014 Montachusett MPO Meeting
- •February 19, 2014 MJTC Meeting
- February 25, 2014 MRPC Meeting
- February 26, 2014 TIP Project TEC Discussions with MassDOT Highway Districts 2 and 3
- •March 12, 2014 Montachusett MPO Meeting
- •March 19, 2014 MJTC Meeting
- •March 25, 2014 MassDOT TIP Day Meeting
- •March 25, 2014 MRPC Meeting
- •April 16, 2014 Montachusett MPO Meeting
- April 16, 2014 MJTC Meeting
- April 29, 2014 MRPC Meeting
- •May 14, 2014 Montachusett MPO Meeting
- •May 21, 2014 MJTC Meeting
- •May 27, 2014 MRPC Meeting
- June 18, 2014 Montachusett MPO Meeting
- •June 18, 2014 MJTC Meeting
- •June 24, 2014 MRPC Meeting

Through this extensive mailing and notification process, it is anticipated that local and state agencies and officials, as well as other groups/organizations, will be notified of the TIP development process and further coordination and/or consultation will occur as decisions and documents are prepared. As stated in 23 CFR 450.316 (3) (b) the MPO continues to seek to consult with "agencies and officials responsible for other planning activities within the Metropolitan Planning Area (MPA) that are affected by transportation or coordinate its planning process (to the maximum extent practicable) with such planning activities".

In addition to regular notification of organizations and agencies through meeting mailings, a separate memo was distributed on January 10, 2014 to all members of the Transportation Mailing Matrix that specifically invited their input to the TIP development process. This mailing identified specific meetings of the MJTC, MRPC and Montachusett MPO at which the development of the TIP would be a major item of discussion and which any input would be appropriate, appreciated and important to the overall TIP process. The specific meetings identified included the:

- January 15, 2014 MJTC Meeting
- January 28, 2014 MRPC Meeting
- February 12, 2014 Montachusett MPO Meeting
- February 19, 2014 MJTC Meeting
- •February 25, 2014 MRPC Meeting
- •March 12, 2014 Montachusett MPO Meeting
- •March 19, 2014 MJTC Meeting
- •March 25, 2014 MRPC Meeting
- April 16, 2014 Montachusett MPO Meeting
- April 16, 2014 MJTC Meeting
- April 29, 2014 MRPC Meeting
- •May 14, 2014 Montachusett MPO Meeting
- May 21, 2014 MJTC Meeting
- •May 27, 2014 MRPC Meeting
- •June 18, 2014 Montachusett MPO Meeting
- •June 18, 2014 MJTC Meeting
- •June 24, 2014 MRPC Meeting
- July 9, 2014 Montachusett MPO Meeting
- July 16, 2014 MJTC Meeting
- •July 29, 2014 MRPC Meeting

The memo and mailing was targeted towards those agencies, organizations, local boards and citizens with an interest or responsibility for planning that might be affected by transportation. Contact information as to how interested individuals, agencies and/or organizations could provide input via email, regular mail, fax and phone was also provided in the memo.

In addition, notices and information encouraging input to the TIP development process have been placed on the MRPC website. This includes the memo announcing the development of the TIP with any and all appropriate meeting dates, memos announcing the start of the comment period and the availability of draft documents as well as the draft document itself. Upon endorsement of the TIP by the MPO, final versions of the TIP as well as a project summary are then made available via the MRPC website.

AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION PROCEDURES

In order to minimize constraints on programming projects, the endorsed TIP will have the provision, as adopted by the MPO, that will allow relatively minor modifications be made to the TIP without formal MPO action. Significant changes will continue to require MPO action through the amendment process.

Minor modifications include such actions as:

- moving a project in either direction between the Year 1 and 2 or Year 2 and 3 elements;
- changes in funding amounts(typically less than 10%) or categories within the same fiscal year.

Minor modifications will be accomplished through an agreed-upon administrative action with the approval of the MPO. That action will include approval of the modification by the MPO at a duly constituted meeting and written notification of the MPO members. Formal endorsement will not be required. A 30 day public review period is not required.

Significant changes to the TIP include major actions such as:

- the addition or deletion of a Federal Aid project;
- if the design, scope or budget of a project is found to have changed significantly as determined by the MJTC and MPO (typically cost changes of more than 10%);
- moving a project from Non-Federal Aid to one of the Federal Aid funding categories;
- moving a project in either direction between the Year 1 and 3 elements;
- advancing a project from the Appendix project list to either Year 1, 2 or 3.
- advancing a project from the out Year 4 to either Year 1, 2 or 3.

Significant changes to the TIP will require formal endorsement of an amendment. This amendment process will include a 30 day public comment period, or an abbreviated comment period of not less than ten (10) days under what the MPO considers to be extraordinary circumstances, as outlined in the federal planning regulations and the Montachusett Public Participation Program (as Amended April 5, 2010), approval of the amendment and signatory endorsement by MPO members at a subsequent MPO meeting.

TRANSPORTATION FUNDING PROGRAMS

Description of Highway Programs

Federal Aid is received by the State as reimbursement, and the State is required to contribute a matching share to most projects receiving Federal funds.

MAP-21 has restructured core highway programs by incorporating several activities previously carried out under existing formula programs, such as the National Highway System Program (NHS), the Interstate Maintenance Program (IM) and the Highway Bridge Program, into a new core formula program structure that includes the following:

- National Highway Performance Program (NHPP)
- Surface Transportation Program (STP)
- Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement Program (CMAQ)
- Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP)
- Transportation Alternatives Program (TAP)

This TIP includes projects funded under these programs as well as potentially carried over programs from prior federal authorizations such as High Priority Program (HPP) funds.

Glossary of Terms

The terms used in the main part of this TIP are defined as follows:

- <u>MassDOT Project ID</u>: indicates Massachusetts Department of Transportation Highway Division Project Identification Number.
- <u>MassDOT Project Description</u>: indicates the city or town in which the project is to be implemented and gives details of the type of work to be performed and specific locations.
- <u>MassDOT District</u>: indicates in which MassDOT Highway Division District of the Montachusett Region the project occurs. The communities in the MRPC Region fall within District 2, with offices in Northampton, and District 3, with offices in Worcester.
- <u>Funding Source</u>: indicates funding program under which the project is eligible for dollar allocations, such as National Highway System or Surface Transportation Program.
- <u>Total Programmed Funds, Federal Funds, Non-Federal Funds,</u>: presented for each project for each fiscal year are estimated total costs and the source/share of the funds, i.e. Federal or State. Projects where costs and activity are not available will be labeled NA. Note that FFY 15 estimated operation cost for transit

projects is included in the Year 1 Element due to Federal requirements. Also the source of the funds needed to implement the project is indicated as Federal and/or State.

• Additional Information: indicates any additional information pertinent to the project in order to provide the reader with a more detail look at the project. In addition, the current cost of the project (in Year 1 dollars) and the Year of Expenditure (YOE) cost as well as the inflation factor for that year (i.e. Year 2 – YOE increase of 4%; Year 3 – YOE increase of 8%; and Year 4 – YOE increase of 12%) are listed.

All of the programs listed are administered by the MassDOT. A project may be initiated by MassDOT or the local community. If approved, the project is submitted to Federal Highway Administration for funding. A description of each of these programs follows:

- National Highway Performance Program (NHPP): The enhanced National Highway Performance Program (NHPP) is composed of rural and urban roads serving major population centers, international border crossings, intermodal transportation facilities, and major travel destinations. It includes the Interstate System, all principal arterials (including some not previously designated as part of the NHS) and border crossings on those routes, highways that provide motor vehicle access between the NHS and major intermodal transportation facilities, and the network of highways important to U.S. strategic defense (STRAHNET) and its connectors to major military installations. The funding split for this program is generally 80% federal 20% state.
- <u>Surface Transportation Program (STP):</u> MAP-21 continues the STP by providing flexible funding that may be used by the States and localities for projects to preserve or improve conditions and performance on any federal-aid highway, bridge projects on any public road, facilities for nonmotorized transportation, transit capital projects and public bus terminals and facilities. A portion of the state's allocation is set aside for the state's Transportation Alternatives Program (TAP). The funding split for this program is generally 80% federal 20% state.
- Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality (CMAQ): The CMAQ program is continued in MAP-21 to provide a flexible funding source to State and local governments for transportation projects and programs to help meet the requirements of the Clean Air Act. Funding is available to reduce congestion and improve air quality for areas that do not meet the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for ozone, carbon monoxide, or particulate matter (nonattainment areas) and for former nonattainment areas that are now in compliance (maintenance areas). The funding split for this program is generally 80% federal 20% state.
- <u>Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP)</u>: MAP-21 continues the Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) to achieve a significant reduction in traffic fatalities and serious injuries on all public roads, including non-State-owned public roads and roads on tribal lands. The HSIP requires a data-driven, strategic approach to improving highway safety on all public roads that focuses on performance. The funding split is 90% federal and 10% state.
- Transportation Alternatives Program (TAP): MAP-21 establishes a new program to provide for a variety of alternative transportation projects, including many that were previously eligible activities under separately funded programs. The TAP replaces the funding from pre-MAP-21 programs including Transportation Enhancements, Recreational Trails, Safe Routes to School, and several other discretionary programs, wrapping them into a single funding source. The TAP provides funding for programs and projects defined as transportation alternatives, including on- and off-road pedestrian and bicycle facilities, infrastructure projects for improving non-driver access to public transportation and enhanced mobility, community improvement activities, and environmental mitigation; recreational trail program projects; safe routes to school projects; and projects for the planning, design or construction of boulevards and other roadways largely in the right-of-way of former Interstate System routes or other divided highways. The funding split for this program is generally 80% federal 20% state.
- <u>High Priority Projects:</u> This program provides designated funding for specific projects identified in SAFETEA-LU. Projects are identified with a specified amount of funding over the 5 years of SAFETEA-LU. The funds designated for a project are available only for that project until expended. HPP projects are fully funded and are included on the TIP when they are expected to be "ready to go." The funding split is 80% federal and 20% state.

MAP-21 funding information from "Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century Act (MAP-21) A Summary of Highway Provisions" by the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), Office of Policy and Governmental Affairs, July 17, 2012 and Fact and Guidance Sheets from the FHWA MAP-21 website (www.fhwa.dot.gov/map21/factsheets.cfm and www.fhwa.dot.gov/map21/guidance/index.cfm).

Description of Transit Funding Programs

The new Federal Surface Transportation Authorization known as Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century (MAP-21) significantly changed the categories of transit funding available to grantees from what was under the prior authorization known as the Safe Accountable Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users (SAFETEA-LU). However funds from SAFETEA-LU are still available as carryover funds for some projects programmed under previous TIP's.

The biggest change between MAP-21 and SAFETEA-LU is the reduction of discretionary funding. Most of the discretionary categories such as "State of Good Repair" and "Bus and Bus Facilities" which were formerly 5309 funds are now formula funds and have their own new 53 subsection categories (5337 and 5339 conversely). Other discretionary funding categories have been repealed under MAP-21 such as the "Clean Fuels" (5308) program. Formula grant programs are funded to States based on formulas of population. Each grant program is referred to by name and most also by a number that correlates to the section number of Chapter 53 of Title 49 of the United States Code, as Amended by MAP-21. Specific allocation of funding amounts into each category is laid out in Section 5338.

Formula Grants:

<u>Urbanized Area Formula Program (5307) Funds</u>: This formula program makes funds available on the basis
of a statutory formula to all urbanized areas in the country. Eligible activities are capital projects, planning
and job access/reverse commute projects (JARC - formerly 5316 funds). Operating assistance is continued
as an eligible expense under Section 5307. Operating assistance caps are now in place for urbanized areas
over 200,000 but operating fewer than 100 buses (no rail), not just those under 200,000 (as determined by
the U.S. Census Bureau), as is the case in previous law.

•

- Transportation for Elderly Persons and Persons with Disabilities (5310) Funds: This program provides capital funding for transportation services for elderly and disabled persons. Authorization under MAP-21 has moved the formula allocation from a single statewide allocation to an Urbanized Area allocation. The funds may go to private, non-profit organizations or to public bodies which coordinate service. Also funds available to our area are in a single allocation with two other "Small Urban" areas, therefore MassDOT has made all the apportioned funds a competitive application. No less than 55% of these funds must be used for capital projects. Up to 45% may be used for operating assistance projects that would formerly been eligible under New Freedom funds. No more than 10% may be used be a recipient for Administrative Expenses associated with a project. The Rail and Transit Division of the Massachusetts Department of Transportation through the State Transportation Bond authorization program, makes capital grants available through its Mobility Assistance Program to public agencies to purchase vehicles and related equipment for transporting elderly and disabled persons.
- Formula Grants for Other than Urbanized Areas (5311) Funds: This program provides funds on the basis of a statutory formula for rural areas using the latest available U.S. decennial census data. Its share is established at 7.07 percent of the total overall MAP-21 funding and 12% of Sections 5307 and 5311 fund combined, which is an increase over previous law. Eligible activities now included projects previously classified under JARC for rural areas.
- <u>Job Access and Reverse Commute Program (5316) Funds</u>: Repealed integrated into 5307 and 5311 funds.
- New Freedom Program (5317) Funds: Repealed integrated into 5310 funds.
- Bus and Bus Facilities (5339) Funds: This program provides capital assistance for new and replacement buses, related equipment, and facilities. It was formerly a discretionary program but is now formula based by urbanized area. As with the 5310 formula, 5339 is apportioned to our region via the state thru an allocation for "Small Urban," with a statewide allocation as well. Therefore a competitive process thru MassDOT has been established for the 3 small urban and 3 rural RTA's to obtain these funds. The Federal share of eligible capital costs is no more than 80 percent of the net capital project cost.
- State of Good Repair Formula Grants (5337): Eligible recipients are state and local government authorities in urbanized areas with fixed guideway public transportation facilities operating for at least 7 years. Although the Fitchburg-Leominster urbanized area does receive a formula allocation for these funds under MAP-21, the Montachusett Regional Transit Authority is not an eligible recipient since there is not currently any fixed guideway or high-speed motorbus operated under the authority. These funds can be transferred to the MBTA for use in rehabilitation projects related to the commuter rail which runs in our area.

Discretionary Grants:

The Federal Transit Administration and the U.S. Department of Transportation still have a few discretionary grant programs that MART is eligible to apply under. A Notice of Funding Availability (NOFA) is published in the Federal Register each year stating program amounts and instructions for applying for these Competitive grants. Please see FTA's website for more details at http://www.fta.dot.gov/map21.html.

- Fixed Guideway Capital Investment Grants ("New Starts") (5309): The Bus and Bus Related Equipment and Facilities program (Bus program) provides capital assistance for new and replacement buses, related equipment, and facilities. It is a discretionary program to supplement formula funding in both urbanized and rural areas. The Federal share of eligible capital costs is 80 percent of the net capital project cost, unless the grant recipient requests a lower percentage. The Federal share may exceed 80 percent for certain projects related to the ADA, the Clean Air Act (CAA), and certain bicycle projects.
- <u>TIGER (USDOT):</u> The Transportation Investment Generating Economic Recovery, or TIGER Discretionary Grant program, provides a unique opportunity for the U.S. Department of Transportation to invest in road, rail, transit and port projects that promise to achieve critical national objectives. The TIGER program enables DOT to use a rigorous process to select projects with exceptional benefits, explore ways to deliver projects faster and save on construction costs, and make investments in our Nation's infrastructure that make communities more livable and sustainable.

COORDINATION WITH REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION PLANNING

The 2012 Montachusett Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) was completed for MPO endorsement on August 24, 2011. It provides the basic framework for implementing future short-range and long-range transportation and air quality improvements in the Montachusett Region. In addition, it sets the basic transportation goals and objectives for the region. These goals and objectives are consistent with the long-range land use plan and the social, economic, and environmental policies of the region.

The Transportation Plan consists of two major elements: a long-range element and a short-range element. The long-range element defines long-range goals and objectives, identifies new transportation facilities and major changes to existing facilities. The short-range element contains a broad range of infrastructure and operational projects that consider all modes of transportation and are designed to increase the efficiency of existing facilities. Examples of short range projects are: traffic flow improvements, intersection capacity and signalization improvements, bikeways and carpooling programs.

The Transportation Plan decisions reflect the federally certified 3C (comprehensive, cooperative and continuing) process, and are based upon Federal, State and local policies, detailed technical analysis, and citizen participation.

Projects in the Fiscal Year 2015-2018 TIP are consistent with the previous as well as the current Regional Transportation Plan for the Montachusett Region as completed in 2003, 2007 and 2012. The transit portion of the region's transportation system and its needs is broken down into several components. These include operations of the Regional Transit Authority, commuter rail services and capital funding needs.

Recommendations in the Regional Transportation Plan concerning the Transit Authority component of the region's transportation system are drawn directly from transit development studies and other work tasks. Recommendations made to improve the MART transit system include:

- * Continued monitoring of routes and schedules so that any beneficial changes can be identified and implemented.
- The marketing effort should be a priority item by which the public is informed of transit availability and efficiency
- * Driver safety and sensitivity courses should be maintained.
- * Transit services for the elderly and disabled should be expanded and upgraded as necessary to insure both availability and accessibility.

* Services specifically for the disabled called ADA Para-transit service should continue to operate at hours comparable to fixed route service as identified in MART's 1992 ADA Complementary Plan and subsequent updates.

The short range recommendations for commuter rail service in the region insure maintenance of current operations by provision of adequate funding from federal and state sources. The completion of commuter parking garages at the Fitchburg Intermodal Transportation Center and in North Leominster has helped meet short term goals. Another short range goal is to construct a park and ride facility in Gardner near MART's Maintenance facility in order to shuttle commuters to the currently under construction Wachusett station and allow for a commuter carpool location. This project is still in the planning stages.

Long range recommendations for commuter rail service include but are not restricted to preservation of essential rail freight services, encouragement of businesses to continue to increase rail use, preservation of abandoned rail rights-of-way with potential future public use. MART in coordination with MBTA and Pan Am are working on two programs; the first is a small starts project to upgrade both tracks and stations between Fitchburg and Cambridge on the rail line. This will enhance the commuter experience and allow for a faster commute into Boston. The second project is to create a new station (Wachusett) with a park-and-ride lot a few miles west of the Downtown Fitchburg station in West Fitchburg, just off Route 2, and a layover facility in Westminster. These projects are well underway; the tracking project has begun its second construction season and Wachusett Station is expected to open in the spring of 2015.

Recommendations for funding of the Mobility Assistance Program including the Section 5310 program, formerly Section 16 (b)(2), are also noted in the Regional Transportation Plan. It states that in order to provide increased mobility for Montachusett residents that do not own automobiles or that choose to be less dependent on the automobile; MART will need to continue to develop and implement appropriate and innovative public transit programs. It also states that elderly and disabled services provided by MART and social service agencies should continue to be monitored for coordination of effort. The vehicles that MART is requesting under MAP would be used as replacements to the vehicles operated in the Dial-A-MART, COA, and ADA complementary Paratransit programs. The Dial-A-MART program coordinates transportation services for social service agencies, handicapped advocacy organizations, etc. located in the Montachusett Region.

Capital funding needs can be broken down into two categories: capital equipment purchases and construction projects. The Regional Transportation Plan states that in addition to increased and improved routing and scheduling, it will be necessary for MART to maintain and improve the operating condition of its vehicle fleet. The present vehicle fleet will be gradually replaced with new equipment as the need arises. The only major construction activity during the next year will be the construction of Wachusett Station.

MART procured management of operational services for our fixed route and Para-transit services in early 2013, with a new contract in effect as of July 1, 2013. Three Requests for Proposal were released: one for fixed route/ADA in Fitchburg/Leominster, one for fixed route/ADA in Gardner, and one for Dial-A-MART Services. Operators had the option to quote on all three as a package deal under one Management Company. MART completely revamped our efforts to procure these services so that a broader range of Private Enterprise Providers could be reached. MART even advertised in Mass Transit magazine to reach a national audience. MART considered the following major factors when contracting for provider(s) for MART's fixed route/ADA and Dial-A-MART services.

- 1) Technical Proposal showing the following areas of technical merit:
 - (a) Qualifications & experience.
 - (b) Operational & maintenance,
 - (c) Customer service & innovation,
 - (d) Organization & structure, and
 - (e) Safety & training.
- 2) Cost Proposal: Pricing offers will only be evaluated for those Proponents whose technical offers have been determined to be technically acceptable. Each proponent's cost will be evaluated for reasonableness, realism, and completeness, which are of equal importance. The award will be based on best value.

A list of private operators, developed as a part of the PPP document, now regularly receive minutes and notices of monthly Montachusett Joint Transportation Committee (MJTC) meetings. Also, they are notified of the draft TIP,

UPWP, and when applicable, the Regional Transportation Plan, in order to solicit their ideas on studies and projects addressing private sector requirements.

<u>Financial Capacity</u>: The Montachusett Regional Transit Authority has plans to conduct a financial capacity report in FY 2015. That report will review MART's capital and operating funding capability. A part of this planned report, the proposed program of TIP projects will be examined in order to determine that there are sufficient funds to cover the capital and operating costs of proposed activities over the life of the projects. Funding programs are discussed below.

FTA Section 5310 (MAP) - The State has made a commitment to funding Para-transit vehicle purchases for use in the provision of elderly/disabled transportation services. The bulk of Para-transit vehicles operating in the Montachusett Region are owned by the Montachusett Regional Transit Authority. We anticipate continued yearly receipt of MAP vehicles from the Rail and Transit Division of the Massachusetts Department of Transportation. FTA Section 5307 Urban Area Formula Program Assistance - the Montachusett Regional Transit Authority was authorized Section 5307 Urban Area Formula Program Assistance for FY15.

STATE POLICIES AND DIRECTIVES

GreenDOT

In July 2010, MassDOT launched GreenDOT "a comprehensive environmental responsibility and sustainability initiative that will make MassDOT a national leader in "greening" the state transportation system." The three primary goals identified by GreenDOT are:

- Reduce greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions
- Promote the healthy transportation modes of walking, bicycling, and public transit
- Support smart growth development

The MassDOT policy directive for GreenDOT, states:

"Through the GreenDOT policy, MassDOT will promote sustainable economic development, protect the natural environment, and enhance the quality of life for all of the Commonwealth's residents and visitors through the full range of our activities, from strategic planning to construction and system operations."

"GreenDOT calls for MassDOT to incorporate sustainability into all of its activities; from strategic planning to project design and construction to system operation. The initiative also includes greenhouse gas reduction targets mandated under the Global Warming Solutions Act, signed into law by Governor Deval Patrick in 2008.

The GreenDOT initiative will achieve the greenhouse gas reductions through a range of measures. In cooperation with regional planning agencies, MassDOT will set statewide greenhouse gas reduction targets, and meet these targets by balancing highway system expansion projects with other projects that support smart growth development and promote public transit, walking and bicycling. Example include transit and rail projects, complete streets planning that includes bicycle and pedestrian accommodations, and investments in greener, more efficient fleet vehicles and renewable power.

GreenDOT was designed in response to several existing state laws, Executive Orders, and MassDOT policies. These include the 2009 Transportation Reform Law that created MassDOT and established the *Healthy Transportation Compact* that promotes improved public health through active transportation; the Global Warming Solutions Act, which calls for measurable and enforceable economy-wide greenhouse gas reductions; and MassDOT's Complete Streets design approach that calls for appropriate accommodation of all transportation system users." (www.massdot.state.ma.us/main/greendot.aspx)

Mode Shift Goals

As part of the implementation plan for GreenDOT,

"Secretary and CEO Richard Davey in October 2012 announced MassDOTs mode shift goal to triple the distance traveled by our customers through bicycling, transit and walking. That goal now joins other goals incorporated into MassDOT's GreenDOT Implementation Plan with tasks and indicators.

MassDOT established the goal to build a more efficient transportation system where fewer of our customers depend on driving alone to get where they are going. We want to reduce greenhouse gas emissions from the transportation system and support better public health outcomes by working to give our customers more healthy travel options.

MassDOT will measure our progress on this ambitious mode shift goal using Personal Miles Traveled (PMT) - distances traveled by all our customers for bicycling, driving, transit and walking in a one year period. It also measures all the trips taken by our customers, not just work trips which are often the focus in transportation planning. Measuring the distance traveled by each mode allows MassDOT to see strategic opportunities to improve the travel options for our customers, strengthen the relationship between land use and transportation planning, and draw a link to greenhouse gas emissions. Goal numbers are listed below."

| <u>Year</u> | Bicycling PMT | Transit PMT | Walking PMT | <u>Total</u> |
|------------------|---------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|
| 2010 (baseline) | 150.4m | 1.83b | 101.1m | 2.08b |
| 2020 (benchmark) | 330.0m | 3.99b | 223.9m | 4.55b |
| 2030 (goal year) | 516.m | 5.93b | 333.6m | 6.78b |

Source: http://transportation.blog.state.ma.us/blog/2012/12/massdot-goal-triple-bicycling-transit-walking.html

youMove Massachusetts

In addition, through the development of a statewide planning effort entitled *youMove Massachusetts* related to the "importance of public transportation to our overall economic and environmental well-being" that sought input on the state's public transportation system, a series of ten core themes were developed.

As stated on the youMove Massachusetts website (youmovemassachusetts.org):

"Designed to be a bottom-up approach to transportation planning, youMove Massachusetts asked the public to participate in priority-setting from the outset. We received more than 700 individual comments on mobility gaps and challenges from which we could recognize themes and begin to identify potential solutions. From these comments, we developed ten core themes: statements of fundamental importance that articulate the expressed concerns, needs, and aspirations of Massachusetts residents for their transportation network. These themes will contribute to an overall vision of a transportation system that can both serve and promote a prosperous and sustainable future for the Commonwealth. "

The core themes are as follows:

Theme 1: Improve Transportation System Reliability

Theme 2: Focus More Attention on Maintaining our Transportation System

Theme 3: Design Transportation Systems Better

Theme 4: Encourage Shared Use of Infrastructure

Theme 5: Increase Capacity by Expanding Existing Facilities and Services

Theme 6: Create a More User-Friendly Transportation System

Theme 7: Broaden the Transportation System to Serve More People

Theme 8: Provide Adequate Transportation Funding and Collect Revenue Equitably

Theme 9: Minimize Environmental Impacts

Theme 10: Improve Access to our Transportation System

From the information gather through *youMove Massachusetts*, the state has moved onto its next initiative entitled weMove Massachusetts. As stated on the website weMoveMassachusetts.org, "...the strategic planning process of the Massachusetts Department of Transportation (MassDOT), is now underway. Since 2009, MassDOT has undertaken a wide-reaching reform effort, designed to improve how we do business, how we respond to our customers, and how we provide the transportation services that are a crucial foundation for the sustainable economic development of the Commonwealth. The weMove Massachusetts process is our first comprehensive effort

to prioritize our transportation investments in a way that reflects what everyone feels is important for our transportation system."

MassDOT has identified seven major components that "contribute to building weMove Massachusetts:

- 1.Transportation Reform emphasis on our customers, innovation, accountability, performance management, efficiency, stewardship and stronger collaboration across transportation divisions
- 2.Data and Analysis critical to sound decision making
- 3. Transportation System Needs Identification to know that we are choosing the right transportation investments
- 4.youMove Massachusetts Themes ten value statements that capture the diverse values our customers
- 5. Customer and Stakeholder Engagement incorporate the priorities of our customers and stakeholders
- 6. Statewide Transportation Plans- implement our modal plans
- 7. Statewide Priorities and Policies ensure accountability"

MassDOT has indicated that "Between now and early 2013, weMove Massachusetts will:

- •Clearly articulate MassDOT's goals, priorities, and policies, which are based on public input
- Advance important statewide policy goals for improving mobility, protecting the environment, promoting economic growth, and improving public health and quality of life
- •Better use available information to allocate funding and prioritize projects in a clear and transparent way
- Communicate with stakeholders about their ideas on improving transportation services
- Engage all of our staff at MassDOT in the weMove Massachusetts process"

"A safe and efficient transportation system, ... that provides users with a good range of options for getting around, is an important building block for a successful, prosperous, and equitable future." Before this is obtained "...a series of ongoing challenges" must be faced:

- "Resources are limited and unequal to our needs. We will strive for the most cost-effective approach to transportation investments.
- •Our infrastructure is aging. Because deferring maintenance worsens this problem over time, making the right investments today will lead to future savings for all of us.
- •Good jobs are needed today. Making the right investments in infrastructure puts people to work, leverages private investment, and facilitates job growth.
- •Our population is constantly changing. As we live longer and welcome new residents to the Commonwealth, our transportation system must respond to the needs of all users.
- Extreme weather events impact us all. Tornadoes, major storms, and out-of-season snowfall events require quick responses to keep people and goods moving."

MassDOT states that "By implementing a robust decision-making framework, we will be able to spend our limited resources on investments into our transportation system that yield the greatest return in meeting our needs, to defend our investment decisions with data, and to meet these goals while understanding the aspirations and concerns of the traveling public."

For more information, please refer to the website: weMoveMassachusetts.org.

The policies of the Commonwealth will be reviewed, considered and incorporated in the planning studies developed as part of the work tasks outlined in this UPWP. Recommendations derived from these studies will be consistent with state policies.

Healthy Transportation Policy Directive

On September 20, 2013, MassDOT announced "a forward-thinking shift in MassDOT's approach to project development." Secretary and CEO Richard A. Davey stated that the new Healthy Transportation Policy Directive

"will require all state transportation projects to increase bicycling, transit and walking options. This new Directive is intended to promote multimodal access for our transportation customers."

The new Healthy Transportation Directive seeks to build upon the goals that were established under MassDOT's GreenDOT Implementation Plan and the mode shift goal announced in October 2012 that calls for the tripling of bicycle, transit and walking travel shares by 2030. The Directive requires all MassDOT Districts to review all projects under design to "ensure they are consistent with ...goals."

Other elements included in the Directive are as follows:

- All MassDOT facilities will consider adjacent land uses and be designed to include wider sidewalks, landscaping, crossing opportunities and other features to enhance healthy transportation options.
- Reviews will be conducted of cluster sites where incidents have occurred with healthy transportation users.
- MassDOT will develop a guide to assist communities proposing Shared Use Paths on or along rail beds in order to accelerate the path design process.

Additional information on the Healthy Transportation Policy Directive and MassDOT's GreenDOT comprehensive environmental responsibility and sustainability initiative can be viewed at http://www.massdot.state.ma.us/GreenDOT.aspx.

RTA Comprehensive Service Analysis Plan

Section 63 of Chapter 46 of the Massachusetts Session Laws of 2013 states: Notwithstanding any general or special law to the contrary, each regional transit authority established under chapter 161B of the General Laws shall develop a comprehensive regional transit plan in consultation with the appropriate regional planning agency, the Massachusetts Department of Transportation, local employers and the business associations, labor organizations and transit authority riders. The plan shall include, but not be limited to:

- 1. a comprehensive assessment of transit services;
- 2. a thorough examination of the ridership trends for each line and service provided by the regional transit authority;
- 3. a performance analysis of existing services;
- 4. the development and evaluation of alternative service scenarios:
- 5. the development of a recommendation to better align service with local and regional demand:
- 6. the commonwealth's environmental policies;
- 7. fare rates and collection methods;
- 8. the region's job creation goals and employment needs; and
- 9. A determination of whether the regional transit authority's service is deployed in the most effective way possible to accommodate the transit needs of the region's workforce. The development of the plan shall include public hearings in different regions of the Commonwealth and the opportunity to comment on a draft report.

MART has joined with nine of the other RTA's in a joint procurement and engaged a consultant to conduct this service analysis and write this regional plan for MART. A Steering Committee is being formed which includes the Montachusett Regional Planning Commission and several other community stakeholders. The plan/report is due to MassDOT no later than June 30, 2015.

701 CMR 7.00 USE OF ROAD FLAGGERS AND POLICE DETAILS ON PUBLIC WORKS PROJECTS

701 CMR 7.00 (the Regulation) was promulgated and became law on October 3, 2008. Under this Regulation, the CMR is applicable to any Public Works Project that is performed within the limits of, or that impact traffic on, any Public Road. The Municipal Limitation referenced in this Regulation is applicable only to projects where the Municipality is the Awarding Authority.

For all projects contained in the TIP, the Commonwealth is the Awarding Authority. Therefore, all projects must be considered and implemented in accordance with 701 CMR 7.00, and the Road Flagger and Police Detail Guidelines.

By placing a project on the TIP, the Municipality acknowledges that 701 CMR 7.00 is applicable to its project and design and construction will be fully compliant with this Regulation.

This information and additional information relative to guidance and implementation of the Regulation can be found at the following link on the MassDOT Highway Division website:

http://www.massdot.state.ma.us/Highway/flaggers/main.aspx

PART B. TRANSPORTATION AND TRANSIT PROJECT PRIORITIES: FEDERAL & STATE SECTIONS

Please note that the projects listed represent the best available information at the time of compilation. Actual implementation is subject to right of way, design, land taking, local action and/or other issues that could delay project time frames and subsequently advertising and award dates.

In addition, federal guidance requires that the TIP reflect Year of Expenditure (YOE) dollars for projects and programs. To accommodate this requirement, individual project cost estimates provided by MassDOT have been adjusted by a four percent per year inflation factor depending upon its year of placement in the TIP (for this TIP, Federal Years 2016, 2017 and 2018). Year 1 cost estimates remain as provided but projects in Year 2, 3 or 4 (i.e. FFY 2016, 2017 or 2018) have been increased by a YOE factor of 4%, 8% or 12%, respectively.

This page left blank.

| 2015 MONTACHU | SETT MPO Trans | sportation Imp | rovement Prog | gram | | | | 5/19/2014 Draft Released xx/xx/xxxx Endorsed | | | |
|------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|------------------------|---|-----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|--|------------------------|---|--|
| Amendment/Adjustment Type ▼ | MassDOT Project | MPO ▼ | Municipality Name ▼ | MassDOT Project Description ▼ | MassDOT District ▼ | Funding Source ▼ | Total Programmed Funds ▼ | Federal Funds ▼ | Non-Federal Funds ▼ | Additional Information ▼ | |
| Section 1A / Federal Aid T | arget Projects | | | | | | | | | | |
| ► HSIP - Highway Safety Imp | provement Program | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 604928 | Montachusett | Leominster | LEOMINSTER- RECONSTRUCTION OF MECHANIC STREET, FROM LAUREL STREET TO THE LEOMINSTER CONNECTOR | 3 | HSIP | \$ 495,506 | \$ 445,955 | \$ 49,551 | STP/HSIP/CMAQ; Non Adjusted Total Cost = \$3,750,000 as of 4/9/14; 25% Design on 12/2011; Construction; TEC 28 of 100; | |
| | | | | | | HSIP Subtotal ▶ | \$ 495,506 | \$ 445,955 | \$ 49,551 | ■ 90% Federal + 10% Non-Federal | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ► CMAQ - Congestion Mitiga | ation and Air Quality | Improvement Pro | ogram | | • | | | | | | |
| | 604928 | Montachusett | Leominster | LEOMINSTER- RECONSTRUCTION OF MECHANIC STREET, FROM LAUREL STREET TO THE LEOMINSTER CONNECTOR | 3 | CMAQ | \$ 1,672,333 | \$ 1,337,866 | \$ 334,467 | STP/HSIP/CMAQ; Non Adjusted Total Cost = \$3,750,000 as of 4/9/14; 25% Design on 12/2011; Construction; TEC 28 of 100; | |
| | | | | | С | MAQ Subtotal ▶ | \$ 1,672,333 | \$ 1,337,866 | \$ 334,467 | ■ 80% Federal + 20% Non-Federal | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ► TAP - Transportation Alter | rnatives Program | • | | ' | • | | | | | | |
| | 604960 | Montachusett | Clinton | CLINTON- RESURFACING & RELATED WORK ON WATER STREET AND BOLTON ROAD (1.2 MILES) | 3 | ТАР | \$ 74,990 | \$ 59,992 | \$ 14,998 | STP/TAP; Non Adjusted Total Cost = \$5,551,000 as of 4/9/14; Construction; TEC 43 of 100; 75% Design returned on 10/11/13; CMAQ eligible; AC Yr 1 of 2 | |
| | | <u>.</u> | <u> </u> | I | | TAP Subtotal ▶ | \$ 74,990 | \$ 59,992 | \$ 14,998 | ◀ 80% Federal + 20% Non-Federal | |
| N 01440/HOID/TAD (041 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ► Non-CMAQ/HSIP/TAP (Oth | 604928 | Montachusett | Leominster | LEOMINSTER- RECONSTRUCTION OF MECHANIC STREET, FROM LAUREL STREET TO THE LEOMINSTER CONNECTOR | 3 | STP | \$ 1,582,161 | \$ 1,265,729 | \$ 316,432 | STP/HSIP/CMAQ; Non Adjusted Total Cost = \$3,750,000 as of 4/9/14; 25% Design on 12/2011; Construction; TEC 28 of 100; | |
| | 604960 | Montachusett | Clinton | CLINTON- RESURFACING & RELATED WORK ON WATER STREET AND BOLTON ROAD (1.2 MILES) | 3 | STP | \$ 3,255,735 | \$ 2,604,588 | \$ 651,147 | STP/TAP; Non Adjusted Total Cost = \$5,551,000 as of 4/9/14; Construction; TEC 43 of 100; 75% Design returned on 10/11/13; CMAQ eligible; AC Yr 1 of 2 | |
| | | | | Non-CMAC |)/HSIP/TAP (C | other) Subtotal ▶ | \$ 4,837,896 | \$ 3,870,317 | \$ 967,579 | ■ 80% Federal + 20% Non-Federal | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ► Section 1A / Fiscal Constr | aint Analysis | | | | · | | | | | | |
| | | | | Total Federal Aid T Total Non-CMAQ/HSI | | | | | | Target Funds Available Non-CMAQ/HSIP/TAP (Other) Available | |
| | | | | | | Programmed > | | \$ 495,506 | | \$ - HSIP Minimum Met \$ - CMAQ Minimum Met | |
| | | | | | | Programmed ► Programmed ► | | \$ 1,672,333 \$ 74,990 | ✓ Min. CMAQ ✓ Min. TAP | \$ - CMAQ Minimum Met \$ - TAP Minimum Met | |
| | | | | | | Q, and TAP Funds | \$ - | | | | |

| 2015 MONTACHU | SETT MPO Trans | sportation Imp | rovement Pro | gram | | | | 5/19/2014 Draft Re xx/xx/xxxx Endorsed | | |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------|------------------------|--|-----------------------|--|------------------|---|------------------------|---|
| Amendment/Adjustment Type ▼ | MassDOT Project | MPO ▼ | Municipality Name ▼ | MassDOT Project Description ▼ | MassDOT District ▼ | Funding Source ▼ | Total Programmed | d Federal Funds ▼ | Non-Federal Funds ▼ | Additional Information ▼ |
| Section 1B / Federal Aid B | Bridge Projects | | | | | | | | | |
| ► Statewide Bridge Mainten | ance Program | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | No Projects Programmed | | 0.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | |
| | | | | Statewide Bridge Ma | intenance Pro | gram Subtotal ▶ | • | \$ - | \$ - | ■ 80% Federal + 20% Non-Federal |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| ► On System | | | | No Projects Programmed | | | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | |
| | | | | , , | On Sy | ystem Subtotal ► | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | ■ 80% Federal + 20% Non-Federal |
| ▶ Off-System | | | | | | | | | | |
| Von-System | 607114 | Montachusett | Lancaster | LANCASTER - FULL BRIDGE REPLACEMENT, L-02-018, JACKSON ROAD OVER ROUTE 2. | 3 | STP-BR-OFF | \$ 3,432,00 | 0 \$ 2,745,600 | \$ 686,400 | AC Yr 2 of 2; Cost Est as of 4/23/13; Construction; Total Cost = \$7,576,608; Bridge Off System; \$4,144,608 programmed in FFY 2014 |
| | 604838 | Montachusett | Winchendon | WINCHENDON - BRIDGE REPLACEMENT, W-39-001, HARRIS ROAD OVER TARBELL BROOK | 2 | STP-BR-OFF | \$ 3,279,64 | 0 \$ 2,623,712 | \$ 655,928 | Cost Est as of 4/30/14; Non Adjusted Total Cost = \$3,279,640; Bridge Off System |
| | | | | | Off-Sy | /stem Subtotal ▶ | \$ 6,711,64 | 0 \$ 5,369,312 | \$ 1,342,328 | ■ 80% Federal + 20% Non-Federal |
| ► Statewide Bridge Inspection | Program | | | | | | | | | |
| <u> </u> | | | | No Projects Programmed | | | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | |
| | | | | Statewide Bridge | Inspection Pro | gram Subtotal ► | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | ■ 80% Federal + 20% Non-Federal |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| ► Section 1C / Federal Aid N | Ion-Target Projects | | | | | | | | | |
| ► Other Federal Aid | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 607347 | Montachusett | Gardner | GARDNER- BIKE PATH CONSTRUCTION, NORTH CENTRAL PATHWAY (PHASE VI) | 3 | HPP | \$ 1,000,00 | 0 \$ - | \$ 1,000,000 | HPP #1798 MA 148 Earmark: Non Adjusted Total Cost = \$1,000,000 (as of 4/9/14); Total Design = \$112,000 included in FFY 2013 TIP Amendment No. 2 MPO Endorsed 6/12/13; Total Estimated Design & Construction = \$1,112,000 Non Adjusted. |
| | | : | : | | Other Federa | al Aid Subtotal ▶ | \$ 1,000,00 | 0 \$ - | \$ 1,000,000 | ■ Funding Split Varies by Funding Source |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| ► Section 1D / Federal Aid N | lajor & State Categor | ry Projects | | | | | | | | |
| ► Statewide Infrastructure I | Program | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | No Projects Programmed | | NAME OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PR | Ψ | - \$ - | , T | |
| | | | | Statewide Infr | astructure Pro | gram Subtotal ► | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | ■ 80% Federal + 20% Non-Federal |
| ► Statewide HSIP Program | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | No Projects Programmed | | on an analysis of the second | \$ - | - \$ - | \$ - | |
| | | | • | State | wide HSIP Pro | gram Subtotal ► | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | ■ 90% Federal + 10% Non-Federal |

| 2015 монтасни | SETT MPO Trans | sportation l | mprovement Pro | gram | | | | 5/19/2014 Draft Re xx/xx/xxxx Endorsed | | , |
|------------------------------|----------------------|---|---------------------------------------|---|-----------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|---|------------------------|--|
| mendment/Adjustment Type ▼ | MassDOT Project | MPO ▼ | Municipality Name ▼ | MassDOT Project Description ▼ | MassDOT District ▼ | Funding Source ▼ | Total Programmed Funds ▼ | Federal Funds ▼ | Non-Federal Funds ▼ | Additional Information ▼ |
| Section 1D / Federal Aid M | laior & State Catego | rv Proiects | | | | | | | | |
| Statewide Safe Routes to | • | , ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | No Projects Programmed | | | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | Funding Split Varies by Funding Source |
| | | 1 | 1 | Statewide Safe Routes t | o Schools Pro | ogram Subtotal ► | ļ | \$ - | \$ - | ■ Funding Split Varies by Funding Source |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| ➤ Statewide CMAQ | 1 | • | : | | | | | | | |
| | | Ì | | No Projects Programmed | | | \$ - | \$ - | Ψ | |
| | | | | | Sta | atewide CMAQ ► | - | \$ - | \$ - | ◀ 80% Federal + 20% Non-Federal |
| Statewide Transportation | Enhancements | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | No Projects Programmed | | | \$ - | - | - | |
| | | | | Statewide Transporta | tion Enhancer | ments Subtotal > | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | ■ 80% Federal + 20% Non-Federal |
| h Ctatawida ITC | | | | | | | | | | |
| ► Statewide ITS | | * | | No Projects Programmed | 1 | | \$ - | _ | _ | |
| | | | | 140 1 Tojects 1 Togrammed | Statewid | le ITS Subtotal ▶ | | \$ - | \$ - | ■ 80% Federal + 20% Non-Federal |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| ► Statewide Interstate Maint | enance Program | • | | | | | | | | |
| | | 1 | | No Projects Programmed | ` | 0 1444 | \$ - | - | | 1 000/ 5 1 1 1 100/ 11 5 1 1 |
| | | | | Statewide Interstate Ma | Intenance Pro | ogram Subtotal ► | \$ - | - | - | ■ 90% Federal + 10% Non-Federal |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Statewide NHS Preservation | on Program+ | _ | | | | , | | | | |
| | | | | No Projects Programmed | <u> </u> | | \$ - | - | | |
| | | | | Statewide NHS Pr | eservation Pro | gram Subtotal > | - | - | - | ■ 80% Federal + 20% Non-Federal |
| Statewide RR Grade Cros | sinas | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 1 | | No Projects Programmed | | | \$ - | - | - | |
| | | | | Statewide R | R Grade Cros | sings Subtotal > | \$ - | \$ - | - | ■ 80% Federal + 20% Non-Federal |
| Ctatawida Ctarmwatar Bat | tuofito | | | | | | | | | |
| Statewide Stormwater Ret | tronts | • | B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B | No Projects Programmed | • | | \$ - | - | | |
| | | _i | | | : Stormwater Re | trofits Subtotal ► | | \$ - | \$ - | ■ 80% Federal + 20% Non-Federal |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Statewide ADA Implement | ation Plan | * | | lu n i . n | • | 1 | | | | |
| | | | | No Projects Programmed Statewide ADA I | | Dian Culatatal S | \$ - \$ - | - \$ - | | ■ 80% Federal + 20% Non-Federal |
| | | | | Statewide ADA I | mpiernentation | i Pian Subtotai 🕨 | - | - | \$ - | ■ 80% Federal + 20% Non-Federal |
| Other Statewide Items | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | ABP GANS Repayment | | | \$ - | - | - | |
| | | | | Award Adjustments, Change | | | \$ - | - | - | |
| | | | | Orders, Project Value | | | | | | |
| | | - | | Changes, Etc. DBEs, FAPO, Pavement Lab | ļ | | \$ - | | | |
| | | | | Retrofits, and Misc. Programs | | | - | | | |
| | | - | | Planning | 1 | | \$ - | - | - | |
| | | | | Statewide Design and Right of | | | \$ - | - | - | |
| | | | | Way | - | | | | | |
| | | Ì | - | Statewide Recreational Trails | | | \$ - | - | | |
| | | | | Otl | ner Statewide | Items Subtotal ► | | | | ■ Funding Split Varies by Funding Source |

| | Mana DOT Dunio et | | No i a i a a lita . | Manapor | MDOT | From elim as | Tatal Bassassas | | Non-Federal Funds | Additional |
|---|-----------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|---|-----------------------|-------------------------|---|----------------------------|-----------------------------|---|
| mendment/Adjustment Type ▼ | MassDOT Project | MPO ▼ | Municipality Name ▼ | MassDOT Project Description ▼ | MassDOT District ▼ | Funding Source ▼ | Total Programmed Funds ▼ | Federal Funds ▼ | Non-rederal runds ▼ | Information ▼ |
| ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | | | | | | | | | | |
| Section 2A / Non-Federal F | Projects | | | | | | | | | |
| Non Federal Aid | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 1 | | No Projects Programmed | | NFA | \$ - | • | \$ - | |
| | | | | , , | Non-Fede | ral Aid Subtotal▶ | \$ - | | \$ - | ◀100% Non-Federal |
| Section 2B / Non-Federal E | Bridge Projects | | | | | | | | | |
| Section 2B / Non-Federal I | Bridge Projects | | | | | | | | | |
| Occion 2D / Non-1 ederar i | Driage i rojecta | 1 | | No Projects Programmed | 1 | NFA | \$ - | \ | s - | |
| | | | | Section 2B / Non-Fe | ederal Bridge Pi | | | | \$ - | ◀100% Non-Federal |
| | | | | | | | | | Total of All Projects | |
| 2015 MONTACHU | ISETT MPO TIP 9 | Summary | | | | | TIP Section 1: ▼ | TIP Section 2: ▼ | ▼ | |
| IO IO MONTACIO | OLIT WITO THE | Juli III ai y | | | | Tatal b | £ 44.700.00E | ι φ | ↑ 44.700.00E | 4 Total Connedication Design |
| | | | | | | Total ► Federal Funds ► | , | <u> </u> | | ▼ Total Spending in Region ▼ Total Federal Spending in Region |
| | | | | | | Federal Funds > | , , , , , , | | | ▼ Total Non-Federal Spending in Region |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | traffic on, any Public Road | d. The Municipal Lin | nitation referenced in this | Regulation is applicable only to projects v | where the Municipali | ity is the Awarding Au | thority. For all projects cor | tained in the TIP, the Com | monwealth is the Awarding A | s Project that is performed within the limits of, or that authority. Therefore, all projects must be considered on will be fully compliant with this Regulation. This |

| 2016 MONTACHU | SETT MPO Trans | sportation Imp | provement Prog | gram | | | <u> </u> | 5/19/2014 Draft Released xx/xx/xxxx Endorsed | | | | |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|------------------------|--|-----------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---|---------------------------|--|--|--|
| Amendment/Adjustment Type ▼ | MassDOT Project ID ▼ | MPO ▼ | Municipality Name ▼ | MassDOT Project Description ▼ | MassDOT District ▼ | Funding Source ▼ | Total Programmed Funds ▼ | Federal Funds ▼ | Non-Federal Funds ▼ | Additional Information ▼ | | |
| Section 1A / Federal Aid Ta | arget Projects | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ► HSIP - Highway Safety Imp | provement Program | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 605651 | Montachusett | Leominster | LEOMINSTER- RECONSTRUCTION ON ROUTE 13, FROM HAWES STREET TO PROSPECT STREET | 3 | HSIP | \$ 445,955 | \$ 401,360 | \$ 44,596 | YOE by 8%; \$3,745,361 (as from \$6,331,11 TEC 61 of 100 | Cost = \$4,044,990 Adjusted for Non Adjusted Total Cost = of 4/9/14); Cost est. reduced 1 as of 4/12/12; Construction; by 25% Design; Cost includes allysis shows positive impact | |
| | | | | ' | ` | HSIP Subtotal ▶ | \$ 445,955 | \$ 401,360 | \$ 44,596 | ■ 90% Federal - | + 10% Non-Federal | |
| ► CMAQ - Congestion Mitiga | tion and Air Quality | Improvement Pro | naram | | | | | | | | | |
| | 605651 | Montachusett | Leominster | LEOMINSTER- RECONSTRUCTION ON ROUTE 13, FROM HAWES STREET TO PROSPECT STREET | 3 | CMAQ | \$ 1,393,611 | \$ 1,114,889 | \$ 278,722 | YOE by 8%; \$3,745,361 (as from \$6,331,11 TEC 61 of 100 | Cost = \$4,044,990 Adjusted for Non Adjusted Total Cost = of 4/9/14); Cost est. reduced 1 as of 4/12/12; Construction; by 25% Design; Cost includes halysis shows positive impact | |
| | | | - | · · | (| CMAQ Subtotal ▶ | \$ 1,393,611 | \$ 1,114,889 | \$ 278,722 | ■ 80% Federal | + 20% Non-Federal | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ► TAP - Transportation Alter | natives Program | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 604960 | Montachusett | Clinton | CLINTON- RESURFACING & RELATED WORK ON WATER STREET AND BOLTON ROAD (1.2 MILES) | | ТАР | \$ 127,980 | \$ 102,384 | | as of 4/9/14; Con Design returned | djusted Total Cost = \$5,551,000 nstruction; TEC 43 of 100; 75% on 10/11/13; CMAQ eligible; AC Yr 2 of 2 | |
| | | | | | | TAP Subtotal ▶ | \$ 127,980 | \$ 102,384 | \$ 25,596 | ■ 80% Federal | 20% Non-Federal | |
| Non-CMAQ/HSIP/TAP (Oth | ner) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| PROFESSION FIRE (CIT) | 605651 | Montachusett | Leominster | LEOMINSTER- RECONSTRUCTION ON ROUTE 13, FROM HAWES STREET TO PROSPECT STREET | 3 | STP | \$ 2,055,609 | \$ 1,644,487 | \$ 411,122 | YOE by 8%; \$3,745,361 (as from \$6,331,11 TEC 61 of 100 utilites; AQ ar | Cost = \$4,044,990 Adjusted for Non Adjusted Total Cost = of 4/9/14); Cost est. reduced 1 as of 4/12/12; Construction; by 25% Design; Cost includes alysis shows positive impact | |
| | 604960 | Montachusett | Clinton | CLINTON- RESURFACING & RELATED WORK ON WATER STREET AND BOLTON ROAD (1.2 MILES) | 3 | STP | \$ 2,092,295 | \$ 1,673,836 | \$ 418,459 | as of 4/9/14; Cor | djusted Total Cost = \$5,551,000 nstruction; TEC 43 of 100; 75% on 10/11/13; CMAQ eligible; AC Yr 2 of 2 | |
| | 606403 | Montachusett | Fitchburg | FITCHBURG- RECONSTRUCTION OF RINDGE ROAD, FROM ROUTE 31 (ASHBY STATE ROAD) TO ASHBY T.L. | | STP | \$ 1,248,000 | \$ 998,400 | \$ 249,600 | 4%; Non Adjuste | ,248,000 Adjusted for YOE by ed Total Cost = \$1,200,000 (as ruction; TEC 26 of 100; Prelim Design. | |
| | | | | Non-CMAC | O/HSIP/TAP (| Other) Subtotal ▶ | \$ 5,395,904 | \$ 4,316,723 | \$ 1,079,181 | ■ 80% Federal | + 20% Non-Federal | |
| ► Section 1A / Fiscal Constra | aint Analysis | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Total Federal Aid 1 Total Non-CMAQ/HSI | IP/TAP (Other | r) Programmed ▶ | \$ 5,395,904 | \$ 7,785,266 \$ 5,817,721 | ■ Max. Non- CMAQ/HSIP/TAP | \$ 421,817 | Target Funds Available Non-CMAQ/HSIP/TAP (Other) Available | |
| | | | | | | Programmed > | | \$ 445,955 | | | HSIP Minimum Met | |
| | | | | | | Q Programmed ► Programmed ► | | \$ 1,393,611 \$ 127,980 | ✓ Min. CMAQ ✓ Min. TAP | | CMAQ Minimum Met TAP Minimum Met | |
| | | | | D 1 | ing HCID CEA | AQ, and TAP Funds | · \$ - | | | | | |

| 2016 монтасни | SETT MPO Trans | sportation Imp | provement Pro | gram | | 5/19/2014 Draft Released xt/xx/xxxx Endorsed | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|----------------------|--|------------------------|--|-----------------------|--|-----------------------------|-----------------|------------------------|--|---|
| Amendment/Adjustment Type ▼ | MassDOT Project | мро ▼ | Municipality Name ▼ | MassDOT Project Description ▼ | MassDOT District ▼ | Funding Source ▼ | Total Programmed Funds ▼ | Federal Funds ▼ | Non-Federal Funds ▼ | Additional Information ▼ | |
| Section 1B / Federal Aid B | ridge Projects | | | | | | | | | | |
| ► Statewide Bridge Mainten | ance Program | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | No Projects Programmed | • | | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | | |
| | | 3 | | Statewide Bridge Ma | intenance Pro | gram Subtotal ► | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | ◀ 80% Federal | + 20% Non-Federal |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ► On System | | • | | No Projects Programmed | | | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | | |
| | | | | No Projects Programmed | On Sv | /stem Subtotal ▶ | | \$ - | \$ - | ■ 80% Federal | + 20% Non-Federal |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ➤ Off-System | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | - | | No Projects Programmed | 0".0 | | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | | |
| | | | | | Off-Sy | ystem Subtotal ► | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | ■ 80% Federal | + 20% Non-Federal |
| ► Statewide Bridge Inspection | Program | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | ************************************** | | No Projects Programmed | | | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | | |
| | | | | Statewide Bridge I | Inspection Pro | gram Subtotal ► | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | ■ 80% Federal | + 20% Non-Federal |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ► Section 1C / Federal Aid N | Ion-Target Projects | | | | | | | | | | |
| ► Other Federal Aid | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | P | | No Projects Programmed | | | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | | |
| | | | | | Other Feder | al Aid Subtotal ▶ | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | ■ Funding Split | Varies by Funding Source |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ► Section 1D / Federal Aid N | lajor & State Catego | ry Projects | | | | | | | | | |
| ► Statewide Infrastructure I | Program | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | - | | No Projects Programmed | | MACHINE AND ADDRESS OF THE ADDRESS O | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | | |
| | | | | Statewide Infra | astructure Pro | gram Subtotal ► | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | ■ 80% Federal | + 20% Non-Federal |
| ► Statewide HSIP Program | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 607960 | Montachusett | Ashburnham | ASHBURNHAM- ROUTE 101 AT WILLIAMS AND COREY HILL ROAD, INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS | 3 | HSIPR | \$ 624,000 | \$ 561,600 | \$ 62,400 | 4%; Non Adjuste 5/9/14); Design f in FFY 2014; Co Statewide HSIP # | 148,000 Adjusted for YOE by d Est Cost = \$1,200,000 (as unded through Regional HSII nstruction to be funded under #607493 as a High Risk Rura roject; AC Yr 1 of 2; Yr 2 FF 4,000; |
| | | | | State | wide HSIP Pro | gram Subtotal ► | \$ 624,000 | \$ 561,600 | \$ 62,400 | ■ 90% Federal | + 10% Non-Federal |
| ► Statewide Safe Routes to | Schools Program | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 607242 | Montachusett | Fitchburg | FITCHBURG - SOUTH STREET ELEMENTARY - SAFE ROUTES TO SCHOOL Recommended improvements: Installation of sidewalks, wheelchair ramps, crosswalk striping and warning signs. | 3 | SRTS | \$ 884,000 | \$ 884,000 |) \$ - | 100% Federal | |

| 2016 montachus | ETT MPO Trans | sportation Ir | mprovement Pro | gram | | 5/19/2014 Draft Re xx/xx/xxxx Endorsed | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------|---|------------------------|--|------------------|---|-----------------------------|--|---|---|-----------------------|
| Amendment/Adjustment Type ▼ | MassDOT Project ID ▼ | МРО ▼ | Municipality Name ▼ | MassDOT Project Description ▼ | 1 | Funding Source ▼ | Total Programmed Funds ▼ | Federal Funds ▼ | Non-Federal Funds ▼ | Additional Information ▼ | |
| Section 1D / Federal Aid Ma | jor & State Catego | ry Projects | | | | | | | | | |
| ➤ Statewide CMAQ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 1 | | No Projects Programmed | | | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | | |
| | | | I | 1.0 1 rejecte 1 regrammed | Stat | tewide CMAQ ► | · · | \$ - | | ■ 80% Federal | + 20% Non-Federal |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ► Statewide Transportation E | nhancements | • | | | | 1 | | | | ., | |
| | | | | No Projects Programmed | | | \$ - | - | - | 1 2007 5 1 1 | 200/11 = 1 1 |
| | | | | Statewide Transporta | tion Enhancem | nents Subtotal ► | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | ■ 80% Federal | + 20% Non-Federal |
| Statewide ITS | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 1 | | No Projects Programmed | 1 | | \$ - | - | - | | |
| | | | | , , | Statewide | e ITS Subtotal ▶ | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | ■ 80% Federal | + 20% Non-Federal |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ► Statewide Interstate Mainte | nance Program | • | | lu p : . p | • | 1 | | | | | |
| | | | | No Projects Programmed Statewide Interstate Ma | intenent - D | arom Cultitut | \$ - \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | ■ 000/ □ = □ = · · · | + 10% Non-Federal |
| | | | | Statewide Interstate Ma | intenance Prog | gram Subtotal 🕨 | - | - | | ■ 90% Federal | r 10% Non-rederal |
| Ctatamida NUIC Dasaamatia | - D | | | | | | | | | | |
| Statewide NHS Preservation | n Program + | • | | No Projects Programmed | 1 | | \$ - | _ | _ | | |
| | | | i | Statewide NHS Pro | eservation Prod | ram Subtotal ▶ | | | \$ - | ■ 80% Federal | + 20% Non-Federal |
| | | | | | | , | | | | | |
| ► Statewide RR Grade Cross | ngs | _ | | | _ | | | | | ~ | |
| | | | | No Projects Programmed | | | \$ - | - | - | | |
| | | | | Statewide R | R Grade Cross | sings Subtotal ► | \$ - | - | \$ - | ■ 80% Federal | 20% Non-Federal |
| Statewide Stormwater Retr | ofite | | | | | | | | | | |
| Statewide Stormwater Keti | Onto | 1 | | No Projects Programmed | • | | \$ - | _ | _ | | |
| | | | | | Stormwater Reti | rofits Subtotal ► | | | | ■ 80% Federal | + 20% Non-Federal |
| | | | | - Catemas C | , to much in the | one oubtotal p | | | | , 1 00 / 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 1 | 20 /0 11011 1 000101 |
| ► Statewide ADA Implementa | ion Plan | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | No Projects Programmed | | | \$ - | - | - | | |
| | | | | Statewide ADA I | mplementation | Plan Subtotal ▶ | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | ■ 80% Federal | 20% Non-Federal |
| Other Statewide Items | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 1 | | ABP GANS Repayment | - | | \$ - | - | - | ļ | L |
| | | 1 | | Award Adjustments, Change | | | \$ - | - | - | | |
| | | 800444400000000000000000000000000000000 | | Orders, Project Value Changes, Etc. | | ano | | ************************************** | *************************************** | | |
| | | - | 1 | DBEs, FAPO, Pavement Lab | | | \$ - | - | - | T | |
| | | - | | Retrofits, and Misc. Programs | | | • | | | | |
| | | - | | Planning Statewide Design and Right of | | | \$ - \$ - | - | - | ļ | |
| | | | | Way | | | φ - | - | - | Paradiana | |
| | | • | | Statewide Recreational Trails | | | \$ - | _ | _ | | |
| | | 1 | | | | tems Subtotal ▶ | * | | \$ - | | Varies by Funding Sou |

| | MassDOT Project | | Municipality | MassDOT | MassDOT | , - | Total Programmed | | Non-Federal Funds | |
|----------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|---|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| mendment/Adjustment Type ▼ | ID ¥ | MPO ▼ | Name ▼ | Project Description ▼ | District ▼ | Source ▼ | Funds ▼ | Federal Funds ▼ | V | Information ▼ |
| Section 2A / Non-Federal F | Projects | | | | | | | | | |
| Non Federal Aid | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | No Projects Programmed | 1 | NFA | \$ - | • | \$ - | |
| | | | | , ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | Non-Feder | ral Aid Subtotal▶ | \$ - | , | \$ - | ◀100% Non-Federal |
| Section 2B / Non-Federal B | Bridge Projects | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Section 2B / Non-Federal B | Bridge Projects | • | | 1 | _ | 1 | | | _ | |
| | | | | No Projects Programmed | | NFA | \$ - | | \$ - | |
| | | | | Section 2B / Non-Fe | ederal Bridge Pr | rojects Subtotal► | - | | - | ◀100% Non-Federal |
| | | | | | | | | | Total of All Projects | |
| 2016 montachu | SETT MPO TIP S | Summary | | | | | TIP Section 1: ▼ | TIP Section 2: ▼ | ▼ | |
| | | | | | | Total ► | \$ 8,871,450 | \$ - | \$ 8,871,450 | ■ Total Spending in Region |
| | | | | | I | Federal Funds ► | \$ 7,380,956 | | | ■ Total Federal Spending in Region |
| | | | | | Non- | Federal Funds ► | \$ 1,490,495 | \$ - | \$ 1,490,495 | ■ Total Non-Federal Spending in Region |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 701 CMR 7 00 Use of Rox | ad Flaggers and Pol | ice Details on Public Work | s Projects / 701 CMR 7.00 (the Regulation | on) was promulgated | and became law on C | October 3, 2008. Under this | Regulation, the CMR is a | oplicable to any Public works | Project that is performed within the limits of, or that in |

| 2017 MONTACHUS | SETT MPO Trans | sportation Imp | provement Pro | gram | | | | 5/19/2014 Draft Re xx/xx/xxxx Endorse | | | |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|------------------------|--|-----------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|--|------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|
| Amendment/Adjustment Type ▼ | MassDOT Project ID ▼ | MPO ▼ | Municipality Name ▼ | MassDOT Project Description ▼ | MassDOT District ▼ | Funding Source ▼ | Total Programme Funds ▼ | d Federal Funds ▼ | Non-Federal Funds ▼ | Additional Information ▼ | |
| ► Section 1A / Federal Aid Ta | arget Projects | | | | | | | | | | |
| ► HSIP - Highway Safety Imp | rovement Program | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 606124 | Montachusett | Multiple | FITCHBURG- LUNENBURG- LEOMINSTER- RECONSTRUCTION OF SUMMER STREET AND NORTH STREET | 3 | HSIP | \$ 445,958 | 5 \$ 401,360 | \$ 44,596 | Adjusted for Y Cost = \$6,944, | P/TAP; Total Cost = \$7,499,90 OE by 8%; Non Adjusted Total 358 as of 4/9/14; 25% received 1/14); TEC 50 of 100; |
| | | | | | | HSIP Subtotal ▶ | \$ 445,95 | 5 \$ 401,360 | \$ 44,596 | ■ 90% Federal | + 10% Non-Federal |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ► CMAQ - Congestion Mitiga | tion and Air Quality 606124 | Montachusett | ogram Multiple | FITCHBURG- LUNENBURG- LEOMINSTER- RECONSTRUCTION OF SUMMER STREET AND NORTH STREET | 3 | CMAQ | \$ 1,393,611 | \$ 1,114,889 | \$ 278,722 | Adjusted for Y Cost = \$6,944, | P/TAP; Total Cost = \$7,499,90 OE by 8%; Non Adjusted Total 358 as of 4/9/14; 25% received 1/14); TEC 50 of 100; |
| | | | | | (| CMAQ Subtotal ► | \$ 1,393,61 | \$ 1,114,889 | \$ 278,722 | ■ 80% Federal | + 20% Non-Federal |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ► TAP - Transportation Alter | natives Program | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 606124 | Montachusett | Multiple | FITCHBURG- LUNENBURG- LEOMINSTER- RECONSTRUCTION OF SUMMER STREET AND NORTH STREET | 3 | TAP | \$ 83,156 | 66,525 | 5 \$ 16,631 | Adjusted for Y Cost = \$6,944, | P/TAP; Total Cost = \$7,499,90 OE by 8%; Non Adjusted Total 358 as of 4/9/14; 25% received 1/14); TEC 50 of 100; |
| | | | | | | TAP Subtotal ▶ | \$ 83,15 | 66,525 | 5 \$ 16,631 | ■ 80% Federal | + 20% Non-Federal |
| ► Non-CMAQ/HSIP/TAP (Oth | er) | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 606124 | Montachusett | Multiple | FITCHBURG- LUNENBURG- LEOMINSTER- RECONSTRUCTION OF SUMMER STREET AND NORTH STREET | 3 | STP | \$ 5,577,188 | 5 \$ 4,461,748 | \$ 1,115,437 | Adjusted for Y Cost = \$6,944, | P/TAP; Total Cost = \$7,499,90 OE by 8%; Non Adjusted Total 358 as of 4/9/14; 25% received 1/14); TEC 50 of 100; |
| | | The same of the sa | | Non-CMA(|] Q/HSIP/TAP (0 | Other) Subtotal ► | \$ 5,577,185 | 5 \$ 4,461,748 | \$ \$ 1,115,437 | ■ 80% Federal | + 20% Non-Federal |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ► Section 1A / Fiscal Constra | aint Analysis | | | | | | J | | | | |
| | | | | Total Federal Aid Total Non-CMAQ/HS | | | | | | | Target Funds Available Non-CMAQ/HSIP/TAP (Other |
| | | | | | ` | , , | | | CMAQ/HSIP/TAP | | Available |
| | | | | | | Programmed > | | | | s - | HSIP Minimum Met |
| | | | | | | Q Programmed ► Programmed ► | | | ✓ Min. CMAQ Min. TAP | \$ - \$ - | CMAQ Minimum Met TAP Minimum Met |
| | | | | | | sgrammod P | 50,10 | 30,100 | | T | |

| 2017 MONTACHU | JSETT MPO Trans | sportation Imp | provement Pro | gram | | | | 5/19/2014 Draft Re xx/xx/xxxx Endorsed | | |
|-------------------------------|----------------------|----------------|------------------------|--|-----------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|---|------------------------|---|
| Amendment/Adjustment Type ▼ | MassDOT Project | MPO ▼ | Municipality Name ▼ | MassDOT Project Description ▼ | MassDOT District ▼ | Funding Source ▼ | Total Programme Funds ▼ | d Federal Funds ▼ | Non-Federal Funds ▼ | Additional Information ▼ |
| Section 1B / Federal Aid E | Bridge Projects | | | | | | | | | |
| ► Statewide Bridge Mainten | ance Program | | | | | | | | | |
| | | • | | No Projects Programmed | • | | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | |
| | | | | Statewide Bridge Ma | intenance Pro | ogram Subtotal ▶ | <u> </u> | | \$ - | ■ 80% Federal + 20% Non-Federal |
| ►On System | | | | | | | | | | |
| • | 605094 | Montachusett | Fitchburg | FITCHBURG - BRIDGE BETTERMENT, F-04-003, STATE ROUTE 31 OVER PHILLIPS BROOK | 3 | NHPP | \$ 5,439,420 | \$ 4,351,536 | \$ 1,087,884 | Cost Est as of 4/30/14; Total Cost = \$5,439,420 Adjusted for YOE by 8%; |
| | | | | | On Sy | /stem Subtotal ▶ | \$ 5,439,420 | \$ 4,351,536 | \$ 1,087,884 | ■ 80% Federal + 20% Non-Federal |
| ▶ Off-System | | | | | | | | | | |
| Pon-System | 607127 | Montachusett | Hubbardston | HUBBARDSTON - BRIDGE REPLACEMENT, H-24-009, EVERGREEN ROAD OVER MASON BROOK | 3 | STP-BR-OFF | \$ 1,726,760 | 1,381,408 | \$ 345,352 | Cost Est as of 4/30/1; Total Cost = \$1,726,760 Adjusted for YOE by 8%; Bridge Off System |
| | 607529 | Montachusett | Winchendon | WINCHENDON - BRIDGE REPAIR, W-39-015, NORTH ROYALSTON ROAD OVER WEST BRANCH OF MILLERS RIVER | 2 | STP-BR-OFF | \$ 2,624,440 | 2,099,552 | \$ 524,888 | Cost Est as of 4/23/13; Total Cost = \$2,624,444 Adjusted for YOE by 8%; Bridge Off System |
| | | | : | NVER | Off-Sy | stem Subtotal ► | \$ 4,351,200 | 3,480,960 | \$ 870,240 | ■ 80% Federal + 20% Non-Federal |
| ► Statewide Bridge Inspection | Program | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | No Projects Programmed | | | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | |
| | | | | Statewide Bridge I | nspection Pro | gram Subtotal ► | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | ■ 80% Federal + 20% Non-Federal |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| ► Section 1C / Federal Aid N | Non-Target Projects | | | | | | | | | |
| ► Other Federal Aid | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 0.1.0. 1 0.001.0.7.0.0 | | | | No Projects Programmed | | | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | |
| | | | | | Other Feder | al Aid Subtotal ▶ | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | ■ Funding Split Varies by Funding Source |
| ► Section 1D / Federal Aid M | Maior & State Catego | rv Projects | | | | | | | | |
| | | ., , | | | | | | | | |
| ► Statewide Infrastructure | Program | | | | • | | | | | |
| | | | | No Projects Programmed Statewide Infra | astructure Pro | ogram Subtotal ► | Ψ | - \$ - | \$ - \$ - | ■ 80% Federal + 20% Non-Federal |
| | | | | Ciclic Wide Hill | doll dollare i Te | gram oublour p | | | | 20070 Teachar 2070 North Education |
| ► Statewide HSIP Program | 607960 | Montachusett | Ashburnham | ASHBURNHAM- ROUTE 101 AT WILLIAMS AND COREY HILL ROAD, INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS | 3 | HSIPR | \$ 624,00 | 0 \$ 561,600 | \$ 62,400 | Total Cost = \$1,248,000 Adjusted for YOE by 4%; Non Adjusted Est Cost = \$1,200,000 (as of 5/9/14); Design funded through Regional HSIP in FFY 2014; Construction to be funded under Statewide HSIP #607493 as a High Risk Rural Road Program project; AC Yr 2 of 2; Yr 1 FFY 2016 Cost = \$624,000; |
| | | | | Statev | wide HSIP Pro | gram Subtotal ► | \$ 624,00 | 561,600 | \$ 62,400 | ■ 90% Federal + 10% Non-Federal |

| 2017 монтасни | SETT MPO Trans | sportation Imp | provement Pro | gram | | | | | 5/19/2014 Draft Rele xx/xx/xxxx Endorsed | Juoga | | |
|------------------------------|----------------------|----------------|------------------------|--|-----------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|--------|---|------------------------|-----------------------------|---|
| Amendment/Adjustment Type ▼ | MassDOT Project | MPO ▼ | Municipality Name ▼ | MassDOT Project Description ▼ | MassDOT District ▼ | Funding Source ▼ | Total Progra Funds ▼ | | Federal Funds ▼ | Non-Federal Funds ▼ | Additional Information ▼ | |
| ► Section 1D / Federal Aid M | Major & State Catego | ry Projects | | | | | | | | | | |
| ► Statewide Safe Routes to | Schools Program | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | No Projects Programmed | | | \$ | - | * | | | ies by Funding Source |
| | | | | Statewide Safe Routes t | to Schools Pro | gram Subtotal ▶ | \$ | | \$ - | - | ■ Funding Split \ | /aries by Funding Source |
| ➤ Statewide CMAQ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | No Projects Programmed | | | \$ | - | \$ - | \$ - | | |
| | | | | , , | Sta | tewide CMAQ ▶ | \$ | - | \$ - | \$ - | ◀ 80% Federal + | - 20% Non-Federal |
| Statewide Transportation | Enhancements | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Statewice Transportation | Elinancements | | | No Projects Programmed | 1 | | \$ | - | - | - | | |
| | | | | Statewide Transporta | ation Enhancer | ments Subtotal ▶ | | - | \$ - | \$ - | ■ 80% Federal + | - 20% Non-Federal |
| Statewide ITS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Otatewide 113 | | | | No Projects Programmed | | | \$ | - 1 | - | - | | |
| | | | | , , , | Statewid | e ITS Subtotal ▶ | | - | \$ - | \$ - | ◀ 80% Federal + | - 20% Non-Federal |
| Statewide Interstate Main | tonanco Brogram | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Statewide litter state main | 606575 | Montachusett | Multiple | STERLING - LEOMINSTER - LANCASTER - INTERSTATE MAINTENANCE & RELATED | 3 | NHPP | \$ 17,09 | 97,350 | 15,387,615.0 | 1,709,735.0 | | 30/14; Total Cost = \$17,097, ted for YOE by 8%; |
| | | | | WORK ON I-190 Statewide Interstate Ma | aintenance Pro | gram Subtotal ▶ | \$ 17,09 | 97,350 | \$ 15,387,615 | \$ 1,709,735 | ■ 90% Federal + | - 10% Non-Federal |
| Statewide NHS Preservat | ion Program+ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 607475 | Montachusett | Winchendon | WINCHENDON - RESURFACING & RELATED WORK ON ROUTE 12, FROM MILL STREET/BEGINNING OF STATE HIGHWAY TO NEW HAMPSHIRE STATE LINE (2.5 MILES) | | NHPP | \$ 1,95 | 51,425 | 1,561,140.0 | 390,285.0 | | 30/14; Total Cost = \$1,951,4 ted for YOE by 8%; |
| | | | | Statewide NHS Pr | eservation Pro | gram Subtotal ▶ | \$ 1,95 | 51,425 | \$ 1,561,140 | \$ 390,285 | ■ 80% Federal + | - 20% Non-Federal |
| Statewide RR Grade Cros | sings | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | No Projects Programmed | | | \$ | - | - | - | | |
| | | | | Statewide R | RR Grade Cros | sings Subtotal > | \$ | - | \$ - | - | ■ 80% Federal + | - 20% Non-Federal |
| Statewide Stormwater Re | trofits | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | No Projects Programmed | | | \$ | - | - | - | | |
| | | | | Statewide 8 | Stormwater Rei | trofits Subtotal > | 5 | - | \$ - | - | ■ 80% Federal + | - 20% Non-Federal |
| Statewide ADA Implement | ation Plan | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | No Projects Programmed | | Plan Subtotal ▶ | \$ | -] | - | - | 4 000/ E-dI | - 20% Non-Federal |
| | | | | Statewide ADA I | mpiementation | Plan Subtotal > | . | | \$ - | \$ - | ■ 80% Federal + | - 20% Non-Federal |
| Other Statewide Items | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 1 | | ABP GANS Repayment | | | \$ | - | <u>-</u> | - | | |
| | | | | Award Adjustments, Change Orders, Project Value Changes, Etc. | | | \$ | | | - | | |
| | | | | DBEs, FAPO, Pavement Lab Retrofits, and Misc. Programs | | | \$ | - | - | - | | |
| | | | | Planning Statewide Design and Right of Way | | | \$ | - | - | - | | |
| | | + | | Statewide Recreational Trails | | | \$ | - | | _ | <u> </u> | |
| | | - | - | | | Items Subtotal ▶ | Ψ | - | \$ - | \$ - | | /aries by Funding Source |

| 2017 MONTACHUS | SETT MPO Trans | sportation Impr | ovement Prog | ram | | | | 5/19/2014 Draft Release/ xx/xx/xxxx Endorsed | eased | |
|------------------------------|--|--|---|---|---|--|---|--|---|---|
| Amendment/Adjustment Type ▼ | MassDOT Project | MPO ▼ | Municipality Name ▼ | MassDOT Project Description ▼ | MassDOT District ▼ | | Total Programmed Funds ▼ | Federal Funds ▼ | Non-Federal Funds ▼ | Additional Information ▼ |
| Section 2A / Non-Federal F | Projects | | | | | | | | | |
| ► Non Federal Aid | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | • | | No Projects Programmed | • | NFA | \$ - | • | \$ - | |
| | | | | Tro i rojecto i rogrammod | Non-Feder | ral Aid Subtotal▶ | | | \$ - | ◀100% Non-Federal |
| ► Section 2B / Non-Federal E | Bridge Projects | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Section 2B / Non-Federal E | Bridge Projects | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | No Projects Programmed | | NFA | \$ - | | \$ - | |
| | | | | Section 2B / Non-Fed | deral Bridge Pr | ojects Subtotal▶ | \$ - | | - | ◀100% Non-Federal |
| | | | | | | | | | Total of All Projects | |
| 2017 MONTACHU | SETT MPO TIP S | Summary | | | | | TIP Section 1: ▼ | TIP Section 2: ▼ | ▼ | |
| | | | | | | Total ▶ | \$ 36,963,302 | \$ - | \$ 36,963,302 | ■ Total Spending in Region |
| | | | | | | Federal Funds ▶ | | • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • | | ■ Total Federal Spending in Region |
| | | | | | Non-l | Federal Funds ▶ | | -pintintintintintintintintintintintintinti | | ■ Total Non-Federal Spending in Region |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | traffic on, any Public Road implemented in accordance | d. The Municipal Limita ce with 701 CMR 7.00, a | tion referenced in this Re and the Road Flagger an | egulation is applicable only to projects wh | nere the Municipalit project on the TIP, | ty is the Awarding Aut the Municipality ackno | hority. For all projects con owledges that 701 CMR 7.0 | tained in the TIP, the Comi 00 is applicable to its project | nonwealth is the Awarding A at and design and construction | Project that is performed within the limits of, or that imputhority. Therefore, all projects must be considered and on will be fully compliant with this Regulation. This |

| 2018 MONTACHU | SETT MPO Trans | sportation Imp | rovement Pro | gram | | | | 5/19/2014 Draft Rel xx/xx/xxxx Endorsed | cascu | | |
|------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|------------------------|--|-----------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|--|---|---|--|
| Amendment/Adjustment Type ▼ | MassDOT Project | MPO ▼ | Municipality Name ▼ | MassDOT Project Description ▼ | MassDOT District ▼ | Funding Source ▼ | Total Programmed Funds ▼ | Federal Funds ▼ | Non-Federal Funds ▼ | Additional Information ▼ | |
| Section 1A / Federal Aid T | arget Projects | | | | | | | | | | |
| ► HSIP - Highway Safety Imp | provement Program | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 604699 | Montachusett | Sterling | STERLING- INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS AT ROUTE 12 AND CHOCKSETT ROAD | 3 | HSIP | \$ 445,955 | \$ 401,360 | \$ 44,596 | Adjusted for YC Cost = \$3,786,0 TEC 39 of 100; Boston: Possibl | CMAQ; Total Cost = \$4,240,32 DE by 12%; Non Adjusted Total 00; Construction (as of 5/8/14) Preliminary Design at MassDO e Municipality Design; possible IP; CMAQ Eligible; |
| | | | | | | HSIP Subtotal ▶ | \$ 445,955 | \$ 401,360 | \$ 44,596 | ■ 90% Federal | + 10% Non-Federal |
| ► CMAQ - Congestion Mitiga | ation and Air Quality | Improvement Pro | ogram | | | | | | | | |
| | 604699 | Montachusett | Sterling | STERLING- INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS AT ROUTE 12 AND CHOCKSETT ROAD | 3 | CMAQ | \$ 1,393,611 | \$ 1,114,889 | \$ 278,722 | Adjusted for YC Cost = \$6,130,00 TEC 39 of 100; Boston: Possibl | CMAQ; Total Cost = \$6,865,600 DE by 12%; Non Adjusted Total D0; Construction (as of 4/14/14 Preliminary Design at MassDO' e Municipality Design; possible IP; CMAQ Eligible; |
| | | | | | (| CMAQ Subtotal ▶ | \$ 1,393,611 | \$ 1,114,889 | \$ 278,722 | ■ 80% Federal | + 20% Non-Federal |
| ► TAP - Transportation Alte | rnatives Program | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 604699 | Montachusett | Sterling | STERLING- INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS AT ROUTE 12 AND CHOCKSETT ROAD | 3 | TAP | \$ 83,156 | \$ 66,525 | \$ 16,631 | Adjusted for YC Cost = \$6,130,00 TEC 39 of 100; Boston: Possibl | CMAQ; Total Cost = \$6,865,600 DE by 12%; Non Adjusted Total D0; Construction (as of 4/14/14 Preliminary Design at MassDO' e Municipality Design; possible IP; CMAQ Eligible; |
| | | | - i | | 1 | TAP Subtotal ▶ | \$ 83,156 | \$ 66,525 | \$ 16,631 | ■ 80% Federal | + 20% Non-Federal |
| ► Non-CMAQ/HSIP/TAP (Oth | ner) | | | | | | | | | | |
| PROFESSIONAL TOUR | 604699 | Montachusett | Sterling | STERLING- INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS AT ROUTE 12 AND CHOCKSETT ROAD | 3 | STP | \$ 2,317,598 | \$ 1,854,078 | \$ 463,520 | Adjusted for YC Cost = \$6,130,00 TEC 39 of 100; Boston: Possibl | CMAQ; Total Cost = \$6,865,600 DE by 12%; Non Adjusted Total 30; Construction (as of 4/14/14 Preliminary Design at MassDO e Municipality Design; possible IP; CMAQ Eligible; |
| | 607446 | Montachusett | Westminster | WESTMINSTER- INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS, ROUTE 2A AT ROUTE 140 | 3 | STP | \$ 2,284,800 | \$ 1,827,840 | \$ 456,960 | 12%; Non Adjus of 1/6/14); Preli | 2,284,800 Adjusted for YOE by ted Total Cost = \$2,040,000 (as m Design; Est Const - Summer Itant Design; TEC 43 of 100; |
| | 607252 | Montachusett | Gardner | GARDNER - RESURFACING & RELATED WORK ON MATTHEW STREET | 3 | STP | \$ 1,120,000 | \$ 896,000 | \$ 224,000 | book job; Total YOE by 12%; | 9/4/13; city to design; could be Cost = \$1,120,000 Adjusted by Non Adjusted Total Est Cost = as of 4/9/14; TEC 11 of 100; |
| | | | | Non-CMAC | HSIP/TAP (| Other) Subtotal ▶ | \$ 5,722,398 | \$ 4,577,918 | \$ 1,144,480 | ◀ 80% Federal | + 20% Non-Federal |
| ► Section 1A / Fiscal Constr | aint Analysis | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Total Federal Aid T Total Non-CMAQ/HSI | | | | 7,785,266 \$ 5,862,545 | ◆Total Target ◆ Max. Non- CMAQ/HSIP/TAP | | Target Funds Available Non-CMAQ/HSIP/TAP (Other |
| | | | | | | Programmed ► | | \$ 445,955 | | | HSIP Minimum Met |
| | | | | | | Q Programmed ► Programmed ► | | | ✓ Min. CMAQ ✓ Min. TAP | | CMAQ Minimum Met TAP Minimum Met |

| 2018 MONTACHUS | SETT MPO Trans | sportation li | mprovement Pro | gram | | | | 5/19/2014 Draft Re xx/xx/xxxx Endorsed | | | |
|--|----------------------|---------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|---|------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|
| Amendment/Adjustment Type ▼ | MassDOT Project | MPO ▼ | Municipality Name ▼ | MassDOT Project Description ▼ | MassDOT District ▼ | Funding Source ▼ | Total Programmed Funds ▼ | Federal Funds ▼ | Non-Federal Funds ▼ | Additional Information ▼ | |
| Section 1B / Federal Aid B | ridge Projects | | | | | | | | | | |
| Statewide Bridge Maintena | ance Program | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | No Projects Programmed | | | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | | |
| | | | | Statewide Bridge N | Maintenance Pro | ogram Subtotal ► | | \$ - | \$ - | ■ 80% Federal + | 20% Non-Federal |
| On System | | | | | | | | | 0000000 | | |
| On System | | | | No Projects Programmed | | | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | | |
| | | | | | On S | ystem Subtotal ▶ | * | \$ - | \$ - | ■ 80% Federal + | 20% Non-Federal |
| · Off-System | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | No Projects Programmed | | | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | | |
| | | | | | Off-S | ystem Subtotal ▶ | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | ■ 80% Federal + | 20% Non-Federal |
| Statewide Bridge Inspection | Program | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | No Projects Programmed | | | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | | |
| | | | | Statewide Bridge | e Inspection Pro | ogram Subtotal ► | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | ■ 80% Federal + | 20% Non-Federal |
| Section 1C / Federal Aid N | on-Target Projects | | | | | | | | | | |
| Other Federal Aid | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | No Projects Programmed | | | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | | |
| | | | | | Other Feder | al Aid Subtotal ▶ | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | ■ Funding Split \ | Varies by Funding Source |
| Section 1D / Federal Aid M | lajor & State Catego | ry Projects | | | | | | | | | |
| Statowida Infractrustura F | Program | | | | | | | | | | |
| Statewide Infrastructure F | -rogram | • | | No Projects Programmed | | | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | | |
| | | | | , , | frastructure Pro | ogram Subtotal ► | * | | \$ - | ■ 80% Federal + | + 20% Non-Federal |
| Statewide HSIP Program | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | No Projects Programmed | | | \$ - | \$ - | T | | |
| Statewide Safe Routes to | Schools Program | | | Stat | ewide HSIP Pro | ogram Subtotal > | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | ■ 90% Federal + | 10% Non-Federal |
| | | | | No Projects Programmed | | | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | Funding Split Var | ies by Funding Source |
| | | - | - | Statewide Safe Routes | s to Schools Pro | ogram Subtotal ► | T | | | | Varies by Funding Sourc |

| 2018 montachu | SETT MPO Trans | sportation li | mprovement Pro | gram | | | | 5/19/2014 Draft Re xx/xx/xxxx Endorsed | | | |
|--------------------------------|----------------------|---------------|------------------------|--|-----------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|---|------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|
| vmendment/Adjustment Type ▼ | MassDOT Project | мро ▼ | Municipality Name ▼ | MassDOT Project Description ▼ | MassDOT District ▼ | Funding Source ▼ | Total Programmed Funds ▼ | Federal Funds ▼ | Non-Federal Funds ▼ | Additional Information ▼ | |
| Section 1D / Federal Aid N | lajor & State Catego | ry Projects | | | | | | | | | |
| Statewide CMAQ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | No Projects Programmed | | | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | | |
| | | | | | Sta | tewide CMAQ ► | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | ■ 80% Federal - | + 20% Non-Federal |
| Statewide Transportation | Enhancements | | | | | | | | | | |
| Statewide Transportation | Ennancements | • | | No Projects Programmed | • | | \$ - | _ | | | |
| | | | | Statewide Transporta | tion Enhancen | nents Subtotal ▶ | | \$ - | \$ - | ■ 80% Federal - | + 20% Non-Federal |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Statewide ITS | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | No Projects Programmed | 01-1 | ITO O LULL | \$ - | - | - | 1 000% 5 1 | |
| | | | | | Statewide | e ITS Subtotal ▶ | \$ - | - | - | ■ 80% Federal - | + 20% Non-Federal |
| Statewide Interstate Maint | enance Program | | | | | 1 | | | | | |
| | | | | No Projects Programmed | | | \$ - | - | - | | |
| | | | | Statewide Interstate Ma | intenance Pro | gram Subtotal 🕨 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | ■ 90% Federal | + 10% Non-Federal |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Statewide NHS Preservati | on Program+ | | | | | | | | | | |
| - Glatewide NI IO F 16561 Vali | on i rogianii | | | No Projects Programmed | | | \$ - | - | - | | |
| | | | | Statewide NHS Pr | eservation Pro | gram Subtotal ► | 7 | \$ - | \$ - | ■ 80% Federal | + 20% Non-Federal |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Statewide RR Grade Cros | sings | • | | | • | | | | | | |
| | | | | No Projects Programmed |))) | singer Outstate! | - | \$ - | - | 4 000/ F-d | . 000/ Non Endoud |
| | | | | Statewide R | R Grade Cross | sings Subtotal > | \$ - | - | - | ■ 80% Federal | + 20% Non-Federal |
| Statewide Stormwater Ref | trofits | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | No Projects Programmed | | | \$ - | - | - | | |
| | | | | Statewide S | Stormwater Ret | rofits Subtotal > | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | ■ 80% Federal - | + 20% Non-Federal |
| Ctatavida ADA luvuluu | etion Dlen | | | | | | | | | | |
| Statewide ADA Implement | ation Plan | • | | No Projects Programmed | | | \$ - | _ | | ļ | |
| | | | | Statewide ADA I | mplementation | Plan Subtotal ▶ | | | \$ - | ■ 80% Federal - | + 20% Non-Federal |
| | | | | Salemide ADA I | p.crricination | a.i oubtotal P | Y | , 4 | | 1 50 /0 1 Gaciai | 20,0110111100101 |
| Other Statewide Items | | • | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 1 | | ABP GANS Repayment | | | \$ - | - | - | | |
| | | | | Award Adjustments, Change | | | \$ - | - | - | | |
| | | | | Orders, Project Value | | | | | | | |
| | + | + | | Changes, Etc. DBEs, FAPO, Pavement Lab | + | | \$ - | _ | | | |
| | | | | Retrofits, and Misc. Programs | | | _ | _ | _ | | |
| | | 1 | | Planning | \ | | \$ - | - | - | · | |
| | | | | Statewide Design and Right of | | | \$ - | - | - | | |
| | | | | Way | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Statewide Recreational Trails | | | \$ - | - | - | | |
| | | | | Oti | her Statewide I | tems Subtotal ▶ | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | ■ Funding Split ' | Varies by Funding Sou |

| 2018 montachus | SELL MPO Trans | portation Imp | rovement Prog | gram | | | - | xx/xx/xxxx Endorsed | | |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|---|-----------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| mendment/Adjustment Type ▼ | MassDOT Project | мро ▼ | Municipality Name ▼ | MassDOT Project Description ▼ | MassDOT District ▼ | | Total Programmed Funds ▼ | Federal Funds ▼ | Non-Federal Funds ▼ | Additional Information ▼ |
| Section 2A / Non-Federal F | | | | | | | | | | |
| | . 0,000 | | | | | | | | | |
| Non Federal Aid | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | No Projects Programmed | | NFA | \$ - | | \$ - | |
| | | | | | Non-Fede | ral Aid Subtotal► | \$ - | | \$ - | ■100% Non-Federal |
| Section 2B / Non-Federal E | Bridge Projects | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Section 2B / Non-Federal E | Bridge Projects | | | | _ | | | | | |
| | | | | No Projects Programmed | 1 | NFA | \$ - | | \$ - | |
| | | | | Section 2B / Non-Fe | ederal Bridge P | rojects Subtotal► | \$ - | | - | ◀100% Non-Federal |
| | | | | | | | | | Total of All Projects | |
| 2018 montachu | SETT MPO TIP S | Summary | | | | | TIP Section 1: ▼ | TIP Section 2: ▼ | ▼ | |
| | | | | | | Total ▶ | \$ 7,645,120 | \$ - | \$ 7,645,120 | ■ Total Spending in Region |
| | | | | | | Federal Funds ▶ | \$ 6,160,692 | | | ■ Total Federal Spending in Region |
| | | | | | Non- | Federal Funds ► | \$ 1,484,429 | - | \$ 1,484,429 | ■ Total Non-Federal Spending in Region |
| | 704 040 7 00 11 (D | 15 | | D : 4 (704 OMD 7 00 (4 D) 4 (| | | | D 15 4 0MD: | | |
| | traffic on, any Public Road | I. The Municipal Limit | ation referenced in this f | Regulation is applicable only to projects w | where the Municipal | ty is the Awarding Autl | nority. For all projects con | tained in the TIP, the Com | nonwealth is the Awarding A | 8 Project that is performed within the limits of, or that in uthority. Therefore, all projects must be considered ar on will be fully compliant with this Regulation. This |

Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) Project List (FY2015)

| FTA | | FTA Activity | | Carryover or Earmark | Federal | | | | | | Local | |
|---------------|--|--------------|--|-------------------------|-------------|-----------|-------|-----------|-----|-------------|--------|-------------------------|
| Program | Transit Agency | Line Item | Project Description | Detail | Funds | RTACAP | MAD | TCCAP | TDC | SCA | | Total Cost |
| 5307 | Hansit Agency | Line Item | Floject Description | Detail | ruitus | RIACAP | IVIAP | TCCAP | IDC | JCA | rulius | Total Cost |
| | Nontachusett Regional Transit Authority | 117A00 | PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE | | \$800,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$200,000 | \$0 | \$1,000,000 |
| | Nontachusett Regional Transit Authority | 111203 | BUY REPLACEMENT 30-FT BUS (2) | | \$600,000 | \$150,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$750,000 |
| | Nontachusett Regional Transit Authority | 300901 | UP TO 50% FEDERAL SHARE | | \$1,617,200 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,617,200 | | |
| 3307 10 | Torreaction telegraphic Transfer Additionary | 300301 | 01 10 30/01 EBEIOLE SI I/ III.E | Subtotal | \$3,017,200 | | \$0 | \$0 | | \$1,817,200 | | \$4,984,400 |
| 5309 | | | | | 70,000,000 | +, | | | | +-/ | | + 1,00 1,100 |
| 3303 | | | | Subtotal | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 5310 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Subtotal | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 5311 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Subtotal | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 5337 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Subtotal | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 5339 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Subtotal | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Other Federal | | | | | 4.0 | 4.0 | | 4.0 | | | 4.5 | 4.0 |
| | | | | Subtotal | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Other Non-Fed | deral | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Other Non- | | | REHAB/RENOVATE - MAINTENANCE | | 4 | 4 | | | | | | 4 |
| | Nontachusett Regional Transit Authority | 114402 | FACILITY | | \$0 | \$51,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$51,000 |
| Other Non- | | | REHAB/RENOVATE - ADMIN/MAINT | | | | | | | | | |
| | Nontachusett Regional Transit Authority | 114403 | FACILITY | | \$0 | \$42,647 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$42,647 |
| Other Non- | | | | | | 400.000 | 4 | 4.0 | | 4.0 | 4.0 | |
| | Nontachusett Regional Transit Authority | 111215 | Purchase - Replacement: Vans (8) | | \$0 | \$88,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$88,000 |
| Other Non- | | 444000 | ************************************** | | 4 | 450.000 | 46 | 4.5 | 40 | 4 | 4- | 450.055 |
| | Nontachusett Regional Transit Authority | 114220 | ACQUIRE - MISC SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | \$0 | \$60,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$60,000 |
| Other Non- | Acordo de Carlo de La Carlo de La Carlo de Carlo | 440205 | PURCHASE BICYCLE ACCESS, FACIL & | | 40 | 640.000 | ćc | ćc | ćo | 40 | 60 | 640.000 |
| Federal M | Montachusett Regional Transit Authority | 119206 | EQUIP ON BUSES | C. h | \$0 | \$10,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$10,000 |
| | | | | Subtotal | \$0 | \$251,647 | \$0 | \$0 ¢0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$251,647 |
| | | | | Total | \$3,017,200 | \$401,647 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,817,200 | \$0 | \$5,236,047 |

Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) Project List (FY2016)

| FTA | | FTA Activity | | Carryover or Earmark | Federal | | | | | | Local | |
|------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------|---|-------------------------|---|-----------|-----|--------|-----|-------------|-------|---------------------------------------|
| Program 5307 | Transit Agency | Line Item | Project Description | Detail | Funds | RTACAP | MAP | ITCCAP | ГDС | SCA | Funds | Total Cost |
| 3307 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5307 Mont | achusett Regional Transit Authority | 113404 | REHAB/RENOVATE - BUS PARK & RIDE LOT | | \$200,000 | \$50,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$250,000 |
| 5307 Mont | achusett Regional Transit Authority | 114220 | ACQUIRE - MISC SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | \$120,000 | \$30,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$150,000 |
| 5307 Mont | achusett Regional Transit Authority | 300901 | UP TO 50% FEDERAL SHARE REHAB/RENOVATE BUS SUPPORT | | \$1,455,500 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,455,500 | \$0 | \$2,911,000 |
| 5307 Mont | achusett Regional Transit Authority | 114400 | FACIL/EQUIP REHAB/RENOVATE - ADMIN/MAINT | | \$80,000 | \$20,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$100,000 |
| 5307 Mont | achusett Regional Transit Authority | 114403 | FACILITY | | \$108,000 | \$27,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$135,000 |
| 5307 Mont | achusett Regional Transit Authority | 117A00 | PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE | | \$800,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$200,000 | \$0 | \$1,000,000 |
| 5307 Mont | achusett Regional Transit Authority | 111204 | BUY REPLACEMENT <30 FT BUS (2) | | \$160,000 | \$40,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$200,000 |
| | | | | Subtotal | \$2,923,500 | \$167,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,655,500 | \$0 | \$4,746,000 |
| 5309 | | | | Subtotal | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 5310 | | | | Subtotal | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | \$0 |
| 5311 | | | | Jubtotui | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | ÇÜ | 70 | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , |
| | | | | Subtotal | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$(|
| 5337 | | | | Subtotal | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 5339 | | | | Cultural | | ćo | ćo | ćo | ćo | | | |
| Other Federal | | | | Subtotal | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Other Federal | | | | Subtotal | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Other Non-Federa | l | | | Subtotal | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$(|
| | | | | Total | \$2,923,500 | | | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,655,500 | | \$4,746,000 |

Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)

Project List (FY2017)

| | | | | Carryover | | | | | | | | |
|----------------|--|---------------------------|--|----------------------|------------------|-----------|-----|--------|-----|-------------|----------------|-------------|
| FTA | | FTA Activity Line Item | Project Description | or Earmark Detail | Federal Funds | RTACAP | MAD | ITCCAP | TDC | SCA | Local Funds | Total Cost |
| Progra 5307 | im Transit Agency | Line Item | Project Description | Detail | Funds | KTACAP | WAP | ITCCAP | TDC | SCA | runus | Total Cost |
| | 3307 Montachusett Regional Transit Authority | 300901 | UP TO 50% FEDERAL SHARE | | \$1,310,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,310,000 | \$0 | \$2,620,000 |
| | 3307 Montachusett Regional Transit Authority | 117A00 | PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE | | \$800,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$200,000 | \$0 | \$1,000,000 |
| | 3307 Montachusett Regional Transit Authority | 129405 | REHAB/RENOV PED ACCESS / WALKWAYS REHAB/RENOVATE - ADMIN/MAINT | | \$220,000 | \$55,000 | \$0 | \$0 | • | \$0 | \$0 | \$275,000 |
| 5 | 3307 Montachusett Regional Transit Authority | 114403 | FACILITY | | \$96,000 | \$24,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$120,000 |
| 5 | 3307 Montachusett Regional Transit Authority | 111215 | BUY REPLACEMENT VAN (8) | | \$400,000 | \$100,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$500,000 |
| 5 | 3307 Montachusett Regional Transit Authority | 113220 | ACQUIRE - MISC BUS STATION EQUIP | | \$60,000 | \$15,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$75,000 |
| 5 | 3307 Montachusett Regional Transit Authority | 114220 | ACQUIRE - MISC SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | \$56,000 | \$14,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$70,000 |
| | | | | Subtotal | \$2,942,000 | \$208,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,510,000 | \$0 | \$4,660,000 |
| 5309 | | | | Subtotal | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 5310 | | | | Subtotal | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 5311 | | | | Subtotal | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 5337 | | | | Subtotal | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 5339 | | | | Subtotal | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Other Fe | ederal | | | Subtotal | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Other N | on-Federal | | | Subtotal | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| | | | | Total | \$2,942,000 | \$208,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,510,000 | \$0 | \$4,660,000 |

Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) Project List (FY2018)

| | | | | Carryover | | | | | | | | |
|------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------|----------------------------------|------------|-------------|----------------------|-----|--------|-------------|-------------|-------|-------------|
| FTA | - | FTA Activity | | or Earmark | Federal | DT464D | | ITCCAR | TD 0 | | Local | T-1-101 |
| Program | Transit Agency | Line Item | Project Description | Detail | Funds | RTACAP | MAP | ITCCAP | IDC | SCA | Funds | Total Cost |
| 5307 | and and Burkeral Toronto Authority | 200004 | LID TO FOR FEDERAL CHARE | | ¢4 200 000 | ćo | ćo | ćo | ćo | ć4 200 000 | ćo | ć2 400 000 |
| 5307 Mont | achusett Regional Transit Authority | 300901 | UP TO 50% FEDERAL SHARE | | \$1,200,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,200,000 | \$0 | \$2,400,000 |
| F207 M | and the settle of Tanada Andrews | 444402 | REHAB/RENOVATE - ADMIN/MAINT | | ¢200 000 | ć 7 0.000 | ćo | ćo | 40 | ćo | ćo | ¢250.000 |
| | achusett Regional Transit Authority | 114403 | FACILITY | | \$280,000 | \$70,000 | \$0 | | | \$0 | \$0 | \$350,000 |
| | achusett Regional Transit Authority | 119202 | PURCHASE BUS SHELTERS | | \$160,000 | \$40,000 | \$0 | | | \$0 | \$0 | \$200,000 |
| | achusett Regional Transit Authority | 113209 | ACQUIRE - BUS ROUTE SIGNING | | \$300,000 | \$75,000 | \$0 | | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$375,000 |
| | achusett Regional Transit Authority | 111215 | Purchase - Replacement Vans (8) | | \$400,000 | \$100,000 | \$0 | | | \$0 | \$0 | \$500,000 |
| | achusett Regional Transit Authority | 117A00 | PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE | | \$800,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | \$200,000 | \$0 | \$1,000,000 |
| 5307 Mont | achusett Regional Transit Authority | 114220 | ACQUIRE - MISC SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | \$80,000 | \$20,000 | \$0 | \$0 | | \$0 | \$0 | \$100,000 |
| | | | | Subtotal | \$3,220,000 | \$305,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,400,000 | \$0 | \$4,925,000 |
| 5309 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Subtotal | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 5310 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Subtotal | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 5311 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Subtotal | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 5337 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Subtotal | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 5339 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Subtotal | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Other Federal | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Subtotal | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Other Non-Federa | ıl | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Subtotal | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| | | | | Total | \$3,220,000 | \$305,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,400,000 | \$0 | \$4,925,000 |

| | FFY 2015 - 2018 | MONTACHUS | ETT TIP PROJ | ECT LIST | | |
|-------------------|---|---------------|--------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|
| | ADVANCED C | ONSTRUCTION | CONVERSION | CHART | | |
| l ancaster - F | Bridge Replacement, L-02-01 | 8 .lackson Re | nad over Rou | ıte 2 | | |
| | TOTAL COST (NOT FEDERAL | | | | | |
| File # | FUNDING CATEGORY | FFY 14 | FFY 15 | FFY 16 | FFY 17 | TOTAL |
| 607114 | Bridge - Off | \$4,144,608 | \$3,432,000 | | | \$7,576,608 |
| FISCAL YEAR | FEDERAL AID TOTALS: | \$4,144,608 | \$3,432,000 | | | \$7,576,608 |
| | RAL AID (TO BE CONVERTED AID BY A/C CONVERSIONS AS SHOWN ABOVE) | \$7,576,608 | | | | \$7,576,608 |
| CLINTON- RE | ESURFACING & RELATED W | | ER STREET | AND BOLTO | ON ROAD | |
| File # | FUNDING CATEGORY | FFY 15 | FFY 16 | FFY 17 | FFY 18 | TOTAL |
| 604960 | STP/TAP | \$3,330,725 | \$2,220,275 | | | \$5,551,000 |
| FISCAL YEAR | FEDERAL AID TOTALS: | \$3,330,725 | \$2,220,275 | | | \$5,551,000 |
| | RAL AID (TO BE CONVERTED AID BY A/C CONVERSIONS AS SHOWN ABOVE) | \$5,551,000 | | | | \$5,551,000 |
| ASHBURNH <i>A</i> | AM- ROUTE 101 AT WILLIAMS TOTAL COST (NOT FEDERAL | | Y HILL ROAD |), INTERSEC | TION IMPR | OVEMENTS |
| File # | FUNDING CATEGORY | FFY 15 | FFY 16 | FFY 17 | FFY 18 | TOTAL |
| 607960 | HSIPR (Statewide) | | \$624,000 | \$624,000 | | \$1,248,000 |
| FISCAL YEAR | FEDERAL AID TOTALS: | | \$624,000 | \$624,000 | | \$1,248,000 |
| | RAL AID (TO BE CONVERTED AID BY A/C CONVERSIONS AS | | \$1,248,000 | | | \$1,248,000 |

MONTACHUSETT METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION FFY 2015-2018 TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM <u>STATEWIDE</u> HIGHWAY ELEMENT (FEDERAL FUNDS)

| FFY 2015 Project Description | Funding | Total | Estimated Costs Federal | State | |
|--|--|---|--|---|--|
| Project Description | Category | TOTAL | reuerai | State | |
| Statewide Infrastructure Program | STP, NHPP | \$2,500,000 | \$2,000,000 | \$500,000 | |
| Statewide HSIP Program | HSIP | \$18,666,667 | \$16,800,000 | \$1,866,667 | |
| Statewide Safe Routes to School Program | STP, TAP | \$5,824,638 | \$4,992,364 | \$832,274 | |
| Statewide CMAQ | CMAQ | \$8,942,000 | \$7,153,600 | \$1,788,400 | |
| Statewide Transportation Enhancements | STP, TAP | \$8,385,706 | \$6,708,565 | \$1,677,141 | |
| Statewide Recreational Trails | STP, TAP | \$1,000,000 | \$800,000 | \$200,000 | |
| Statewide ITS | CMAQ, STP | \$12,800,000 | \$10,240,000 | \$2,560,000 | |
| Statewide Interstate Maintenance Program | NHPP | \$52,500,000 | \$47,250,000 | \$5,250,000 | |
| Statewide NHS Preservation Program | NHPP | \$24,595,468 | \$19,676,374 | \$4,919,094 | |
| Statewide Railroad Grade Crossing | STP | \$1,000,000 | \$800,000 | \$200,000 | |
| Statewide Stormwater Retrofits | | \$7,250,000 | \$5,800,000 | \$1,450,000 | |
| Statewide ADA Implementation Plan | | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | |
| Statewide Bridge Maintenance Program | NHPP | \$10,000,000 | \$8,000,000 | \$2,000,000 | |
| Statewide Bridge Replac/Rehab Program | NHPP, STP Off | \$134,750,000 | \$107,800,000 | \$26,950,000 | |
| Statewide Bridge Inspection | | \$6,250,000 | \$5,000,000 | \$1,250,000 | |
| ciaiomas Briago irropositori | | \$294,464,479 | \$243,020,903 | \$51,443,576 | |
| | | | | | |
| FFY 2016 | Funding | | Fatimated Coata | | |
| FFY 2016 Project Description | Funding Category | Total | Estimated Costs Federal | State | |
| FFY 2016 Project Description | Funding Category | | | State | |
| | | | | State \$3,500,000 | |
| Project Description | Category | Total | Federal | | |
| Project Description Statewide Infrastructure Program | Category STP, NHPP | Total \$17,500,000 | Federal \$14,000,000 | \$3,500,000 | |
| Project Description Statewide Infrastructure Program Statewide HSIP Program | Category STP, NHPP HSIP | Total \$17,500,000 \$25,000,000 | \$14,000,000 \$22,500,000 | \$3,500,000 \$2,500,000 | |
| Project Description Statewide Infrastructure Program Statewide HSIP Program Statewide Safe Routes to School Program | Category STP, NHPP HSIP STP, TAP | \$17,500,000 \$25,000,000 \$5,779,283 | \$14,000,000 \$22,500,000 \$4,651,829 | \$3,500,000 \$2,500,000 \$1,127,454 | |
| Project Description Statewide Infrastructure Program Statewide HSIP Program Statewide Safe Routes to School Program Statewide CMAQ | STP, NHPP HSIP STP, TAP CMAQ | \$17,500,000 \$25,000,000 \$5,779,283 \$42,406,138 | \$14,000,000 \$22,500,000 \$4,651,829 \$33,924,910 | \$3,500,000 \$2,500,000 \$1,127,454 \$8,481,228 | |
| Project Description Statewide Infrastructure Program Statewide HSIP Program Statewide Safe Routes to School Program Statewide CMAQ Statewide Transportation Enhancements | STP, NHPP HSIP STP, TAP CMAQ STP, TAP | \$17,500,000 \$25,000,000 \$5,779,283 \$42,406,138 \$5,444,942 | \$14,000,000 \$22,500,000 \$4,651,829 \$33,924,910 \$4,355,954 | \$3,500,000 \$2,500,000 \$1,127,454 \$8,481,228 \$1,088,988 | |
| Project Description Statewide Infrastructure Program Statewide HSIP Program Statewide Safe Routes to School Program Statewide CMAQ Statewide Transportation Enhancements Statewide Recreational Trails | STP, NHPP HSIP STP, TAP CMAQ STP, TAP STP, TAP | \$17,500,000 \$25,000,000 \$5,779,283 \$42,406,138 \$5,444,942 \$1,000,000 | \$14,000,000 \$22,500,000 \$4,651,829 \$33,924,910 \$4,355,954 \$800,000 | \$3,500,000 \$2,500,000 \$1,127,454 \$8,481,228 \$1,088,988 \$200,000 | |
| Project Description Statewide Infrastructure Program Statewide HSIP Program Statewide Safe Routes to School Program Statewide CMAQ Statewide Transportation Enhancements Statewide Recreational Trails Statewide ITS | Category STP, NHPP HSIP STP, TAP CMAQ STP, TAP STP, TAP CMAQ, STP, TAP | \$17,500,000 \$25,000,000 \$5,779,283 \$42,406,138 \$5,444,942 \$1,000,000 \$13,100,000 | \$14,000,000 \$22,500,000 \$4,651,829 \$33,924,910 \$4,355,954 \$800,000 \$10,480,000 | \$3,500,000 \$2,500,000 \$1,127,454 \$8,481,228 \$1,088,988 \$200,000 \$2,620,000 | |
| Project Description Statewide Infrastructure Program Statewide HSIP Program Statewide Safe Routes to School Program Statewide CMAQ Statewide Transportation Enhancements Statewide Recreational Trails Statewide ITS Statewide Interstate Maintenance Program | Category STP, NHPP HSIP STP, TAP CMAQ STP, TAP STP, TAP CMAQ, STP NHPP | \$17,500,000 \$25,000,000 \$5,779,283 \$42,406,138 \$5,444,942 \$1,000,000 \$13,100,000 \$51,100,000 | \$14,000,000 \$22,500,000 \$4,651,829 \$33,924,910 \$4,355,954 \$800,000 \$10,480,000 \$45,990,000 | \$3,500,000 \$2,500,000 \$1,127,454 \$8,481,228 \$1,088,988 \$200,000 \$2,620,000 \$5,110,000 | |
| Statewide Infrastructure Program Statewide HSIP Program Statewide Safe Routes to School Program Statewide CMAQ Statewide Transportation Enhancements Statewide Recreational Trails Statewide ITS Statewide Interstate Maintenance Program Statewide NHS Preservation Program | Category STP, NHPP HSIP STP, TAP CMAQ STP, TAP STP, TAP CMAQ, STP NHPP NHPP | \$17,500,000 \$25,000,000 \$5,779,283 \$42,406,138 \$5,444,942 \$1,000,000 \$13,100,000 \$51,100,000 \$26,700,000 | \$14,000,000 \$22,500,000 \$4,651,829 \$33,924,910 \$4,355,954 \$800,000 \$10,480,000 \$45,990,000 \$21,360,000 | \$3,500,000 \$2,500,000 \$1,127,454 \$8,481,228 \$1,088,988 \$200,000 \$2,620,000 \$5,110,000 \$5,340,000 | |
| Statewide Infrastructure Program Statewide HSIP Program Statewide Safe Routes to School Program Statewide CMAQ Statewide Transportation Enhancements Statewide Recreational Trails Statewide ITS Statewide Interstate Maintenance Program Statewide NHS Preservation Program Statewide Railroad Grade Crossing | Category STP, NHPP HSIP STP, TAP CMAQ STP, TAP STP, TAP CMAQ, STP NHPP NHPP | \$17,500,000 \$25,000,000 \$5,779,283 \$42,406,138 \$5,444,942 \$1,000,000 \$13,100,000 \$51,100,000 \$26,700,000 \$1,000,000 | \$14,000,000 \$22,500,000 \$4,651,829 \$33,924,910 \$4,355,954 \$800,000 \$10,480,000 \$45,990,000 \$21,360,000 \$800,000 | \$3,500,000 \$2,500,000 \$1,127,454 \$8,481,228 \$1,088,988 \$200,000 \$2,620,000 \$5,110,000 \$5,340,000 \$200,000 | |
| Statewide Infrastructure Program Statewide HSIP Program Statewide Safe Routes to School Program Statewide CMAQ Statewide Transportation Enhancements Statewide Recreational Trails Statewide ITS Statewide Interstate Maintenance Program Statewide NHS Preservation Program Statewide Railroad Grade Crossing Statewide Stormwater Retrofits | Category STP, NHPP HSIP STP, TAP CMAQ STP, TAP STP, TAP CMAQ, STP NHPP NHPP | \$17,500,000 \$25,000,000 \$5,779,283 \$42,406,138 \$5,444,942 \$1,000,000 \$13,100,000 \$51,100,000 \$26,700,000 \$1,000,000 \$5,000,000 | \$14,000,000 \$22,500,000 \$4,651,829 \$33,924,910 \$4,355,954 \$800,000 \$10,480,000 \$45,990,000 \$21,360,000 \$800,000 \$4,000,000 | \$3,500,000 \$2,500,000 \$1,127,454 \$8,481,228 \$1,088,988 \$200,000 \$2,620,000 \$5,110,000 \$5,340,000 \$200,000 \$1,000,000 | |
| Statewide Infrastructure Program Statewide HSIP Program Statewide Safe Routes to School Program Statewide CMAQ Statewide Transportation Enhancements Statewide Recreational Trails Statewide ITS Statewide Interstate Maintenance Program Statewide NHS Preservation Program Statewide Railroad Grade Crossing Statewide Stormwater Retrofits Statewide ADA Implementation Plan | Category STP, NHPP HSIP STP, TAP CMAQ STP, TAP STP, TAP CMAQ, STP NHPP NHPP STP | \$17,500,000 \$25,000,000 \$5,779,283 \$42,406,138 \$5,444,942 \$1,000,000 \$13,100,000 \$51,100,000 \$26,700,000 \$1,000,000 \$5,000,000 \$4,000,000 | \$14,000,000 \$22,500,000 \$4,651,829 \$33,924,910 \$4,355,954 \$800,000 \$10,480,000 \$45,990,000 \$21,360,000 \$800,000 \$4,000,000 \$3,200,000 | \$3,500,000 \$2,500,000 \$1,127,454 \$8,481,228 \$1,088,988 \$200,000 \$2,620,000 \$5,110,000 \$5,340,000 \$200,000 \$1,000,000 \$800,000 | |
| Statewide Infrastructure Program Statewide HSIP Program Statewide Safe Routes to School Program Statewide CMAQ Statewide Transportation Enhancements Statewide Recreational Trails Statewide ITS Statewide Interstate Maintenance Program Statewide NHS Preservation Program Statewide Railroad Grade Crossing Statewide Stormwater Retrofits Statewide ADA Implementation Plan Statewide Bridge Maintenance Program | Category STP, NHPP HSIP STP, TAP CMAQ STP, TAP STP, TAP CMAQ, STP NHPP NHPP STP | \$17,500,000 \$25,000,000 \$5,779,283 \$42,406,138 \$5,444,942 \$1,000,000 \$13,100,000 \$51,100,000 \$26,700,000 \$1,000,000 \$4,000,000 \$10,000,000 | \$14,000,000 \$22,500,000 \$4,651,829 \$33,924,910 \$4,355,954 \$800,000 \$10,480,000 \$45,990,000 \$21,360,000 \$40,000 \$4,000,000 \$3,200,000 \$8,000,000 | \$3,500,000 \$2,500,000 \$1,127,454 \$8,481,228 \$1,088,988 \$200,000 \$2,620,000 \$5,110,000 \$5,340,000 \$200,000 \$1,000,000 \$800,000 \$2,000,000 | |

MONTACHUSETT METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION FFY 2015-2018 TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM <u>STATEWIDE</u> HIGHWAY ELEMENT (FEDERAL FUNDS) (cont.)

| FFY 2017 | Funding | Estimated Costs | | |
|--|---------------|-----------------|---------------|--------------|
| Project Description | Category | Total | Federal | State |
| | | | | |
| Statewide Infrastructure Program | STP, NHPP | \$7,000,000 | \$5,600,000 | \$1,400,000 |
| Statewide HSIP Program | HSIP | \$20,000,000 | \$18,000,000 | \$2,000,000 |
| Statewide Safe Routes to School Program | STP, TAP | \$6,250,000 | \$5,000,000 | \$1,250,000 |
| Statewide CMAQ | CMAQ | \$35,625,000 | \$28,500,000 | \$7,125,000 |
| Statewide Recreational Trails | STP, TAP | \$1,000,000 | \$800,000 | \$200,000 |
| Statewide ITS | CMAQ, STP | \$18,000,000 | \$14,400,000 | \$3,600,000 |
| Statewide Interstate Maintenance Program | NHPP | \$53,020,000 | \$47,718,000 | \$5,302,000 |
| Statewide NHS Preservation Program | NHPP | \$24,915,000 | \$19,932,000 | \$4,983,000 |
| Statewide Railroad Grade Crossing | STP | \$5,000,000 | \$4,000,000 | \$1,000,000 |
| Statewide Stormwater Retrofits | | \$5,000,000 | \$4,000,000 | \$1,000,000 |
| Statewide ADA Implementation Plan | | \$4,000,000 | \$3,200,000 | \$800,000 |
| Statewide Bridge Maintenance Program | NHPP | \$10,000,000 | \$8,000,000 | \$2,000,000 |
| Statewide Bridge Replac/Rehab Program | NHPP, STP Off | \$124,172,983 | \$99,338,386 | \$24,834,597 |
| Statewide Bridge Inspection | | \$6,250,000 | \$5,000,000 | \$1,250,000 |
| 5 . | | \$320,232,983 | \$263,488,386 | \$56,744,597 |

| FFY 2018 Funding | | E | | |
|--|---------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|
| Project Description | Category | Total | Federal | State |
| | | | | |
| Statewide Infrastructure Program | STP, NHPP | \$7,000,000 | \$5,600,000 | \$1,400,000 |
| Statewide HSIP Program | HSIP | \$20,000,000 | \$18,000,000 | \$2,000,000 |
| Statewide Safe Routes to School Program | STP, TAP | \$6,250,000 | \$5,000,000 | \$1,250,000 |
| Statewide CMAQ | CMAQ | \$37,500,000 | \$30,000,000 | \$7,500,000 |
| Statewide Recreational Trails | STP, TAP | \$1,000,000 | \$800,000 | \$200,000 |
| Statewide ITS | CMAQ, STP | \$14,900,000 | \$11,920,000 | \$2,980,000 |
| Statewide Interstate Maintenance Program | NHPP | \$58,911,111 | \$53,020,000 | \$5,891,111 |
| Statewide NHS Preservation Program | NHPP | \$31,250,000 | \$25,000,000 | \$6,250,000 |
| Statewide Railroad Grade Crossing | STP | \$1,000,000 | \$800,000 | \$200,000 |
| Statewide Stormwater Retrofits | | \$5,000,000 | \$4,000,000 | \$1,000,000 |
| Statewide ADA Implementation Plan | | \$4,000,000 | \$3,200,000 | \$800,000 |
| Statewide Bridge Maintenance Program | NHPP | \$10,000,000 | \$8,000,000 | \$2,000,000 |
| Statewide Bridge Replac/Rehab Program | NHPP, STP Off | \$116,829,233 | \$93,463,386 | \$23,365,847 |
| Statewide Bridge Inspection | | \$6,250,000 | \$5,000,000 | \$1,250,000 |
| - · | | \$319,890,344 | \$263,803,386 | \$56,086,958 |

PART C. FEDERAL REQUIREMENTS

<u>Financial Plan for the FFY 2015-2018 Transportation Improvement Program</u> <u>Montachusett Metropolitan Planning Organization</u>

The financial plan contained herein is financially constrained and indicates that the Montachusett Metropolitan Planning Organization Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) reflects the highway program emphasis on the maintenance and operation of the current roadway and bridge system with the ability to provide for additional capital improvements. Only projects for which funds can be expected have been included.

The following table compares anticipated federal target funds (Federal \$ (M) Target/Availability) to the federal funds for those projects programmed in each Fiscal Year (Federal \$ (M) Programmed). For each fiscal year programmed funds do not exceed anticipated target funds.

| | | 2015 | 2015 | | j |
|---------|---------------------------------|---------------------|------------|---------------------|------------|
| Federal | Funding Category | Federal \$ (M) | Federal \$ | Federal \$ (M) | Federal \$ |
| Agency | | Target/Availability | (M) | Target/Availability | (M) |
| | | | Programmed | | Programmed |
| FHWA | HSIP | 0.446 | 0.446 | 0.401 | 0.401 |
| | CMAQ | 1.338 | 1.338 | 1.115 | 1.115 |
| | TAP | 0.060 | 0.060 | 0.102 | 0.102 |
| | Non-CMAQ/HSIP/TAP | 3.870 | 3.870 | 4.317 | 4.654 |
| | Bridge Maintenance (Statewide) | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| | Bridge On System | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| | Bridge Off System | 5.369 | 5.369 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| | Bridge Inspection (Statewide) | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| | Other Federal Aid | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| | Infrastructure (Statewide) | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| | HSIP (Statewide) | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.562 | 0.562 |
| | SRTS (Statewide) | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.884 | 0.884 |
| | CMAQ (Statewide) | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| | TE (Statewide) | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| | ITS (Statewide) | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| | IM (Statewide) | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| | NHSPP (Statewide) | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| | RR Grade Crossing (Statewide) | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| | Stormwater Retrofit (Statewide) | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| | ADA Implementation | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| | (Statewide) Other (Statewide) | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| | | 11.083 | 11.083 | 7.381 | 7.718 |
| FTA | 5307 Operating/Capital | 3.017 | 3.017 | 2.924 | 2.924 |
| | 5309 Operating/Capital | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| | 5310 Capital | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| | 5311 Operating | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| | 5337 Capital | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| | 5339 Capital | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| | Other Federal | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| | Other Non-Federal | 3.017 | 3.017 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| | | 6.034 | 6.034 | 2.924 | 2.924 |

Financial Plan for the FFY 2015-2018 Transportation Improvement Program Montachusett Metropolitan Planning Organization (cont.)

| | | 2017 | 2017 | | 2018 | |
|---------|---------------------------------|---------------------|------------|---------------------|------------|--|
| Federal | Funding Category | Federal \$ (M) | Federal \$ | Federal \$ (M) | Federal \$ | |
| Agency | | Target/Availability | (M) | Target/Availability | (M) | |
| | | | Programmed | | Programmed | |
| FHWA | HSIP | 0.401 | 0.401 | 0.401 | 5.236 | |
| | CMAQ | 1.115 | 1.115 | 1.115 | 0.357 | |
| | TAP | 0.067 | 0.067 | 0.067 | 1.115 | |
| | Non-CMAQ/HSIP/TAP | 4.462 | 4.690 | 4.578 | 6.228 | |
| | Bridge Maintenance (Statewide) | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | |
| | Bridge On System | 4.352 | 4.352 | 0.000 | 0.000 | |
| | Bridge Off System | 3.481 | 3.481 | 0.000 | 0.000 | |
| | Bridge Inspection (Statewide) | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | |
| | Other Federal Aid | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | |
| | Infrastructure (Statewide) | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | |
| | HSIP (Statewide) | 0.562 | 0.562 | 0.000 | 0.000 | |
| | SRTS (Statewide) | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | |
| | CMAQ (Statewide) | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | |
| | TE (Statewide) | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | |
| | ITS (Statewide) | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | |
| | IM (Statewide) | 15.388 | 15.388 | 0.000 | 0.000 | |
| | NHSPP (Statewide) | 1.561 | 1.561 | 0.000 | 0.000 | |
| | RR Grade Crossing (Statewide) | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | |
| | Stormwater Retrofit (Statewide) | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | |
| | ADA Implementation (Statewide) | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | |
| | Other (Statewide) | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | |
| | | 31.387 | 31.616 | 6.161 | 12.936 | |
| FTA | 5307 Operating/Capital | 2.942 | 2.942 | 3.220 | 3.220 | |
| | 5309 Operating/Capital | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | |
| | 5310 Capital | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | |
| | 5311 Operating | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | |
| | 5337 Capital | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | |
| | 5339 Capital | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | |
| | Other Federal | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | |
| | Other Non-Federal | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | |
| | | 2.942 | 2.942 | 3.220 | 3.220 | |

^{1.} Moneys do not include statewide federal aid or Regional "Mega" projects which are programmed but are excluded from the regional targets provided to MRPC.

^{2.} FTA Programmed amounts are Federal dollars only and do not include state or local shares.

Montachusett Regional Transit Authority (MART) Operating and Fiscal Data

This 4 year program for the 2015-2018 timeframe further demonstrates the financial capacity of the Montachusett Regional Transit Authority to meet both current and future operating and capital costs.

MONTACHUSETT RTA STIP INFORMATION

| Section 5307 Capital \$1,400,000 \$1,400,000 \$856,000 Section 5307 Operating (under 200,000) \$1,617,200 \$1,617,200 \$2,134,000 Section 5307 Transit Enhancements Subtotals \$3,017,200 \$3,017,200 \$2,990,000 Section 5307 Carryover Section 5307 Transit Enhancements Carryover Subtotals \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$ | FTA FUNDING PROGRAMS | Estimated Authorized FFY 2015 | Regional TIP FFY 2015 | Balance FFY2014 |
|---|--|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|
| Section 5307 Transit Enhancements Subtotals \$3,017,200 \$3,017,200 \$2,990,000 | Section 5307 Capital | \$1,400,000 | \$1,400,000 | \$856,000 |
| Subtotals \$3,017,200 \$2,990,000 | , | \$1,617,200 | \$1,617,200 | \$2,134,000 |
| Section 5307 Carryover | | | | |
| Section 5307 Transit Enhancements Carryover Subtotals | Subtotals | \$3,017,200 | \$3,017,200 | \$2,990,000 |
| Subtotals \$0 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | \$668,518 |
| Section 5307 TOTALS | 1 | | | |
| Section 5337 Fixed Guideway Mod. Capital Section 5309 Fixed Guideway Mod. Carryover Section 5339 Bus/Bus Facilities \$150,000 \$332,476 Section 5339 Bus/Bus Facilities Carryover \$332,476 Section 5309 Bus Carryover \$1,341,669 Section 5309 New Starts Section 5309 New Starts Carryover Section 5309 New Starts Carryover Section 5310 Capital Elderly & Disabled \$240,000 - \$772,345 Section 5311 Capital & Oper. for non urban Other Totals \$240,000 \$772,345 FTA Totals \$3,257,200 \$3,017,200 \$6,255,088 OTHER TRANSIT FUNDING Sect 113 FHWA to FTA Section 5315 FHWA to FTA Section 5309 FTA Totals Section 5309 FTA Totals Section 5309 FTA Totals Section 5309 FTA Totals Sa,257,200 Sa,017,200 Se,255,088 OTHER TRANSIT FUNDING Section 5310 FTA Totals Sa,257,200 Sa,017,200 Se,255,088 Section 5310 FTA Totals Sa,257,200 Sa,017,200 Se,255,088 Section 5310 FTA Totals Sa,257,200 Sa,017,200 Se,255,088 Section 5311 FTA Totals Sa,257,200 Sa,255,088 Sa,257,200 Sa,255,088 Sa,257,200 Sa,255,088 Sa,255,088 Sa,257,200 Sa,255,088 Sa, | Subtotals | \$0 | \$0 | |
| Section 5309 Fixed Guideway Mod. Carryover Section 5339 Bus/Bus Facilities \$150,000 | Section 5307 TOTALS | \$3,017,200 | \$3,017,200 | \$3,658,518 |
| Section 5310 Capital Elderly & Disabled \$240,000 - \$772,345 | Section 5337 Fixed Guideway Mod.Capital Section 5309 Fixed Guideway Mod. Carryover Section 5339 Bus/Bus Facilities Section 5339 Bus/Bus Facilities Carryover Section 5309 Bus Carryover Section 5309 New Starts | | | \$150,000 \$332,476 |
| Section 5311 Capital & Oper. for non urban Other Totals \$240,000 \$772,345 FTA Totals \$3,257,200 \$3,017,200 \$6,255,088 OTHER TRANSIT FUNDING Sect 113 FHWA to FTA | Section 5337/5309 TOTALS | | | \$1,824,145 |
| FTA Totals \$3,257,200 \$3,017,200 \$6,255,088 OTHER TRANSIT FUNDING Sect 113 FHWA to FTA | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | \$240,000 | - | \$772,345 |
| OTHER TRANSIT FUNDING Sect 113 FHWA to FTA | Other Totals | \$240,000 | | \$772,345 |
| Sect 113 FHWA to FTA | FTA Totals | \$3,257,200 | \$3,017,200 | |
| Sect 113 FHWA to FTA | OTHER TRANSIT FUNDING | | | |
| | | | | |
| | STP FHWA to FTA | | | |

^{*} Competitive grant applications pending approval by FTA.

MONTACHUSETT MPO

Operations and Maintenance Summary Table For the Montachusett Regional Transit Authority State Fiscal Year 2014

The numbers below represent actual numbers for the previous year, the current year budget/forecast approved by the RTA Advisory Board, and projections for the out-years as used in the Program Preview meetings with the State. These numbers indicate that there are sufficient revenues projected to meet the operating needs of the area transit authority.

| Operating Revenue | Previous | Current | Year Two | Year Three | Year Four |
|--|---------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|
| | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 |
| Farebox | \$2,592,111 | \$3,922,253 | \$4,224,179 | \$4,329,783 | \$4,438,028 |
| Section 5307 | \$2,134,000 | \$2,134,000 | \$2,417,200 | \$2,255,500 | \$2,110,000 |
| Section 5311 | \$36,202 | - | - | - | - |
| ARRA/Other Federal | \$261,348 | \$203,310 | \$60,340 | 1 | - |
| Fully Funded * | \$92,516,783 | \$100,186,848 | \$106,340,288 | \$ 111,657,302 | \$117,240,167 |
| Job Access/Reverse Commute | \$152,500 | 1 | i | 1 | - |
| Advertising | \$13,486 | \$21,210 | \$12,100 | \$25,000 | \$30,000 |
| Interest Income | \$4,182 | \$10,685 | \$11,220 | \$12,000 | \$12,500 |
| Rental Income | \$279,978 | \$285,740 | \$294,312 | \$301,670 | \$309,212 |
| State Contract Assistance ** | \$4,394,935 | \$4,679,775 | \$5,432,809 | \$5,605,055 | \$5,733,825 |
| Local Assessment | \$1,789,211 | \$1,868,272 | \$1,933,799 | \$1,982,214 | \$2,031,769 |
| Other: Parking, Ticket Agency, Misc.** | \$955,859 | \$1,545,609 | \$876,281 | \$898,188 | \$920,643 |
| TOTAL | \$105,130,595 | \$114,857,702 | \$116,169,719 | \$127,066,712 | \$132,826.144 |

| Operating Expenses *** | Previous | Current | Yr Two | Yr. Three | Yr Four |
|-------------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 |
| TOTAL (See Description Below) | \$106,764,389 | \$115,204,889 | \$116,169,719 | \$127,066,712 | \$132,826.144 |

Footnotes:

Major Expansion or Other Capital Projects

MART is involved in one major and other minor capital building projects:

- 1) Fitchburg commuter rail line extension to a new Wachusett Station with Parking & Layover facility
- 2) Solar production installation at its Gardner Maintenance Facility
- 3) Infrastructure improvements to the facilities at 1427R Water Street and 100 Main Street in Fitchburg

^{*} Fully funded refers to contracted transportation work with Human Service Transportation Agencies (revenue) and Service Providers (expense).

^{**} Operating assistance provided by the State

⁺⁺ 2014 Other Income includes a one-time State Earmark for CTS Services in Athol.

^{***} Description of Operating Expenses: Salaries, Wages & Fringe Benefits; Legal; Audit and Professional Consultant Services; Marketing; Insurance; Property Rental; Non-capital Maintenance/Repair/Small Equipment; Fuel; Tires; Office Supplies; Interest; Utilities; Management Fees; Travel/Training/Meetings; Ticket Agency & miscellaneous items.

Summary of Funding Categories

| | | | | | Total |
|-------------------------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|
| Funding Category | FFY 2015 | FFY 2016 | FFY 2017 | FFY 2018 | FFY 2015-2018 |
| HSIP | \$4,837,896 | \$445,955 | \$445,955 | \$445,955 | \$6,175,761 |
| CMAQ | \$74,990 | \$1,393,611 | \$1,393,611 | \$1,393,611 | <i>\$4,255,8</i> 23 |
| TAP | \$495,506 | \$127,980 | \$83,156 | \$83,156 | \$789,798 |
| Non-CMAQ/HSIP/TAP | \$1,672,333 | \$5,395,904 | \$5,577,185 | \$5,722,398 | \$18,367,820 |
| Bridge Maintenance | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Bridge On System | \$0 | \$0 | \$5,439,420 | \$0 | \$5,439,420 |
| Bridge Off System | \$6,711,640 | \$0 | \$4,351,200 | \$0 | \$11,062,840 |
| Bridge Inspection (Statewide) | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Other Federal Aid | \$1,000,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,000,000 |
| Infrastructure (Statewide) | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| HSIP (Statewide) | \$0 | \$624,000 | \$624,000 | \$0 | \$1,248,000 |
| SRTS (Statewide) | \$0 | \$884,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$884,000 |
| CMAQ (Statewide) | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| TE (Statewide) | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| ITS (Statewide) | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| IM (Statewide) | \$0 | \$0 | \$17,097,350 | \$0 | \$17,097,350 |
| NHSPP (Statewide) | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,951,425 | \$0 | \$1,951, 4 25 |
| RR Grade Crossing | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Stormwater Retrofit | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| ADA Implementation | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Other (Statewide) | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | |
| Subtotal FHWA | ########### | \$8,871,450 | \$36,963,302 | \$7,645,120 | \$68,272,237 |
| 5307 Operating/Capital | \$4,984,400 | \$4,746,000 | \$4,660,000 | \$4,925,000 | \$19,315,400 |
| 5309 Operating/Capital | \$4,904,400 | \$4,740,000 | \$4,000,000 | \$4,923,000 | |
| 5310 Capital | \$0 \$0 | \$0 \$0 | \$0 \$0 | \$0 \$0 | |
| 5311 Operating | \$0 | \$0 \$0 | \$0 | \$0 \$0 | |
| 5337 Capital | \$0 \$0 | \$0 \$0 | \$0 \$0 | \$0 \$0 | \$0 \$0 |
| 5339 Capital | \$0 \$0 | \$0 \$0 | \$0 \$0 | \$0 \$0 | \$0 \$0 |
| Other Federal | \$0 \$0 | \$0 \$0 | \$0 \$0 | \$0 \$0 | |
| Other Non-Federal | \$251,647 | \$0 \$0 | \$0 \$0 | \$0 \$0 | \$251,647 |
| Subtotal FTA | \$5,236,047 | \$4,746,000 | \$4,660,000 | \$4,925,000 | \$19,567,047 |
| | ψο,Ξου,υ π | ψ.,. 10,000 | ψ .,000,000 | ψ 1,020,000 | ψ.ο,οοι,ο π |
| GRAND TOTAL | ########## | \$13,617,450 | \$41,623,302 | \$12,570,120 | \$87,839,284 |

Operating vs Capital Expenditures

The following table provides a comparison of expenditures between Capital Projects and Operating (or Maintenance) Projects for FFY 2015 to 2018. The figures include state match as well as Non-Federal Aid projects.

For the purposes of this table, operating projects under the Highway section are considered those projects that maintain the operation of existing facilities or infrastructure, i.e. resurfacing/rehabilitation of road surfaces, rehabilitation/replacement of a bridge, intersection geometrics, etc. Capital projects are assumed to be those projects that involve the construction of a new facility to the transportation network. In the case of the Highway Element of this TIP, two projects were considered to be capital expenditures. One project occurs in FFY 2015, project #607347 Gardner North Central Pathway Design and Construction Phase VI and the second one in FFY 2016, project #607242 Fitchburg South Street Elementary Safe Routes to School. On the Transit side, capital projects were assumed to include rehabilitation/renovation projects on existing transit facilities.

Operating vs Capital Expenditures

| Орега | | | | | |
|-------|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|---|-----------------|
| | | Highway | Transit | | Percent |
| FFY | | (Fed & NFA) | (Fed & NFA) | Total | of Total |
| 2015 | Operating | \$13,792,365 | \$5,142,400 | \$18,934,765 | 94.54% |
| | Capital | \$1,000,000 | \$93,647 | \$1,093,647 | 5.46% |
| | Total | \$14,792,365 | \$5,236,047 | \$20,028,412 | |
| 2016 | Operating | \$7,987,450 | \$4,261,000 | \$12,248,450 | 89.95% |
| | Capital | \$884,000 | \$485,000 | \$1,369,000 | 10.05% |
| | | | | | |
| | Total | \$8,871,450 | \$4,746,000 | \$13,617,450 | |
| 2017 | Total Operating | \$8,871,450 \$36,963,302 | \$4,746,000 \$4,265,000 | \$13,617,450 \$41,228,302 | 99.05% |
| 2017 | | · | | | 99.05% 0.95% |
| 2017 | Operating | \$36,963,302 | \$4,265,000 | \$41,228,302 | |
| 2017 | Operating Capital | \$36,963,302 \$0 | \$4,265,000 \$395,000 | \$41,228,302 \$395,000 | |
| | Operating Capital Total | \$36,963,302 \$0 \$36,963,302 | \$4,265,000 \$395,000 \$4,660,000 | \$41,228,302 \$395,000 \$41,623,302 | 0.95% |

Massachusetts Department of Transportation - Highway Division Summary of Operating and Maintenance Expenditures Montachusett Region - Part 1: Non-Federal Aid

Section I - Non Federal Aid Maintenance Projects - State Bondfunds

| Program Group/Sub Group | Estimated SFY 2012 Expenditures | Estimated SFY 2013 Expenditures | Current SFY 2014 Expenditures to Date |
|--|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|
| 01 - Bridge Repair & Replacement | | | |
| New Bridge (Excluded) | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Bridge Replacement (Excluded) | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Bridge Reconstruction/Rehab | 5 | \$0 | \$0 \$0 |
| Drawbridge Maintenance | 5 | \$0 | \$0 \$0 |
| Structures Maintenance | \$2,060,09 | 94 \$693, | 780 \$40,349 |
| 02 - Bridge Painting Painting - Structural | | 50 | \$0 \$730,869 |
| 02 Boodway Boomstwiction | | | |
| 03 - Roadway Reconstruction Hwy Relocation (Excluded) | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Hwy Recon Added Capacity (Excluded) | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| New Construction (Excluded) | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Hwy Reconstr - Restr and Rehab | | \$0 \$1,010, | |
| Hwy Reconstr - No Added Capacity | | \$0 \$0 | \$0 \$0 |
| Hwy Reconstr - Minor Widening | | \$0 \$0 | \$0 \$0 |
| Hwy Reconstr - Major Widening | | \$0 \$0 | \$0 \$0 |
| 04 - Roadway Resurfacing | | | |
| Resurfacing | | \$0 \$502, | 750 \$315,890 |
| 05 - Intersection & Safety | | | do. |
| Impact Attenuators | | \$0 | \$0 \$0 |
| Safety Improvements | | \$0 | \$0 \$0 |
| Traffic Signals | : | \$0 | \$0 \$0 |
| 06 - Signs & Lighting | | | |
| Lighting and Electrical | | \$0 | \$0 \$0 |
| Sign Installation / Upgrading | | \$0 | \$0 \$0 |
| Structural Signing | \$ | \$0 | \$0 \$0 |
| 07 - Guardrail | | 10 | to to |
| Guard Rail and Fencing | • | \$0 | \$0 \$0 |
| 08 - Maintenance | | | |
| Catch Basin Cleaning | | \$0 | \$0 \$0 |
| Crack Sealing | | \$0 | \$0 \$0 |
| Landscape and Roadside Develop | | \$0 | \$0 \$0 |
| Mowing and Spraying | | \$0 | \$0 \$0 |
| Pavement Marking | | \$0 | \$0 \$0 |
| Sewer and Water | | \$0 | \$0 \$0 |
| Process/Recycle/Trnsprt Soils | | \$0 | \$0 \$0 |
| Contract Hwy Maint. | • | \$0 | \$0 |
| 09 - Facilities | | | |
| Chemical Storage Sheds | | \$0 | \$0 \$0 |
| Vertical Construction | \$67,50 | 02 \$15, | 837 \$0 |
| 10 - Bikeways (Excluded) | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| 11 - Other | | | |
| Demolition | | \$0 | \$0 \$0 |
| Drilling & Boring | | \$0 | \$0 \$0 |
| Highway Sweeping | 5 | \$0 | \$0 \$0 |
| Intelligent Transportation System | 5 | \$0 | \$0 \$0 |
| Marine Construction | 5 | \$0 | \$0 \$0 |
| Miscellaneous / No prequal | 5 | \$0 | \$0 \$0 |
| Reclamation | 5 | \$0 | \$0 \$0 |
| Underground Tank Removal Replace | | \$0 | \$0 \$0 |
| Unknown | \$ | \$0 | \$0 |
| Section I Total: | \$2,127,5 | 96 \$2,222,5 | 983 \$1,087,108 |
| Section II - Non Federal Aid Highway Op | erations - State | Operating Budge | t Funding |
| 12 - Snow and Ice Operations & Materials | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| 13 - District Maintenance Payroll | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| (Mowing, Litter Management, Sight Distance Clearing, Etc.) | - | 2 | 200 u u |
| Section II Total: | • | 50 | \$0 \$0 |

Massachusetts Department of Transportation - Highway Division Summary of Operating and Maintenance Expenditures Montachusett Region - Part 2: Federal Aid

Section I - Federal Aid Maintenance Projects

| Program Group/Sub Group | Estimated SFY 2012 Expenditures | Estimated SFY 2013 Expenditures | Current SFY 2014 Expenditures to Date |
|---|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|
| 01 - Bridge Repair & Replacement | | | |
| New Bridge (Excluded) | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Bridge Replacement (Excluded) | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Bridge Reconstruction/Rehab | \$220,087 | \$1,412,766 | \$3,291,906 |
| Drawbridge Maintenance | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Structures Maintenance | \$2,450,392 | \$2,114,610 | \$1,140,218 |
| 02 - Bridge Painting Painting - Structural | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 03 - Roadway Reconstruction | | | |
| Hwy Relocation (Excluded) | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Hwy Recon Added Capacity (Excluded) | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| New Construction (Excluded) | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Hwy Reconstr - Restr and Rehab | \$25,622 | \$943,669 | \$539,428 |
| Hwy Reconstr - No Added Capacity | \$112,444 | \$1,538,448 | \$555,054 |
| Hwy Reconstr - Minor Widening Hwy Reconstr - Major Widening | \$82,690 \$30,483 | \$994,816 \$0 | \$1,992,781 \$0 |
| 04 - Roadway Resurfacing Resurfacing | ØC 215 424 | \$517,808 | #2.007.027 |
| Resultating | \$6,215,424 | \$317,808 | \$2,997,036 |
| 05 - Intersection & Safety Impact Attenuators | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Safety Improvements | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Traffic Signals | \$7,296 | \$303,161 | \$307,040 |
| 06 - Signs & Lighting | | | |
| Lighting and Electrical | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Sign Installation / Upgrading | \$9,542 | \$40,474 | \$0 |
| Structural Signing | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 07 - Guardrail Guard Rail and Fencing | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Guard Nam and Fertiling | 90 | 90 | 30 |
| 08 - Maintenance | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| Catch Basin Cleaning | \$0 \$0 | \$0 \$0 | \$0 \$0 |
| Contract Highway Maintenance Crack Sealing | \$0 \$0 | \$0 \$0 | \$0 \$0 |
| Landscape and Roadside Develop | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Mowing and Spraying | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Pavement Marking | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Process/Recycle/Trnsport Soils | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Sewer and Water | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 09 - Facilities | | | |
| Chemical Storage Sheds | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Vertical Construction | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 10 - Bikeways (Excluded) | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| 11 - Other | | | |
| Demolition | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Drilling & Boring | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Highway Sweeping | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Intelligent Transportation System | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Marine Construction Miscellaneous / No prequal | \$0 \$0 | \$0 \$0 | \$0 |
| Reclamation | \$1,141,321 | \$126,644 | \$0 \$15,713 |
| Underground Tank Removal Replace | \$1,141,321 | \$120,044 | \$15,713 |
| Unknown | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| | | | |
| Section I Total: | \$10,295,302 | \$7,992,397 | \$10,839,176 |
| Section II - Federal Aid Highway Operations | | | |
| ITS Operations - I-93 HOV Lane Operation and Towing ITS Operations - Traffic Operations Center (South Boston) | n/a n/a | n/a n/a | n/a n/a |
| Section II Total | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Grand Total Federal Aid: | \$10,295,302 | \$7,992,397 | \$10,839,176 |
| Grand Fotal Fodoral / IId. | \$10,255,302 | \$1,552,357 | \$10,000,170 |

STATUS OF PREVIOUS ANNUAL ELEMENT PROJECTS

| ID Number | Community - Project Description | Award/Advert. Date/Notice To Proceed Date | Estimated Cost | Funding Category |
|--------------|--|--|-------------------|---------------------|
| 603700 | Westminster - Route 12 Bridge #A-28-007 over Phillips Brook | 4/28/09 | \$2,617,552 | BR |
| 601960 | Hubbardston - Route 62 Reconstruction from Barre Town Line to Princeton Town Line | 5/18/09 | \$3,311,300 | STP |
| 604162 | Gardner - Route 2 Bridge #G-01-035 & G-01-036 over Route 68 | 5/6/09 | \$8,906,708 | BR |
| 604463 | Ashburnham - Lake Road Bridge #A-11-016 over Watatic Lake Outlet | 6/2/09 | \$791,238 | ARRA |
| 601992 | Leominster - Route 12/Willard Street - Signal & intersection improvements | 6/23/09 | \$851,716 | STP |
| 603492 | Clinton - Water Street Bridge #C-16-002 over Nashua River | 7/25/09 | \$3,212,923 | ARRA |
| 604364 | Fitchburg/Westminster - Route 2 - Resurfacing & related work from Exit 26 to Exit 28 | 8/7/09 | \$6,364,805 | ARRA |
| 604220 | Royalston - Route 32 - Resurfacing & rehabilitation from Elm Ave to N.H. State Line | 11/27/09 | \$3,473,902 | STP & ARRA |
| 604736 | Fitchburg - Route 31/John Fitch Highway - Intersection Improvements | 2/23/10 | \$1,224,365 | Sect 117 & TCSP |
| 603495 | Phillipston - Route 2 - Bridge Replacement, Br# P-09-004 Over Route 2A (State Road) | 4/29/10 | \$3,883,447 | NFA – GANS |
| 603645 | Townsend - Wheeler Road - Replacement of Br# T-07-008 over Willard Brook | 6/30/10 | \$1,198,605 | BR |
| 605076 | Phillipston - Highland Avenue - Bridge Betterment, Br# P-09-005 Over Route 202/ Route 2 | 6/7/10 | \$1,563,697 | STP |
| 605579 | Gardner - Route 140 - Resurfacing & Related Work from 1000 ft north of Rt 101 continuing 1.6 miles north to Stone St | 2/11/10 | \$1,794,959 | ARRA |
| 604634 | Fitchburg - Ashby West Road - Bridge Replacement, Br# F-04-053 Over the Scott Reservoir Outlet | 6/19/10 | \$1,083,812 | NFA – GANS |
| 605841 | Petersham - Route 32/122 - Resurfacing from Barre Town Line to 1 mile north of Route 101 | 6/26/10 | \$2,431,478 | STP |
| 605391 | Leominster – Intersection & Signal Improvements at Merriam Avenue and Lindell Avenue | 11/19/11 | \$693,627 | STP |
| 605773 | Leominster – Superstructure Replacement, L-08-028, Hamilton Street over Route 2 | 3/24/12 | \$6,040,337 | BR-On |
| 605216 | Lancaster – Reconstruction on Route 70 (Lunenburg Road) at Old Union Turnpike | 11/9/12 | \$1,807,345 | STP/HSIP |
| 605104 | Leominster – Bridge Reconstruction & Ramp Improvements, L-08- 024, Route 12 over Route 2 | 3/11/13 | \$8,203,110 | BR-On |
| 604175 | Royalston – Bridge Replacement, R-12-004, Northeast Fitzwilliam Road over Lawrence Brook | 3/19/13 | \$1,176,401 | BR-Off |
| 604917 | Templeton – Reconstruction of Baldwinville Road from Route 202/68 to Patriots Road | 1/4/13 | \$4,310,977 | STP/TE |
| 606008 | Athol- Petersham- Resurfacing & Related Work On Route 32, From 1 Mile North Of Route 101 To Route 2 | 3/1/13 | \$2,464,033 | STP |
| 607219 | Winchendon - Resurfacing & Improvements on Route 140, from Gardner Town Line to Teel Road | 3/16/13 | \$1,252,800 | HSIP |
| 605392 | Lancaster- Intersection Improvements @ Five Corners: Route 110 (Bolton Road, High Street Extension), Center Bridge Road, Old Common Road | 6/29/13 | \$1,116,392 | CMAQ |
| 603514 | Leominster- Bridge Replacement, L-08-014, Whitney Street over the Monoosnoc Brook | 9/14/13 | \$3,889,979 | BR-On |
| 604515 | Royalston- Bridge Replacement, R-12-006, North Fitzwilliam Road over Lawrence Brook | 9/7/13 | \$1,448,923 | BR-Off |
| 604492 | Royalston- Bridge Replacement, R-12-006, North Fitzwilliam Road over Lawrence Brook | 8/10/13 | \$562,106 | BR-Off |
| 605696 | Hubbardston – Bridge Replacement, H-24-004, Burnshirt Road over Burnshirt River | 3 rd /4 th Quarter FFY 2014 | \$1,346,624 | STP |
| 607296 | Athol-Phillipston – Median Delineator Replacement on Route 2 | 10/19/13 | \$690,952 | STP |
| 606408 | Athol – Reconstruction of West Royalston Road from Silver Lake St to Royalston T.L. | 12/21/13 | \$2,228,891 | STP |
| 606636 | Athol - Scenic Byway Access & Overlook Construction | 3/15/14 | \$160,434 | TAP/TE |
| 604439 | Winchendon – Multi-Use Trail Construction, North Central Pathway Phase VI, includes W-39-023, W-39-024 & W-39-028 | 3 rd /4 th Quarter FFY 2014 | \$1,962,426 | CMAQ |

Status of 2013-2014 Transit Projects

Federal Funds

| RTA | Section | Description | Federal Funds | Approval Status | Grant # | Comments |
|--------------|-------------|---------------------------------------|------------------|--------------------|------------|--|
| Montachusett | 5307 | Operating Assistance | \$2,134,000 | Unobligated | TBD | |
| Montachusett | 5307 | Purchase Bicycle Access Equip | \$40,000 | Unobligated | TBD | |
| Montachusett | 5307 | Rehab Maintenance Fac. | \$204,000 | Unobligated | TBD | |
| Montachusett | 5307 | Short Range Transit Planning | \$20,000 | Unobligated | TBD | |
| Montachusett | 5307 | Buy Replacement Vans | \$352,000 | Unobligated | TBD | |
| Montachusett | 5307 | Acquire Misc Support Equip | \$240,000 | Unobligated | TBD | |
| Montachusett | 5307 | Rehab Admin/Maintenance Fac. | \$80,151 | Obligated | MA-90-X647 | \$1,017 Fed Outlay thru 2013. All other projects for this grant fully expended |
| Montachusett | 5307 | Acquire Support Vehicles | \$94,444 | Obligated | MA-90-X668 | Done – all funds expended |
| Montachusett | 5307 | Acquire Stationary Fare Collect Equip | \$90,400 | Obligated | MA-90-X668 | Under contract. \$5,421 Fed outlay thru Apr |
| Montachusett | 5307 | Acquire Security Equip | \$12,000 | Obligated | MA-90-X668 | Not expended |
| Montachusett | 5307 | Transit Enhance – Rehab Bus Shelters | \$20,000 | Obligated | MA-90-X668 | Not expended |
| Montachusett | 5307 | Rehab Admin/Maint. Fac. | \$170,588 | Obligated | MA-90-X668 | Not expended |
| Montachusett | 5307 | N. Leominster Garage Construction | \$985,000 | Obligated | MA-90-X668 | \$519,070 Fed Outlay thru Apr |
| Montachusett | 5309 | N. Leominster Garage Construction | \$5,135,000 | Obligated | MA-04-0040 | Done – all funds expended |
| Montachusett | 5309 SGR | Maintenance & ITC Bldg Improvements | \$980,000 | Obligated | MA-04-0061 | \$724,482 Fed Outlay |
| Montachusett | 5309 VTCLI | MOVET One-Call/One-Click | \$2,000,000 | Obligated | MA-04-0073 | \$913,489 Fed Outlay thru Apr |
| Montachusett | 5309 TIGGER | Solar PV & Energy Conservation | \$1,678,500 | Obligated | MA-88-0001 | \$874,902 Fed Outlay thru March |
| Montachusett | 5308-CF | Purchase Hybrid Buses | \$1,180,000 | Obligated | MA-58-0001 | \$1,177,799 Fed Outlay thru October |
| Montachusett | 5310 | MAP Vehicle Purchases | \$440,356 | Obligated | | Awarded but not expended |
| | | | | | | |

Air Quality Conformity Information Montachusett Metropolitan Planning Organization FFY 2015-2018 Transportation Improvement Program

Since most all of Massachusetts (with limited exceptions) was designated on 5/21/12 by the United States Environmental Protection Agency as "unclassifiable/attainment" for the latest ozone standard, a conformity determination for the Montachusett 2015-18 TIP is not required. Further details and background information are provided below:

Introduction

The 1990 Clean Air Act Amendments (CAAA) require metropolitan planning organizations within nonattainment and maintenance areas to perform air quality conformity determinations prior to the approval of Long-Range Transportation Plans (LRTPs) and Transportation Improvement Programs (TIPs), and at such other times as required by regulation. A nonattainment area is one that the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has designated as not meeting certain air quality standards. A maintenance area is a nonattainment area that now meets the standards and has been re-designated as maintaining the standard. A conformity determination is a demonstration that plans, programs, and projects are consistent with the State Implementation Plan (SIP) for attaining the air quality standards. The CAAA requirement to perform a conformity determination ensures that federal approval and funding go to transportation activities that are consistent with air quality goals.

The entire Commonwealth of Massachusetts was previously classified as nonattainment for ozone, and was divided into two nonattainment areas. The Eastern Massachusetts ozone nonattainment area included Barnstable, Bristol, Dukes, Essex, Middlesex, Nantucket, Norfolk, Plymouth, Suffolk, and Worcester counties. Berkshire, Franklin, Hampden, and Hampshire counties comprised the Western Massachusetts ozone nonattainment area. With these classifications, the 1990 Clean Air Act Amendments (CAAA) required the Commonwealth to reduce its emissions of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and nitrogen oxides (NOx), the two major precursors to ozone formation to achieve attainment of the ozone standard.

Legislative and Regulatory Background

The 1970 Clean Air Act defined a one-hour national ambient air quality standard (NAAQS) for ground-level ozone. The 1990 CAAA further classified degrees of nonattainment of the one-hour standard based on the severity of the monitored levels of the pollutant. The entire commonwealth of Massachusetts was classified as being in serious nonattainment for the one-hour ozone standard, with a required attainment date of 1999. The attainment date was later extended, first to 2003 and a second time to 2007.

In 1997, the EPA proposed a new, eight-hour ozone standard that replaced the one- hour standard, effective June 15, 2005. Scientific information had shown that ozone could affect human health at lower levels, and over longer exposure times than one hour. The new standard was challenged in court, and after a lengthy legal battle, the courts upheld it. It was finalized in June 2004. The eight-hour standard is 0.08 parts per million, averaged over eight hours and not to be exceeded more than once per year. Nonattainment areas were again further classified based on the severity of the eight-hour values. Massachusetts as a whole was classified as being in moderate nonattainment for the eight-hour standard, and was separated into two nonattainment areas—Eastern Massachusetts and Western Massachusetts.

In March 2008, EPA published revisions to the eight-hour ozone NAAQS establishing a level of 0.075 ppm, (March 27, 2008; 73 FR 16483). In 2009, EPA announced it would reconsider this standard because it fell outside of the range recommended by the Clean Air Scientific Advisory Committee. However, EPA did not take final action on the reconsideration so the standard would remain at 0.075 ppm.

After reviewing data from Massachusetts monitoring stations, EPA sent a letter on December 16, 2011 proposing that only Dukes County would be designated as nonattainment for the new proposed 0.075 ozone standard. Massachusetts concurred with these findings.

On May 21, 2012, (77 FR 30088), the final rule was published in the Federal Register, defining the 2008 NAAQS at 0.075 ppm, the standard that was promulgated in March 2008. A second rule published on May 21, 2012 (77 FR 30160), revoked the 1997 ozone NAAQS to occur one year after the July 20, 2012 effective date of the 2008 NAAQS.

Also on May 21, 2012, the air quality designations areas for the 2008 NAAQS were published in the Federal Register. In this Federal Register, the only area in Massachusetts that was designated as nonattainment is Dukes County. All other Massachusetts counties were classified as unclassifiable/attainment.

Therefore, conformity for ozone in the Montachusett MPO is required until July 20, 2013 for only the 1997 ozone standard. Since this 2015-18 TIP will not complete its collective development, review, and approval by the Federal Highway Administration until after July 20, 2013 – when this standard will be revoked, and since the latest area designations to do not require conformity under the current 2008 standard, the MPO does not need to perform a conformity determination for ozone on the program.

SPECIAL EFFORTS FOR ELDERLY AND DISABLED

The U.S. Department of Transportation's regulations regarding Nondiscrimination on the Basis of Handicap requires that transit operators certify that "special efforts are being made in its service to provide transportation that handicapped persons, including wheelchair users and semi-ambulatory persons can use." The Montachusett Regional Transit Authority (MART) has been so certified by FTA. The Montachusett Regional Planning Commission annually monitors and updates MART's compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act Regulations. In compliance with a DOT rule to implement the transportation provisions of the ADA, MART has submitted an ADA compliance Para-transit plan and at this time has met all six criteria established by the Regulations; therefore the ADA plan is complete.

The following policies regarding special efforts are currently in effect.

- half fare on fixed route transit for eligible elderly and disabled individuals;
- fixed route service designed to serve elderly housing, shopping centers, medical facilities, and elderly social centers;
- curb-to-curb service with lift equipped vans provided by local Councils on Aging/private operators;
- half fare on commuter rail service for elderly and disabled individuals;
- continuation of next day ADA eligible van service which operates the same hours as fixed route service;
- operation of Dial-A-MART program which is a coordination of transportation needs of clients of social service agencies;
- no restriction on trip purpose for ADA Para-transit services:
- a twenty percent discount on monthly bus passes for eligible elderly and disabled individuals;
- a twenty percent discount on monthly bus passes for veterans

FY15 Projects

Projects in the FY15 TIP in Section 5307 and Section 5310 categories contain program elements for the elderly and disabled. The estimated costs in the Year 1 Element in the Section 5307 and 5310 categories include the costs of operating the special services described above.

APPENDIX A – REGIONAL PRIORITIES FOR WHICH FUNDING HAS NOT BEEN IDENTIFIED

(For Informational Purposes)

Please note that the projects listed represent the best available information at the time of compilation. Actual implementation is subject to right of way, design, land taking, local action and/or other issues that could delay project time frames and subsequently advertising and award date

| Appe | endix Montachusett MPO Transportation Impro | ##/##/### MPG | O Endorsed | | | | |
|--------|--|---------------|---------------|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|---|
| | MassDOT Project Description ▼ | | Source ▼ | Total Programmed Funds ▼ | Federal Funds ▼ | Non-Federal Funds ▼ | Additional Information ▼ |
| | g are a list of projects within the Montachusett Region with unsp id Target Projects | ecified Fisc | al Years. The | ey are listed for i | nformational tra | cking purposes | at this time. |
| 606420 | FITCHBURG- INTERSECTION & SIGNAL IMPROVEMENTS @ ROUTE 2A (LUNENBURG STREET) & JOHN FITCH HIGHWAY | 3 | | \$ 1,800,000 | \$ 1,440,000 | \$ 360,000 | Total Cost = \$1,800,000 (as of 4/10/13); Highway Reconstruction/Restoration & Rehab; Prelim Design; TEC 44 of 100; Possible CMAQ/TAP |
| 607704 | GROTON- LITTLETON- RESURFACING & RELATED WORK ON ROUTE 119 Work consists of resurfacing a section of Route 119. | 3 | | \$ 9,775,000 | \$ 7,820,000 | \$ 1,955,000 | Project Info Est Cost = \$9,775,000 (as of 1/6/14); Prelim Design; Est Const - Winter 2016/2017; MassDOT Design; TEC 40 of 100; |
| 606640 | AYER- RESURFACING & RELATED WORK ON ROUTE 2A (FITCHBURG ROAD & PARK STREET) | 3 | | \$ 2,400,000 | \$ 1,920,000 | \$ 480,000 | Resurfacing; Prelim Design; TEC 35 of 100; |
| 604499 | LEOMINSTER- RESURFACING AND RELATED WORK ON ROUTE 12 (CENTRAL STREET) | 3 | | \$ 1,440,000 | \$ 1,152,000 | \$ 288,000 | Project Info Est Cost = \$1,440,000; Resurfacing; Prelim Design; MassDOT to design; TEC 37 of 100; |
| 604961 | CLINTON- RESURFACING & RELATED WORK ON ROUTE 110 (HIGH STREET) | 3 | | \$ 1,500,000 | \$ 1,200,000 | \$ 300,000 | Non Adjusted Total Cost = \$1,500,000 as of 1/6/14 Preliminary Design; Construction; TEC 36 of 100. |
| 605393 | HARVARD- LANCASTER- RECONSTRUCTON & WIDENING ON ROUTE 2 RAMPS @ 35, 36 & 38 | 3 | | \$ 2,246,400 | \$ 1,797,120 | \$ 449,280 | MassDOT designer on board; possible HSIP; estimate \$2,246,400 (as of 4/10/13); Construction TEC 36 of 100; Prelim Design. |
| 607641 | ATHOL- PHILLIPSTON- RESURFACING & RELATED WORK ON ROUTE 2A, FROM ROUTE 32 TO ROUTES 2/202 (MM 36.7 - MM 40.7: 4 MILES) Work for the Route 2A resurfacing project in Athol-Phillipston will consist of milling the existing paved surface and resurfacing with hot mix asphalt pavement. Work will also include replacing signs and guardrail as needed, repairing hot mix asphalt berm, and new pavement markings. The project limits are as follows: Route 2A from Route 32 (Petersham Road) in Athol, MM 36.7, to Route 2 at Interchange 19 in Phillipston, MM 40.7 for a project length of 4.0 miles. | 2 | | \$ 2,842,950 | \$ 2,274,360 | \$ 568,590 | Project Info Est Cost = \$2,283,109 (as of 3/24/14) 75% Design recv'd 11/21/2013; Est Const - Spring 2014; MassDOT Design; TEC 35 of 100; |
| 601965 | GROTON- PEPPERELL- TOWNSEND- RESURFACING & RELATED WORK ON ROUTE 119 | 3 | | \$ 5,000,000 | \$ 4,000,000 | \$ 1,000,000 | Construction; State needs a designer; NHS eligible Need to break out by town due to cost; TEC 34 of 100; Prelim Design. |
| 601957 | ASHBURNHAM- RESURFACING & RELATED WORK ON ROUTE 101 | 3 | | \$ 1,500,000 | \$ 1,200,000 | \$ 300,000 | Construction; Preliminary design; Town needs to hold public meetings to determine width impacts; drainage not included w/new sidewalk; better estimate \$4,500,000 (as of 4/10/13); TEC 30 of 100; Prelim Design. |
| 606348 | AYER- RESURFACING AND RELATED WORK ON ROUTE 2A, FROM SANDY POND ROAD TO THE LITTLETON TOWN LINE The project involves resurfacing Route 2A, from Sandy Pond Road to the littleton | 3 | | \$ 1,200,000 | \$ 960,000 | \$ 240,000 | Project Info Est Cost = \$1,200,000 (as of 1/6/14); Prelim Design; Est Const - Winter 2018/2019; MassDOT Design; TEC 33 of 100; |
| 601220 | TOWNSEND - RESURFACING & RELATED WORK ON ROUTE 13 - FROM JUST NORTH OF THE INTERSECTION OF ROUTE 119 TO THE NH STATE LINE, A DISTANCE OF 3.2 MILES. | 3 | | \$ 2,353,780 | \$ 1,883,024 | \$ 470,756 | Non Adjusted Total Cost = \$2,353,780 as of 1/6/14 Preliminary Design; Town to do design; possible book job; TEC = 32 of 100; |
| 607432 | WESTMINSTER- REHABILITATION & BOX WIDENING ON ROUTE 140, FROM PATRICIA ROAD TO THE PRINCETON T.L. The project involves roadway rehabilitation and minor widening on Route 140 from south of Patricia Road to the PrincetonTown line, a distance of 1.5 miles. Additional work involves minor geometric changes to vertical and horizontal alignment for improved sight distance. Drainage improvements include repairs to existing culverts that are in poor condition, as well as upgrades to guardrail and signs. | 3 | | \$ 4,200,000 | \$ 3,360,000 | \$ 840,000 | Project Info Est Cost = \$4,200,000 (as of 1/6/14); Prelim Design; Est Const - Summer 2019; Municipality Design; TEC 32 of 100; |
| 607604 | STERLING- WEST BOYLSTON- IMPROVEMENTS ON ROUTE 140 AT I-190 The proposed improvements include reducing the Route 140 travel lanes in an effort to reduce speeds and improve safety. Additional improvements include reconstruction of median islands, resetting of curb and edging, pavement markings and installation of traffic signs. The design will involve analysis of the ramp intersections and consideration of separate bicycle facilities. | 3 | | \$ 750,000 | \$ 600,000 | \$ 150,000 | Project Info Est Cost = \$750,000 (as of 1/6/14); Prelim Design; Est Const - Winter 2018/2019; Consultant Design; TEC 29 of 100; |
| 606435 | HUBBARDSTON- RESURFACING AND RELATED WORK ON ROUTE 68, FROM WILLIAMSVILLE ROAD TO THE RUTLAND T.L. | 3 | | \$ 3,300,000 | \$ 2,640,000 | \$ 660,000 | Preliminary design; Could be book job if exclude town center; Construction; TEC 24 of 100; Prelim Design. |
| 607431 | WESTMINSTER- RESURFACING & RELATED WORK ON ROUTE 140, FROM ROUTE 2A TO PATRICIA ROAD The project involves roadway resurfacing along Route 140 from Route 2A to just south of Patricia Road, a distance of 1.4 miles. The project also includes adjusting drainage structures and replacing signs, guardrail and pavement markings, as needed. | 3 | | \$ 1,800,000 | \$ 1,440,000 | \$ 360,000 | Project Info Est Cost = \$1,800,000 (as of 1/6/14); Prelim Design; Est Const - Summer 2019; Municipality Design; TEC 25 of 100; |
| 102710 | WINCHENDON- RECONSTRUCTION OF GLENALLEN STREET (ROUTE 202) FROM MAPLE STREET INTERSECTION TO RINDGE, N.H. STATE LINE | 2 | | Deactivated | | | Total Est Cost = \$5,871,004 as of 4/10/13; Construction; 75 to 100% Design on 1/6/09 - Revisions Needed as of 4/12/12; TEC 17 of 100; |
| 601366 | HARVARD- RESURFACING & RELATED WORK ON ROUTE 110 (STILL RIVER ROAD) FROM BOLTON T.L. TO ROUTE 110/11 INTERSECTION (3.4 MILES) | 3 | | \$ 3,000,000 | \$ 2,400,000 | \$ 600,000 | Construction; TEC 21 of 100; Prelim Design. |
| 607321 | TEMPLETON - RESURFACING & RELATED WORK ON A SECTION OF ROUTE 68 FROM THE GARDNER CITY LINE TO THE END OF STATE HIGHWAY (2.0 MILES) | 3 | | \$ 2,007,560 | \$ 1,606,048 | \$ 401,512 | Preliminary design; MassDOT in house design; TEC ## of 100; |
| 604636 | HUBBARDSTON- BRIDGE REPLACEMENT, H-24-021, STATE ROUTE 62 OVER WEST BRANCH OF THE WARE RIVER | 3 | | \$ 1,050,000 | \$ 840,000 | \$ 210,000 | Project Info Est Cost = \$1,716,480; Bridge Replacement; Prelim Design. |
| 607260 | PETERSHAM - RESURFACING & RELATED WORK ON ROUTE 122, FROM S. MAIN STREET TO THE NEW SALEM T.L. | 2 | | \$ 4,680,000 | \$ 3,744,000 | \$ 936,000 | MassDOT in house design; estimate \$4,680,000 (as of 4/10/13); TEC 11 of 100; |
| 607770 | LUNENBURG- RESURFACING & RELATED WORK ON ROUTE 13 (CHASE ROAD), FROM NORTHFIELD ROAD TO THE TOWNSEND T.L. | 3 | | \$ 2,400,000 | \$ 1,920,000 | \$ 480,000 | Preliminary Design; PRC Approved 4/7/2014 |

| Appendix Montachusett MPO Transportation Improvement Program | | | | 5/19/2014 Draft Rel ##/##/### MPO Er | | | | | | |
|--|--|------------|----------|---|------------|-------|---------------|------|-----------|---|
| | | | | Total | | | | | | |
| MassDOT | MassDOT | MassDOT | Funding | Programmed Federal | | deral | Non-Federal | | | |
| Project ID ▼ | Project Description ▼ | District ▼ | Source ▼ | Fu | nds ▼ | Fu | ınds ▼ | Fun | ds ▼ | Additional Information ▼ |
| The following are a list of projects within the Montachusett Region with unspecified Fiscal Years. They are listed for informational tracking purposes at this time. | | | | | | | | | | |
| ► Federal Aid | 1 Target Projects | | | | | | | | | |
| 607324 | LANCASTER - INSTALLATION OF SEWER LINE & BATHROOM | 3 | | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | ProjectInfo Cost Est = \$0.00 |
| | RETROFIT ON ROURE 2 (WB) REST AREA | | | | | | | | | |
| 603513 | GARDNER - BRIDGE REPLACEMENT, G-01-008, PLEASANT | 3 | | \$ | 1,729,200 | \$ | 1,383,360 | \$ | 345,840 | 100% Design (as of 5/1/13 ProjectInfo) |
| | STREET OVER THE B&M RAILROAD | | | | | | | | | |
| 602587 | WESTMINSTER- BRIDGE REPLACEMENT, W-28-017, ROUTE | 3 | | \$ | 1,440,000 | \$ | 1,152,000 | \$ | 288,000 | 25% Design (as of 3/15/13 ProjectInfo) |
| | 12 (ASHBURNHAM ROAD) OVER PHILLIPS BROOK | | | | | | | | | |
| 606301 | ASHBY - BRIDGE REPLACEMENT, A-12-006, TURNPIKE | 3 | | \$ | 1,800,000 | \$ | 1,440,000 | \$ | 360,000 | Preliminary Design (as of 5/1/13 ProjectInfo) |
| | ROAD OVER TRAPFALL BROOK | | | | | | | | | |
| 605094 | FITCHBURG - BRIDGE BETTERMENT, F-04-003, STATE | 3 | | \$ | 3,065,040 | \$ | 2,452,032 | \$ | 613,008 | Preliminary Design (as of 5/1/13 ProjectInfo) |
| | ROUTE 31 OVER PHILLIPS BROOK | | | | | | | | | |
| 400102 | ATHOL- BRIDGE REPLACEMENTS, BR# A-15-009 CHESTNUT | 2 | | \$ | 1,500,000 | \$ | 1,200,000 | \$ | 300.000 | Preliminary Design (as of 5/1/13 ProjectInfo) |
| | HILL AVENUE (ROUTE 32) OVER THE MILLER'S RIVER & A-15- | | | ľ | ,, | ľ | ,, | 1 | , | |
| | 012 OVER THE B&M RR | | | | | | | | | |
| 603727 | ATHOL- ORANGE- BRIDGE REPLACEMENT, A-15-017=O-03- | 2 | | \$ | 1,248,000 | \$ | 998,400 | \$ | 249,600 | Project Info Est Cost = \$1,248,000; Prelim Design. |
| | 001, LOGAN ROAD OVER THE EAST BRANCH OF THE TULLY | | | • | | | | 1 | | |
| | RIVER | | | | | | | | | |
| 605296 | FITCHBURG- BRIDGE PRESERVATION, F-04-011, CIRCLE | 3 | | \$ | 2,586,600 | \$ | 2,069,280 | \$ | 517,320 | Project Info Est Cost = \$2,586,600; Prelim Design. |
| | STREET OVER NORTH NASHUA RIVER | | | | | | | | | |
| A | A to to a to all the | | | TIF | Section 1: | TIF | P Section 2: | Tota | of All | |
| Appendix Montachusett MPO TIP Summary | | | | • | | • | | Proj | ects ▼ | |
| | | | Total ▶ | \$ | 68.614.530 | \$ | 54.891.624 | \$ 1 | 3.722.906 | ■ Total Spending in Region |
| | | | , otal P | • | 22,211,000 | 1 | 2 .,23 .,02 . | | ,, | |

701 CMR 7.00 Use of Road Flaggers and Police Details on Public Works Projects / 701 CMR 7.00 (the Regulation) was promulgated and became law on October 3, 2008. Under this Regulation, the CMR is applicable to any Public works Project that is performed within the limits of, or that impact traffic on, any Public Road. The Municipal Limitation referenced in this Regulation is applicable only to projects where the Municipality is the Awarding Authority. For all projects contained in the TIP, the Commonwealth is the Awarding Authority. Therefore, all projects must be considered and implemented in accordance with 701 CMR 7.00, and the Road Flagger and Police Detail Guidelines. By placing a project on the TIP, the Municipality acknowledges that 701 CMR 7.00 is applicable to its project and design and construction will be fully complaint with this Regulation. This information, and additional information relative to guidance and implementation of the Regulation can be found at the following link on the MassDOT Highway Division website: http://www.massdot.state.ma.us/Highway/flaggers/main.aspx

| APPENDIX B – 2015 – 2018 TIP GREENHOUSE GAS MONITORING | AND EVALUATION |
|--|----------------|
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

INTRODUCTION

This section summarizes the greenhouse gas (GHG) impacts that are anticipated to result from the projects that are included in this FFY 2015 – 2018 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP). It includes a summary of the state laws and policies that call for reducing greenhouse gas in order to mitigate global climate change, actions that are being to respond to these state laws and policies, the role of regional planning and TIP development in reducing GHG emission and tracking these reductions, and the projected GHG emission impacts from the projects programmed in the TIP.

STATE POLICY CONTEXT

The Global Warming Solutions Act (GWSA), which Governor Deval Patrick signed into law in August 2008, makes Massachusetts a leader in setting aggressive and enforceable GHG reduction targets, and implementing policies and initiatives to achieve these targets. In keeping with the law, on December 29, 2010 the Massachusetts Executive Office of Energy and Environmental Affairs (EOEEA), in consultation with other state agencies and the public, released the Massachusetts *Clean Energy and Climate Plan for 2020*. This implementation plan establishes targets for overall, statewide GHG emissions:

- By 2020: 25 percent reduction below statewide 1990 GHG emission levels
- By 2050: 80 percent reduction below statewide 1990 GHG emission levels

GREENDOT POLICY

The transportation sector is the single largest emitter of greenhouse gases, accounting for over a third of GHG emissions, and therefore the transportation sector is a key focus of the *Clean Energy and Climate Plan*. MassDOT's approach to supporting the implementation of the plan is set forth in its GreenDOT Policy Directive, a comprehensive sustainability initiative that sets three principal objectives:

- Reduce greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. MassDOT will achieve this by taking GHG emissions into
 account in all of its responsibilities, from strategic planning to project design and construction and system
 operations.
- Promote the healthy transportation modes of walking, bicycling, and public transit. MassDOT will
 achieve this by pursuing multi-modal, "complete streets" design standards; providing choice in transportation
 services; and by working with MPOs and other partners to prioritize and program a balance of projects that
 serve drivers, pedestrians, bicyclists, and public transit riders. MassDOT announced in October 2012, a
 policy that will seek to triple the distanced traveled by users of MassDOT systems through bicycling, transit
 and walking. This "Mode Shift" goal was established to reduce dependence on driving alone, reduce GHG
 emissions and promote and support better public health.
- To support smart growth development. MassDOT will achieve this by working with MPOs and other
 partners to make transportation investments that enable denser, smart growth development patterns that
 support reduced GHG emissions.

GREENDOT POLICY AND METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATIONS

The Commonwealth's thirteen metropolitan planning organizations (MPOs) are integrally involved in helping to achieve the GreenDOT goals and supporting the GHG reductions mandated under the GWSA. The MPOs are most directly involved in helping to achieve the GHG emissions reductions under the second goal – to promote healthy transportation modes through prioritizing and programming an appropriate balance of roadway, transit, bicycle and pedestrian investments – and assist in the third goal by supporting smart growth development patterns through the creation of a balanced multi-modal transportation system. This will be realized through the transportation goals and policies espoused in the Regional Transportation Plans (RTPs), the major projects planned in the RTPs, and the mix of new transportation projects that are programmed and implemented through the TIPs. The GHG tracking and evaluation processes enable the MPOs to identify the anticipated GHG impacts of the planned and programmed projects, and also to use GHG impacts as a criterion in prioritizing transportation projects.

REGIONAL GHG TRACKING AND EVALUATION IN RTPS

MassDOT coordinated with MPOs and regional planning agency (RPA) staffs on the implementation of GHG tracking and evaluation in development of each MPO's 2035 RTPs, which were adopted in September 2011.

Working together, MassDOT and the MPOs have attained the following milestones:

- Modeling and long-range statewide projections for GHG emissions resulting from the transportation sector.
 Using the Boston MPO's regional model and the statewide travel demand model for the remainder of the state, GHG emissions were projected for 2020 no-build and build conditions, and for 2035 no-build and build conditions.
- All of the MPOs included these GHG emission projections in their RTPs, along with a discussion of climate change and a statement of MPO support for reducing GHG emissions as a regional goal.

<u>PROJECT-LEVEL GHG TRACKING AND EVALUATION IN THE TRANSPORTATION</u> IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

It is also important to monitor and evaluate the GHG impacts of the transportation projects that are programmed in the MPO Transportation Improvement Programs (TIP). The TIP includes both the larger, regionally-significant projects from the RTPs, which have already had their aggregate GHG impacts calculated and reported in the RTP, as well as smaller projects that are not included in the RTP but that may nevertheless have impacts on GHG emissions. The principal objective of this tracking is to enable the MPOs to evaluate expected GHG impacts of different projects and to use this information as a criterion for prioritizing and programming projects in future TIPs.

In order to monitor and evaluate the GHG impacts of TIP projects, MassDOT and the MPOs have developed the following approach for identifying anticipated GHG impacts and quantifying GHG impacts of projects, when appropriate, through the TIP. Different types of projects will have different anticipated GHG emissions impacts. The different project categories are outlined on the next two pages with this region's project tracking sheet on the third page.

Projects with Quantified Impacts

- RTP Projects Major capacity expansion projects (e.g. Green Line Extension, I-95 Whittier Bridge Replacement) would be expected to have a significant impact on GHG emissions. However, these projects are included in the RTPs and analyzed using the statewide model or Boston regional model, which would reflect their GHG impacts. Therefore, no independent TIP calculations are required.
- Quantified Decrease in Emissions Projects that would be expected to produce a measurable decrease in emissions. The approach for calculating these impacts is described below. These projects should be categorized in the following manner:
 - Quantified Decrease in Emissions from Traffic Operational Improvement An intersection reconstruction or signalization project that is projected to reduce delay and congestion.
 - Quantified Decrease in Emissions from Pedestrian and Bicycle Infrastructure A shared-use path that would enable increased walking and biking and decreased vehicle-miles traveled (VMT).
 - Quantified Decrease in Emissions from New/Additional Transit Service A bus or shuttle service that would enable increased transit ridership and decreased VMT
 - Quantified Decrease in Emissions from a Park and Ride Lot A park-and-ride lot that would enable increased transit ridership/ increased ridesharing and decreased VMT
 - Quantified Decrease in Emissions from Bus Replacement
 A bus replacement that would directly reduce GHG emissions generated by that bus service.
 - Quantified Decrease in Emissions from Other Improvement
- Quantified Increase in Emissions Projects that would be expected to produce a measurable increase in emissions.

• Calculation of GHG Impacts for TIP Projects - The Office of Transportation Planning at MassDOT provided the spreadsheets that are used for determining Congestion Management and Air Quality Improvement (CMAQ) eligibility. These spreadsheets require the same inputs as the CMAQ calculations, and have been adapted to provide CO₂ impacts. The data and analysis required for these calculations is available from functional design reports that should be submitted for projects that would produce a measurable GHG impact.

Projects with Assumed Impacts

- No Assumed Impact/Negligible Impact on Emission Projects that do not change the capacity or use of a facility (e.g. a resurfacing project that restores a roadway to its previous condition, or a bridge rehabilitation/replacement that restores the bridge to its previous condition) would be assumed to have no GHG impact.
- Assumed Nominal Decrease in Emissions Projects that would be expected to produce a minor decrease in emissions that cannot be calculated with any precision. Examples of such projects include roadway repaving or reconstruction projects that add a new sidewalk or new bike lanes. Such a project would enable increased travel by walking or bicycling, but there may be not data or analysis to support any projections of GHG impacts. These projects should be categorized in the following manner:
 - Assumed Nominal Decrease in Emissions from Sidewalk Infrastructure
 - Assumed Nominal Decrease in Emissions from Bicycle Infrastructure
 - Assumed Nominal Decrease in Emissions from Sidewalk and Bicycle Infrastructure
 - Assumed Nominal Decrease in Emissions from Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS) and/or Traffic Operational Improvements
 - Assumed Nominal Decrease in Emissions from Other Improvements
- Assumed Nominal Increase in Emissions Projects that would be expected to produce a minor increase in emissions that cannot be calculated with any precision.

REGIONAL GREENHOUSE GAS IMPACT SUMMARY TABLES FOR FFY 2015 - 2018 TIP

The following tables summarize the calculated quantitative and assumed qualitative impacts of the projects included in the regional FFY 2015 – 2018 TIP.

2015 Regional Project Tracking

| MassDOT Project ID | MassDOT Project Description | GHG Analysis Type | GHG Impact Description | GHG Impact by the Numbers Change in Summer CO ₂ Emissions (kilograms/year) |
|-----------------------|---|-------------------------|--|---|
| 604928 | LEOMINSTER- RECONSTRUCTION OF MECHANIC STREET, FROM LAUREL STREET TO THE LEOMINSTER CONNECTOR | Quantified | Quantified Decrease in Emissions (See Emissions Analysis Appendix) | -5,080.064 |
| 604960 | CLINTON- RESURFACING & RELATED WORK ON WATER STREET AND BOLTON ROAD (1.2 MILES) | Quantified | Quantified Decrease in Emissions (See Emissions Analysis Appendix) | -12,730.300 |
| 607114 | LANCASTER - SUPERSTRUCTURE REPLACEMENT, L-02-018, JACKSON ROAD OVER ROUTE 2. | Qualitative | No Assumed Impact/Negligible Impact on Emissions | N/A |
| 604838 | WINCHENDON - BRIDGE REPLACEMENT, W-39-001, HARRIS ROAD OVER TARBELL BROOK | Qualitative | No Assumed Impact/Negligible Impact on Emissions | N/A |
| 607347 | GARDNER- BIKE PATH CONSTRUCTION, NORTH CENTRAL PATHWAY (PHASE VI) | Qualitative | Assumed Nominal Decrease in Emissions from Bicycle Infrastructure | N/A |
| | | | Total Impact (in kilograms/year) | -17,810.364 |

| MassDOT Project ID | MassDOT Project Description | GHG Analysis Type | GHG Impact Description | GHG Impact by the Numbers Change in Summer CO ₂ Emissions (kilograms/year) |
|-----------------------|--|-------------------------|--|---|
| 605651 | LEOMINSTER- RECONSTRUCTION ON ROUTE 13, FROM HAWES STREET TO PROSPECT STREET | Quantified | Quantified Decrease in Emissions (See Emissions Analysis Appendix) | -138,447.898 |
| 606403 | FITCHBURG- RECONSTRUCTION OF RINDGE ROAD, FROM ROUTE 31 (ASHBY STATE ROAD) TO ASHBY T.L. | Qualitative | No Assumed Impact/Negligible Impact on Emissions | N/A |
| 607960 | ASHBURNHAM- ROUTE 101 AT WILLIAMS AND COREY HILL ROAD, INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS | Qualitative | No Assumed Impact/Negligible Impact on Emissions | N/A |
| 607242 | FITCHBURG - SOUTH STREET ELEMENTARY - SAFE ROUTES TO SCHOOL | Qualitative | Assumed Nominal Decrease in Emissions from Sidewalk and Bicycle Infrastructure | N/A |
| | | | Total Impact (in kilograms/year) | -138,447.898 |

| MassDOT Project ID | MassDOT Project Description | GHG Analysis Type | GHG Impact Description | GHG Impact by the Numbers Change in Summer CO ₂ Emissions (kilograms/year) |
|-----------------------|--|-------------------------|--|---|
| 606124 | FITCHBURG- LUNENBURG- LEOMINSTER- RECONSTRUCTION OF SUMMER STREET AND NORTH STREET | Quantified | Quantified Decrease in Emissions (See Emissions Analysis Appendix) | -8,826.900 |
| 605094 | FITCHBURG - BRIDGE BETTERMENT, F-04-003, STATE ROUTE 31 OVER PHILLIPS BROOK | Qualitative | No Assumed Impact/Negligible Impact on Emissions | N/A |
| 607127 | HUBBARDSTON - BRIDGE REPLACEMENT, H-24-009, EVERGREEN ROAD OVER MASON BROOK | Qualitative | No Assumed Impact/Negligible Impact on Emissions | N/A |
| 607529 | WINCHENDON - BRIDGE REPAIR, W-39-015, N. ROYALSTON ROAD OVER WEST BRANCH OF MILLERS RIVER | Qualitative | No Assumed Impact/Negligible Impact on Emissions | N/A |
| 606575 | STERLING - LEOMINSTER - LANCASTER I-190 | Qualitative | No Assumed Impact/Negligible Impact on Emissions | N/A |
| 607475 | WINCHENDON - RESURFACING & RELATED WORK ON ROUTE 12 | Qualitative | No Assumed Impact/Negligible Impact on Emissions | N/A |
| | | | Total Impact (in kilograms/year) | -8,826.900 |

| MassDOT Project ID | MassDOT Project Description | GHG Analysis Type | GHG Impact Description | GHG Impact by the Numbers Change in Summer CO₂ Emissions (kilograms/year) |
|-----------------------|---|-------------------------|--|---|
| 604699 | STERLING- INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS AT ROUTE 12 AND CHOCKSETT ROAD | Quantified | Quantified Decrease in Emissions (See Emissions Analysis Appendix) | -130,027.475 |
| 607446 | WESTMINSTER- INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS, ROUTE 2A AT ROUTE 140 | Qualitative | Assumed Nominal Decrease in Emissions from Other Improvements | N/A |
| 607252 | GARDNER – RESURFACING & RELATED WORK ON MATTHEW STREET | Qualitative | Assumed Nominal Decrease in Emissions from Traffic Operations Improvements | N/A |
| | | | Total Impact (in kilograms/year) | -130,027.475 |

Transit Projects with GHG Emissions Analysis

2015 Regional Project Tracking

| FTA Program | Project Description | GHG Analysis Type | GHG Impact Description | GHG Impact by the Numbers Change in Summer CO ₂ Emissions (kilograms/year) |
|---------------------------|---|-------------------------|--|---|
| 5307 | Buy Replacement 30-Ft Bus (2) | Qualitative | Qualitative Assumed Nominal Decrease in Emissions from fleet upgrade | N/A |
| Other Non- Federal Aid | Purchase – Replacement Vans (8) | Qualitative | Qualitative Assumed Nominal Decrease in Emissions from fleet upgrade | N/A |
| Other Non- Federal Aid | Purchase Bicycle Access, Facil & Equip on Buses | Qualitative | Assumed Nominal Decrease in Emissions from Bicycle Infrastructure | N/A |
| | | | Total Impact (in kilograms/year) | N/A |

^{*}NOTE: Park & Ride Lot Analysis is for North Leominster Facility. Project cost is spread over FFY 2014, 2015 & 2016. Emission information calculated for FFY 2014 only.

| FTA Program | Project Description | GHG Analysis Type | GHG Impact Description | GHG Impact by the Numbers Change in Summer CO ₂ Emissions (kilograms/year) |
|----------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|--|---|
| 5307 | Rehab/Renovate – Bus Park & Ride Lot | Qualitative | Assumed Nominal Decrease in Emissions from Other Improvements | N/A |
| 5307 | Buy Replacement <30 FT Bus (2) | Qualitative | Qualitative Assumed Nominal Decrease in Emissions from fleet upgrade | N/A |
| | | | Total Impact (in kilograms/year) | N/A |

| FTA Program | Project Description | GHG Analysis Type | GHG Impact Description | GHG Impact by the Numbers Change in Summer CO ₂ Emissions (kilograms/year) |
|----------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|--|---|
| 5307 | Buy Replacement Van (8) | Qualitative | Qualitative Assumed Nominal Decrease in Emissions from fleet upgrade | N/A |
| 5307 | Rehab/Renovate Ped Access/Walkways | Qualitative | Assumed Nominal Decrease in Emissions from Sidewalk Infrastructure | N/A |
| | | | Total Impact (in kilograms/year) | N/A |

| FTA Program | Project Description | GHG Analysis Type | GHG Impact Description | GHG Impact by the Numbers Change in Summer CO ₂ Emissions (kilograms/year) |
|----------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------|--|---|
| 5307 | Purchase – Replacement Vans (8) | Qualitative | Qualitative Assumed Nominal Decrease in Emissions from fleet upgrade | N/A |
| | | | Total Impact (in kilograms/year) | N/A |

Emissions Analysis

| | ED BOXES ONL | • | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|---|--|--------------------|
| TIP YEAR: | 2015 | | | | | | |
| MPO: | Montachus | sett | | Municipalit | y: | Clinton | |
| Project: | 604960: Re | econstruction of | Water Street/B | olton Road | | | |
| • | | Reduction in Vehicle | • | , | | | |
| ii vivii reductio | ni per year is kiid | own then go to Step 2 | zb, ii not proceed wit | п этер т. | | | |
| . Facility Length | (L): | | | | 1.3 | Miles | |
| . Service Area R | adius (R): | | | | 1.0 | Miles | (Default = 1 Mile) |
| . Service Area of | f Community(ies) |) (SA) : L * 2R = SA | | | 2.5 | Sq. Miles | |
| . Total Land Area | a of Community(| ies) (T) : | | | 5.7 | Sq. Miles | |
| . Service Area % | of Community(i | es) Land Area (LA): | SA/T = LA | | 43.9% | | |
| . Total Populatio | n of Community(| (ies) (TP) : | | | 13,606 | Persons | |
| . Population Sen | ved by Facility (F | P): LA * TP = P | | | 5,968 | Persons | |
| . Total Number o | of Households in | Community(ies) (HH |): | | 5,831 | НН | |
| Number of Hou | seholds Served | by Facility (HS): LA | * HH = HS | | 2,557 | НН | |
| | | ling in Community(ies | | | 7,301 | Persons | |
| | | H): W / HH = WPHH | , , , | | 1.25 | Persons | |
| | • |): HS * WPHH = WS | SA | | 3,202 | Persons | |
| , | (, | , | | | 0,202 | 1 0100110 | |
| If not use the C | 2000 HE Conque | Journay to Mark dat | • | ercentage at the | _ | (BM | (S) 1.6% |
| | | Journey to Work dat | a to determine the n | • | enter the percenta | ge to the right. | 1.0% |
| . Bike and Ped. \ | Work Utilitarian 1 | Trips (BWT): WSA * | a to determine the n | • | enter the percenta | ge to the right. | 1.0% |
| . Bike and Ped. N | Work Utilitarian T | Trips (BWT): WSA * rian Trips (BNWT): E | a to determine the n BMS = BWT BWT * 1.7 = BNWT | node share and e | enter the percenta 51 Or 87 Or | ge to the right. | 1.0% |
| . Bike and Ped. I . Bike and Ped. I (Latest planning | Work Utilitarian Non-Work Utilita g assumptions e | Trips (BWT): WSA * | a to determine the n BMS = BWT BWT * 1.7 = BNWT | node share and e | enter the percenta 51 Or 87 Or | ge to the right. | 1.0% |
| . Bike and Ped. I . Bike and Ped. I (Latest planning | Work Utilitarian T Non-Work Utilita g assumptions e ate the VMT Re | Trips (BWT): WSA * rian Trips (BNWT): E stimate non-work utili duction Per Day: | a to determine the n BMS = BWT BWT * 1.7 = BNWT | node share and e | onter the percenta 51 Or 87 Or utilitarian.) | ge to the right. | 1.0% |
| Bike and Ped. No. 1 Bike and Ped. No. 1 Latest planning Step 2: Calculia ((2 * BWT) + (2 * | Work Utilitarian T Non-Work Utilita g assumptions e ate the VMT Red * BNWT)) * (0.5 | Trips (BWT): WSA * rian Trips (BNWT): E stimate non-work utill duction Per Day: * L) = VMTR | a to determine the n BMS = BWT BWT * 1.7 = BNWT itarian trips to be 1.7 | times the work u | 51 Or 87 Or utilitarian.) | ge to the right. ne-Way Trips ne-Way Trips MTR Per Day | 1.0% |
| Bike and Ped. V (Latest planning Step 2: Calculi ((2 * BWT) + (2 | Work Utilitarian Town-Work Utilitate grassumptions erate the VMT Received * BNWT)) * (0.5) | Trips (BWT): WSA * rian Trips (BNWT): E stimate non-work utill duction Per Day: * L) = VMTR | a to determine the n BMS = BWT BWT * 1.7 = BNWT itarian trips to be 1.7 | times the work u | 51 Or 87 Or utilitarian.) 172.9 VN 34,584 VN | ige to the right. | 1.0% |
| Bike and Ped. V (Latest planning Step 2: Calculi ((2 * BWT) + (2 VMTR * Operat If the Vehicle M Note: A manua | Work Utilitarian Tools Non-Work Utilitate grassumptions erate the VMT Received * BNWT)) * (0.5 ting Days Per Yealies Traveled Real entry of the VM | Trips (BWT): WSA * rian Trips (BNWT): E stimate non-work utill duction Per Day: * L) = VMTR ear eduction is known ent ITR will override the of | a to determine the n BMS = BWT BWT * 1.7 = BNWT itarian trips to be 1.7 17 er in the box to the realculated cell. | times the work u | 51 Or 87 Or utilitarian.) 172.9 VN 34,584 VN | ge to the right. ne-Way Trips ne-Way Trips MTR Per Day | 1.0% |
| Bike and Ped. V (Latest planning Step 2: Calculi. ((2 * BWT) + (2 VMTR * Operat If the Vehicle N Note: A manua Step 3: MOBIL | Work Utilitarian Tools of the VMT Received the VMT Received the VMT Received the VMT) * (0.5 thing Days Per Yealies Traveled Received the VMT) of the VMT. E 6 Emission F | Trips (BWT): WSA * rian Trips (BNWT): E stimate non-work utill duction Per Day: 5* L) = VMTR ear eduction is known ent | BMS = BWT BWT * 1.7 = BNWT itarian trips to be 1.7 er in the box to the reacculated cell. Commuter Travel S | times the work u | 51 Or 87 Or utilitarian.) 172.9 VN | ge to the right. ne-Way Trips ne-Way Trips MTR Per Day | 1.0% |
| Bike and Ped. V Bike and Ped. V (Latest planning Step 2: Calculi ((2 * BWT) + (2 VMTR * Operat If the Vehicle N Note: A manua Step 3: MOBIL Note: Use 35 N | Work Utilitarian Tools of the VMT Received the VMT Received the VMT Received the VMT) * (0.5 thing Days Per Yealies Traveled Received the VMT) of the VMT. E 6 Emission F | Trips (BWT): WSA * rian Trips (BNWT): Estimate non-work utiliduction Per Day: ** L) = VMTR ear eduction is known ent ITR will override the content of the c | BMS = BWT BWT * 1.7 = BNWT itarian trips to be 1.7 er in the box to the realculated cell. Commuter Travel Stote known. | times the work uses the work u | 51 Or 87 Or utilitarian.) 172.9 VM 34,584 VM | ge to the right. ne-Way Trips ne-Way Trips MTR Per Day | 1.0% |
| Bike and Ped. V Bike and Ped. V (Latest planning Step 2: Calculi ((2 * BWT) + (2 VMTR * Operat If the Vehicle M Note: A manua Step 3: MOBIL Note: Use 35 M | Work Utilitarian T Non-Work Utilita g assumptions e ate the VMT Rec the BNWT)) * (0.5 ting Days Per Ye files Traveled Re al entry of the VM E 6 Emission F MPH as a default | Trips (BWT): WSA * rian Trips (BNWT): Estimate non-work utiliduction Per Day: ** L) = VMTR eatr duction is known ent tTR will override the office of Average if average speed is r 2016 Auto | BMS = BWT BWT * 1.7 = BNWT itarian trips to be 1.7 17 ter in the box to the realculated cell. Commuter Travel Stote known. | times the work uses the work u | 51 Or 87 Or utilitarian.) 172.9 VM 34,584 VM | ige to the right. ine-Way Trips ine-Way Trip | 1.0% |
| Bike and Ped. No. 1 (Latest planning Step 2: Calculate). ((2 * BWT) + (2 * WMTR * Operat If the Vehicle Note: A manua Step 3: MOBIL Note: Use 35 Nous 2016 Auto Summer VOC Fagrams/mile | Work Utilitarian T Non-Work Utilita g assumptions e ate the VMT Rec the BNWT)) * (0.5 ting Days Per Ye files Traveled Re al entry of the VM E 6 Emission F MPH as a default | Frips (BWT): WSA * rian Trips (BNWT): Estimate non-work utiliduction Per Day: is* L) = VMTR ear eduction is known ent ITR will override the of actors for Average if average speed is r 2016 Auto mer NOx Factor grams/mile | BMS = BWT BWT * 1.7 = BNWT itarian trips to be 1.7 er in the box to the realculated cell. Commuter Travel Solot known. 2016 Auto Summer CO F grams/mile | times the work uses the work u | sinter the percenta 51 Or 87 Or utilitarian.) 172.9 VM 34,584 VM VM 2016 Auto ammer CO2 Factor grams/mile | ige to the right. ine-Way Trips ine-Way Trip | 1.0% |
| Bike and Ped. V Bike and Ped. V (Latest planning Step 2: Calcul: ((2 * BWT) + (2 VMTR * Operat If the Vehicle N Note: A manua Step 3: MOBIL Note: Use 35 N 2016 Auto Summer VOC Fa grams/mile 0.232 | Work Utilitarian Tool Non-Work Utilitation of the Work Utilitation of the Work Utilitation of the Work Utility of the Work Inc. E 6 Emission Foundation of the Work Inc. In the | Frips (BWT): WSA * rian Trips (BNWT): Estimate non-work util duction Per Day: 6* L) = VMTR ear eduction is known ent ATR will override the of actors for Average if average speed is r 2016 Auto amer NOx Factor grams/mile 0.178 | BMS = BWT BWT * 1.7 = BNWT itarian trips to be 1.7 er in the box to the realculated cell. Commuter Travel Solot known. 2016 Auto Summer CO F grams/mile 3.540 | times the work u | sinter the percenta 51 Or 87 Or utilitarian.) 172.9 VM 34,584 VM VM 2016 Auto simmer CO2 Factor grams/mile 368.100 | ige to the right. ine-Way Trips ine-Way Trip | 1.0% |
| Bike and Ped. V Bike and Ped. V (Latest planning Step 2: Calcul: ((2 * BWT) + (2 VMTR * Operat If the Vehicle N Note: A manua Step 3: MOBIL Note: Use 35 N 2016 Auto Summer VOC Fa grams/mile 0.232 | Work Utilitarian To Non-Work Utilitarian To Non-Work Utilitaria grassumptions e ate the VMT Reist Policy (0.5 ting Days Per Yestiles Traveled Refall entry of the VMLE 6 Emission Foundation of Summate emissions reface to the North Policy (1.5 ting Days Per Yestiles Traveled Refall entry of the VMLE 6 Emission Foundation of Summate emissions reface to the North Policy (1.5 ting P | Frips (BWT): WSA * rian Trips (BNWT): Estimate non-work utiliduction Per Day: is* L) = VMTR ear eduction is known ent ITR will override the of actors for Average if average speed is r 2016 Auto mer NOx Factor grams/mile | BMS = BWT BWT * 1.7 = BNWT itarian trips to be 1.7 er in the box to the realculated cell. Commuter Travel Solot known. 2016 Auto Summer CO F grams/mile 3.540 | times the work u | sinter the percenta 51 Or 87 Or utilitarian.) 172.9 VM 34,584 VM VM 2016 Auto simmer CO2 Factor grams/mile 368.100 | ige to the right. ine-Way Trips ine-Way Trip | 1.0% |
| Bike and Ped. V Latest planning Step 2: Calcul: ((2 * BWT) + (2 VMTR * Operat If the Vehicle N Note: A manua Step 3: MOBIL Note: Use 35 N 2016 Auto Summer VOC Fa grams/mile 0.232 Step 4: Calcul: | Work Utilitarian To Non-Work Utilitarian To Non-Work Utilitaria grassumptions e ate the VMT Reist Policy (0.5 ting Days Per Yestiles Traveled Refall entry of the VMLE 6 Emission Foundation of Summate emissions reface to the North Policy (1.5 ting Days Per Yestiles Traveled Refall entry of the VMLE 6 Emission Foundation of Summate emissions reface to the North Policy (1.5 ting P | Frips (BWT): WSA * rian Trips (BNWT): Estimate non-work util duction Per Day: 6* L) = VMTR ear eduction is known ent tTR will override the of factors for Average if average speed is r 2016 Auto mer NOx Factor grams/mile 0.178 eductions in kilogra | BMS = BWT BWT * 1.7 = BNWT itarian trips to be 1.7 er in the box to the realculated cell. Commuter Travel Solot known. 2016 Auto Summer CO F grams/mile 3.540 ams per year (Seaso | times the work u | 51 Or 87 Or utilitarian.) 172.9 VM 34,584 VM 2016 Auto mmer CO2 Factor grams/mile 368.100 | ige to the right. ine-Way Trips ine-Way Trip | 1.0% |
| Bike and Ped. V Bike and Ped. V (Latest planning Step 2: Calcul: . ((2 * BWT) + (2 . VMTR * Operat If the Vehicle M Note: A manua Step 3: MOBIL Note: Use 35 M 2016 Auto Summer VOC Fa grams/mile 0.232 Step 4: Calcul: Summer VOC 8.2 | Work Utilitarian Tool Non-Work Utilitarian Tool Season Programme (1998) Work Utilitarian Tool Season Programme (19 | Frips (BWT): WSA * rian Trips (BNWT): Estimate non-work util duction Per Day: 6* L) = VMTR ear eduction is known ent ITR will override the of factors for Average if average speed is r 2016 Auto Immer NOx Factor grams/mile 0.178 eductions in kilogra Summer NOx 6.3 | BMS = BWT BWT * 1.7 = BNWT itarian trips to be 1.7 er in the box to the realculated cell. Commuter Travel Solot known. 2016 Auto Summer CO F grams/mile 3.540 ams per year (Sease Summer CO Late.) | times the work uses the work u | sinter the percenta 51 Or 87 Or witilitarian.) 172.9 VM 34,584 VM VM 2016 Auto simmer CO2 Factor grams/mile 368.100 Summer CO2 | ige to the right. ine-Way Trips ine-Way Trip | 1.0% |
| Bike and Ped. V (Latest planning Step 2: Calculi ((2 * BWT) + (2 VMTR * Operat If the Vehicle N Note: A manua Step 3: MOBIL Note: Use 35 N 2016 Auto Summer VOC Fa grams/mile 0.232 Step 4: Calculi Summer VOC 8.2 | Work Utilitarian To Non-Work Utilita gassumptions e ate the VMT Received the WMT Received the WMT) of the WMT at the WMT | Frips (BWT): WSA * rian Trips (BNWT): Estimate non-work utiliduction Per Day: ** L) = VMTR ear eduction is known ent ITR will override the correction of t | BMS = BWT BWT * 1.7 = BNWT itarian trips to be 1.7 BYT * 1.7 = BNWT itarian trips to be 1.7 BYT * 1.7 = BNWT itarian trips to be 1.7 BYT * 1.7 = BNWT ITARIAN TRIPS TO BE 1.7 ITARIAN TRIPS TO | times the work uses the work u | 172.9 VM 34,584 VM 34,584 VM 2016 Auto Immer CO2 Facte grams/mile 368.100 35 Summer CO2 12,730.3 | ige to the right. ine-Way Trips ine-Way Trip | 1.0% |
| Bike and Ped. V Latest planning Step 2: Calculi . ((2 * BWT) + (2 . VMTR * Operat If the Vehicle N Note: A manua Step 3: MOBIL Note: Use 35 N 2016 Auto Summer VOC Fa grams/mile 0.232 Step 4: Calculi Summer VOC 8.2 Step 5: Calculi Emission | Work Utilitarian Tool Non-Work Utilitation of the Work Utilitation of the Work Utilitation of the Work | Frips (BWT): WSA * rian Trips (BNWT): Estimate non-work utiliduction Per Day: s* L) = VMTR ear eduction is known ent ITR will override the cractors for Average if average speed is r 2016 Auto umer NOx Factor grams/mile 0.178 eductions in kilogra Summer NOx 6.3 veness (first year co | BMS = BWT BWT * 1.7 = BNWT itarian trips to be 1.7 BYT * 1.7 = BNWT itarian trips to be 1.7 BYT * 1.7 = BNWT itarian trips to be 1.7 BYT * 1.7 = BNWT itarian trips to be 1.7 BYT * 1.7 = BNWT ITARIAN TRIPS ITARIAN TRIPS BYT * 1.7 = BNWT ITARIAN TRIPS BYT | times the work uses the work u | 172.9 VM 34,584 VM 34,584 VM 2016 Auto Immer CO2 Facte grams/mile 368.100 35 Summer CO2 12,730.3 | ige to the right. ine-Way Trips ine-Way Trip | 1.0% |
| Bike and Ped. V (Latest planning Step 2: Calculi ((2 * BWT) + (2 VMTR * Operat If the Vehicle N Note: A manua Step 3: MOBIL Note: Use 35 N 2016 Auto Summer VOC Fa grams/mile 0.232 Step 4: Calculi Summer VOC 8.2 | Work Utilitarian To Non-Work Utilita gassumptions e ate the VMT Received the WMT Received the WMT) of the WMT at the WMT | Frips (BWT): WSA * rian Trips (BNWT): Estimate non-work utiliduction Per Day: ** L) = VMTR ear eduction is known ent ITR will override the correction of t | BMS = BWT BWT * 1.7 = BNWT itarian trips to be 1.7 BYT * 1.7 = BNWT itarian trips to be 1.7 BYT * 1.7 = BNWT itarian trips to be 1.7 BYT * 1.7 = BNWT ITARIAN TRIPS TO BE 1.7 ITARIAN TRIPS TO | times the work uses the work u | 172.9 VM 34,584 VM 34,584 VM 2016 Auto Immer CO2 Facte grams/mile 368.100 35 Summer CO2 12,730.3 | ige to the right. ine-Way Trips ine-Way Trip | 1.0% |

Spreadhseet Template Prepared by the Office of Transportation Planning

| FILL IN SHADE | D BOXES OF | NLY | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|-------------|-------------|----------|----------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|------------------|----------------|
| TIP YEAR: | 2016 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| MPO: | Montachu | sett | | | | Municip | pality: | | Leom | inster | | | |
| Project: | 604928: R | econstruction | of Mechanic S | Street - N | Mechanio | Street : | and Jo | hnson | Stree | t inters | ecti | on | |
| Step 1: Calcula | te Existing A | M Peak Hour Tota | I Intersection Del | lay in Sec | onds: | | | | | | | | |
| | | t-Turns | Total | Thru | | Total | | , | ght-Turn | | | Total | Total |
| Street Name | Dir (Vol / F | PHF) X delay = | , | , | X delay = | | + (Vol | 1 / | | X delay | = | move. = | |
| lohnson St. | NB 3 | per veh 0.75 20.5 = | delay 82 + | 0.95 | per veh | delay | 0 + | 272 | 0.89 | per veh 20.5 | 1_ | delay 6,288 = | delay 6,370 |
| omison st. | - | 0.95 | 0 + | 0.95 | | | ö + | 213 | 0.03 | 20.5 | - - | 0,200 = | , |
| Mechanic St | | 0.95 | 0 + 3 | 85 0.74 | = | | 0 + | 2 | 0.50 | | - | 0 = | . 0 |
| Mechanic St | WB 203 | 0.94 9.4 = | 2,030 + 2 | 35 0.89 | 9.4 | 2,48 | 32 + | | 0.95 | |]= | 0 = | ., |
| Nam O. Calauda | to Eviation F | M Dook Hour Tota | I Interception Del | au in Caa | | | | To | otal Inter | rsection D | elay/ | Seconds = | 10,882 |
| tep 2: Calcula | - | M Peak Hour Tota t-Turns | Total | Thru | onas: | Total | | Ric | ght-Turn | s | | Total | Total |
| Street Name | | PHF) X delay = | | | X delay = | | + (Vol | | - | | = | move. = | |
| | | per veh | delay | , | per veh | delay | ` | | , | per veh | | delay | delay |
| lohnson St. | | 0.38 18.6 | 149 + | 0.95 | = | | 0 + | 259 | 0.89 | 18.6 | = | 5,413 = | , |
| Analogais Ot | | 0.95 | 0 + | 0.95 | = | | 0 + | | 0.95 | | = | 0 = | - |
| Mechanic St Mechanic St | | 0.95 = 0.93 9.4 = | | 55 0.94 95 0.90 | 9.4 | 5,17 | 0 + | 9 | 0.56 0.95 | | = | 0 = 0 = | |
| viechanic ot | WB 313 | 0.95 | 3,104 + 4 | 95 0.90 | 3.4 | 5,17 | o + | To | | section D | j-)elav/ | Seconds = | -, |
| tep 3: The sp | readsheet au | tomatically choos | es the peak hour | with the le | onger tota | l intersect | ion dela | | | | | | , |
| | | _ | | | | | _ | | | | | | |
| eak Hour (AM | | | | tersection | | 13,91 | | | | | | | |
| tep 4: Calcula | | • | Peak Hour Total | | on Delay w | • | vements | | ulas Turas | _ | | Tatal | Takal |
| Street Name | Dir (Vol / F | t-Turns PHF) X delay = | Total move. + (Vol | Thru / PHE | X delay = | Total move. | + (Vol | | ght-Turn | s X delay | _ | Total move. = | Total approach |
| nicei ivaine | Dii (VOI / I | per veh | delay | , | per veh | delay | + (***) | , | , | per veh | _ | delay | delay |
| ohnson St. | NB 3 | 0.38 18.6 = | 149 + | 0.95 | = | | 0 + | 259 | 0.89 | 18.6 |]= | 5,413 = | 5,562 |
| | | 0.95 | 0 + | 0.95 | = | | 0 + | | 0.95 | | = | 0 = | _ |
| Mechanic St | | 0.95 | | 55 0.94 | = | | 0 + | 9 | | | = | 0 = | _ |
| Mechanic St | WB 315 | 0.93 9.4 = | 3,184 + 4 | 95 0.90 | = | | 0 + | T | 0.95 | reaction F |]=)olav/ | 0 = Seconds = | -, |
| Step 5: Calcula | te vehicle de | elay in hours per d | ay: | | | | | - 10 | nai iiitei | 36011011 L | /Clay/ | 00001103 = | 0,743 |
| - | | (| Delay in seconds | | Hours per d | lay) | / | | ds per h | our | = | | nours / day |
| Existing peak h | our intersection | n delay (| 13,9 | | 10 |) | / | | 3600 | | = | 38.7 | |
| mprovements | E 6 amiecian | factors for arteria | 8,7 | 45 X | 10 |) | | | 3600 | | = | 24.3 | 3 |
| ntep o. MODIL | L 0 emission | 2016 | 201 | 6 | | 2016 | | | | 2016 | | | |
| | | Summer VOC Fac | tor Summer NO | Ox Factor | W | inter CO F | actor | | Summ | er CO2 F | actor | | |
| | | grams/hour | grams/ | _ | | grams/ho | ur | | _ | ams/hou | ŗ | | |
| | | 4.053 | 1.80 |)5 | | 49.735 | | | 1 | 1414.950 | | | |
| step 7: Calcula | ite net emiss | ions change in kil Delay in | ograms per day: Summer VOC | Emission | e Sumn | ner NOx Er | mieeione | | Winter | CO Emis | eione | Sum | nmer CO2 Emiss |
| | | Hours per Day | kilogram | | | kilograms/d | | | | grams/da | | , Ouii | kilograms/day |
| Existing Conditi | ons | 38.7 | 0.1 | • | | 0.07 | • | | | 1.922 | • | | 54.694 |
| With Improvem | ents | 24.3 | 0.0 | 98 | | 0.04 | 14 | | | 1.208 | | | 34.373 |
| let Change | | | -0.0 | 58 | | -0.02 | 26 | | | -0.714 | | | -20.320 |
| Step 8: Calcula | ite net emiss | ions change in kil | | seasonal | ly adjusted | d) | | | | | | | |
| | | • | Avg. weekdays | Seaso | onal adj. | | Adj. net | t change | | | | | |
| | | per day (kg) X | per year | | ctor = | | - | per year | | | | | |
| Summer VOC E | | -0.058 X | 250 | X | 1.0188 = | | | -14.825 | | | | | |
| Summer NOx E | | -0.026 X | 250 | X | 1.0188 = | | | -6.602 | | | | | |
| Vinter CO Emis | | -0.714 X | 250 | X | 0.9812 = | | | 175.205 | | | | | |
| Summer CO2 E | | -20.320 X s (first year cost p | 250 er ka of emission | X s reduced | 1.0000 | | -5, | 080.064 | | | | | |
| | Project | | et change | | year cost | | | | | | | | |
| mission | Cost | | g per year | | kilogram | | | | | | | | |
| Summer VOC | \$1,393,611 | / | -14.825 = | | \$94,004 | | | | | | | | |
| Summer NOx | \$1,393,611 | / | -6.602 = | 9 | 211,080 | | | | | | | | |

\$7,954

-175.205 =

-5,080.064 =

\$1,393,611

Summer CO2 \$1,393,611

Winter CO

| TIP YEAR: | 2014 | | | | | |
|---|---|---|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------|
| MPO: | ММРО | | | | Municipality: | Leominster |
| | _ | | | | uv.puy. | |
| | | Main Street (Rou | ite 13) Interse | ection | | |
| Step 8: Calcula | | ns change in kilogran | • | | | |
| • | | | g. weekdays | Seasonal adj. | Adj. net change | |
| Summer VOC E | Emissions | per day (kg) X pe 0.574 X | er year X 250 X | factor = 1.0188 = | in kg per year 146.151 | |
| Summer NOx E | | 0.256 X | 250 X | 1.0188 = | | |
| Winter CO Emis | | 7.041 X | 250 X | 0.9812 = | | |
| Summer CO2 E Calculate cost | | 200.327 X first year cost per kg | of emissions re | 0.9812 duced) | 49,140.104 | |
| | Project / | Adj. net change | = | First year cost | | |
| Emission Summer VOC | Cost | in kg per year | | per kilogram \$46.783 | | |
| Summer VOC Summer NOx | \$6,837,466 / \$6,837,466 / | 146.151 65.088 | = | \$46,763 \$105,049 | | |
| Winter CO | \$6,837,466 / | 1,727.258 | = | \$3,959 | | |
| Summer CO2 | | 49,140.104 | = | \$139 | | |
| | Mead St at | Main Street (Rou | te 13) Interse | ection | | |
| Step 8: Calcula | ate net emissior | ns change in kilogran | | | Adl and about | |
| | | Net change Av per day (kg) X pe | /g. weekdays er year X | Seasonal adj. factor = | Adj. net change in kg per year | |
| Summer VOC E | Emissions | -0.298 X | 250 X | 1.0188 = | | |
| Summer NOx E | | -0.133 X | 250 X | 1.0188 = | | |
| Winter CO Emi: Summer CO2 E | | -3.655 X -103.995 X | 250 X 250 X | 0.9812 = 0.9812 | -896.664 -25,509.886 | |
| | t effectiveness (| first year cost per kg | | duced) | 20,000.000 | |
| Emissis - | Project / | Adj. net change | = | First year cost | | |
| Emission Summer VOC | Cost \$6,837,466 / | in kg per year -75.871 | = | per kilogram \$90,120 | | |
| Summer NOx | \$6,837,466 / | -33.789 | = | \$202,357 | | |
| Winter CO | \$6,837,466 | -896.664 | = | \$7,625 | | |
| Summer CO2 | | -25,509.886 | = to 13\ Intorco | \$268 | | |
| 21 | | Main Street (Rou | • | | | |
| step 8: Calcula | ate net emissior | ns change in kilogran Net change Av | ns per year (sea /g. weekdays | sonally adjusted) Seasonal adj. | Adj. net change | 1 |
| | | per day (kg) X pe | | factor = | | |
| Summer VOC E | | 0.241 X | 250 X | 1.0188 = | | |
| Summer NOx E Winter CO Emi: | | 0.107 X 2.961 X | 250 X 250 X | 1.0188 = 0.9812 = | | |
| Summer CO2 E | | 84.228 X | 250 X | 0.9812 | 20,661.121 | |
| Calculate cost | | first year cost per kg | | | | |
| Emission | Project / Cost | Adj. net change in kg per year | = | First year cost per kilogram | | |
| Summer VOC | | 61.450 | = | \$111,269 | | |
| Summer NOx | | 27.367 | = | \$249,847 | | |
| Winter CO Summer CO2 | \$6,837,466 / | 726.231 20,661.121 | = | \$9,415 \$331 | | |
| Odiffiller OOZ | | t at Main Street (| | | | |
| Sten 8: Calcul: | | ns change in kilogram | • | | | |
| step o. Galcul | ate net emission | | /g. weekdays | Seasonal adj. | Adj. net change | 1 |
| | | per day (kg) X pe | | factor = | | |
| Summer VOC E Summer NOx E | | -1.795 X -0.799 X | 250 X 250 X | 1.0188 = 1.0188 = | | |
| Winter CO Emis | | -22.028 X | 250 X | 0.9812 = | | |
| Summer CO2 E | Emissions | -626.703 X | 250 X | 0.9812 | -153,730.205 | 5 |
| alculate cost | t effectiveness (Project / | first year cost per kg Adj. net change | of emissions re | duced) First year cost | | |
| Emission | Cost | in kg per year | - | per kilogram | | |
| | | -457.221 | = | \$14,954 \$22,570 | | |
| Summer NOx Winter CO | \$6,837,466 / \$6,837,466 / | -203.623 -5,403.563 | = | \$33,579 \$1,265 | | |
| Summer CO2 | | -153,730.205 | | \$1,265 \$44 | | |
| | | t at Main Street (| Route 13) Int | ersection | | |
| Step 8: Calcula | | ns change in kilogran | • | | | |
| | | Net change Av | g. weekdays | Seasonal adj. | Adj. net change | |
| Summer VOC E | Emissions | per day (kg) X pe -0.339 X | er year X 250 X | factor = 1.0188 = | in kg per year -86.278 | |
| Summer NOx E | | -0.359 X -0.151 X | 250 X 250 X | 1.0188 = | | |
| Winter CO Emis | issions | -4.157 X | 250 X | 0.9812 = | -1,019.657 | , |
| Summer CO2 E | | -118.259 X first year cost per kg | of emissions re | 0.9812 duced) | -29,009.031 | |
| | Project / | Adj. net change | = | First year cost | | |
| Emission | Cost | in kg per year | | per kilogram | | |
| Summer VOC Summer NOx | \$6,837,466 / \$6,837,466 / | -86.278 -38.424 | = | \$79,249 \$177,949 | | |
| Winter CO | \$6,837,466 / | -1,019.657 | = | \$6,706 | | |
| | | -29,009.031 | = | \$236 | | |
| PROJECT T | TOTALS | | | | | |
| Step 8: Calcula | ate net emissior | ns change in kilogran | | | | |
| | | Net change Av | rg. weekdays er year X | Seasonal adj. factor = | Adj. net change in kg per year | |
| Summer VOC E | Emissions | per day (kg) X pe -1.617 X | eryear X 250 X | 1.0188 = | | |
| Summer NOx E | Emissions | -0.720 X | 250 X | 1.0188 = | -183.381 | |
| Winter CO Emis | | -19.839 X | 250 X | 0.9812 = | | |
| Summer CO2 E Calculate cost | | -564.402 X first year cost per kg | of emissions re | 0.9812 duced) | -138,447.898 | • |
| | Project / | Adj. net change | = | First year cost | | |
| Emission | Cost | in kg per year -411.769 | | per kilogram \$16,605 | | |
| | | | = | | | |
| Summer VOC | | | = | \$37,286 | | |
| Summer VOC Summer NOx Winter CO Summer CO2 | \$6,837,466 / \$6,837,466 / \$6,837,466 / | -183.381 -4,866.395 -138,447.898 | | | | |

| FILL IN SHADI | ED BOXES ONLY | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|-----------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---|
| TIP YEAR: | 2016 | | | | | | | |
| MPO: | Montachusett | | | | Municipalit | y: Sterling | | |
| Project: | 604699 Sterling | - Route 12 | Reconstructio | n | | | | |
| Stop 1: Calcul | ate Existing AM Pea | | | | | | | |
| otep 1. Galcul | Left-Turn | | Total | Thru | Total | Right-Turns | Total | Total |
| Street Name | Dir (Vol / PHF) | | | PHF) X delay | | Vol / PHF) X delay | | approach |
| otroot ramo | (1017 1111) | per veh | delay | per veh | delay | per veh | delay | delay |
| Route 12 NB | NB 155 0.92 | 1.1 = | 185 + 343 | | | 0 0.92 0.0 | , | 260 |
| Route 12 SB | SB 0 0.92 | 0.0 = | 0 + 302 | | | 296 0.92 0.0 | _ | 0 |
| 190 SB On Rar | | 0.0 = | 0 + 0 | | | 0 0.92 0.0 | | 0 |
| 190 SB Off Rai | | | 100,872 + 0 | | | 89 0.92 3.3 | | 101,192 |
| | | | , | | | Total Intersection D | | 101,452 |
| Step 2: Calcul | ate Existing PM Pea | k Hour Total Ir | ntersection Delay i | n Seconds: | | | , | |
| • | Left-Turn | | Total | Thru | Total | Right-Turns | Total | Total |
| Street Name | Dir (Vol / PHF) | X delay = | move. + (Vol / | PHF) X delay | = move. + | Vol / PHF) X delay | = move. = | approach |
| | , , | per veh | delay | per veh | delay | per veh | delay | delay |
| Route 12 NB | NB 106 0.92 | 1.2 = | 138 + 480 | 0.92 0.4 | = 209 + | 0 0.92 0.0 | = 0 = | 347 |
| Route 12 SB | SB 0 0.92 | 0.0 | 0 + 310 | 0.92 0.0 | = 0 + | 168 0.92 0.0 | = 0 = | 0 |
| 90 SB On Rar | EB 0 0.92 | 0.0 | 0 + 0 | 0.92 0.0 | = 0 + | 0 0.92 0.0 | = 0 = | 0 |
| 90 SB Off Rai | WB 335 0.92 | 435.0 = | 158,397 + 0 | 0.92 0.0 | = 0 + | 106 0.92 4.1 | = 472 = | 158,869 |
| | | | | | _ | Total Intersection D | elay/Seconds = | 159,216 |
| Peak Hour (AM | Ate the existing | PM P | Total Inter | rsection Delay: | 159,216 | nto | | |
| step 4. Calcul | Left-Turn | | Total | Thru | Total | Right-Turns | Total | Total |
| Street Name | Dir (Vol / PHF) | | | PHF) X delay | | • | = move. = | approach |
| otroot Hamo | Dii (VOI / 1111) | per veh | delay | per veh | delay | per veh | delay | delay |
| Route 12 NB | NB 106 0.92 | 10.2 = | 1,175 + 480 | | | 0 0.92 0.0 | , | 6,497 |
| Route 12 SB | SB 0 0.92 | 0.0 = | 0 + 310 | | | 100 000 50 | = 968 = | 5,180 |
| 190 SB On Rar | | 13.6 = | 0 + 0 | | | 0 0.92 13.6 | | 0,100 |
| 90 SB Off Rai | | 31.7 = | 11,558 + 0 | | | 106 0.92 31.7 | | 15,210 |
| | | | , | | | Total Intersection D | -, | 26,887 |
| Step 5: Calcul | ate vehicle delay in I | hours per day | : | | | | , | |
| • | , | | Delay in seconds | X Hours per | day) / | Seconds per hour | Delay in ho | urs / day |
| Existing peak h | our intersection delay | (| 159,216 | X 10 |) / | 3600 | = 442.3 | |
| Peak hour inter | section delay w/ impr | ovements (| 26,887 | X 10 |) / | 3600 | = 74.7 | |
| Step 6: MOBIL | E 6 emission factors | s for arterial ic | lling speed: | | | | | |
| | | 2016 | 2016 | | 2016 | 2016 | | |
| | Sumn | ner VOC Facto | r Summer NOx | Factor | Winter CO Factor | Summer CO2 Fa | actor | |
| | 9 | grams/hour | grams/ho | ur | grams/hour | grams/hour | | |
| | | 4.053 | 1.805 | | 49.735 | 1414.950 | | |
| | ate net emissions ch | ange in kilogi | ams per day: | | | | | |
| step 7: Calcul | ato not onnociono oi | iango in kilogi | | | | | | |
| Step 7: Calcul | | Delay in | Summer VOC E | missions Sun | nmer NOx Emissi | ons Winter CO Emis | sions Sumn | ner CO2 Emis |
| Step 7: Calcul | | | | | nmer NOx Emissi kilograms/day | ons Winter CO Emis kilograms/da | | ner CO2 Emiss kilograms/day |
| Step 7: Calcul Existing Condit | Но | Delay in | Summer VOC E | | | | | ner CO2 Emiss kilograms/day 625.786 |

| Net Change | | -1.49 | 90 | | -0.663 | -18.282 | -520.110 |
|--------------------------------|----------------------|------------------|------|-----------------|-----------------|---------|----------|
| Step 8: Calculate net emission | ons change in kilog | rams per year (s | easo | nally adjusted) | | | |
| | Net change A | Avg. weekdays | 5 | Seasonal adj. | Adj. net change | | |
| | per day (kg) X | per year | Χ | factor = | in kg per year | | |
| Summer VOC Emissions | -1.490 X | 250 | Χ | 1.0188 = | -379.454 | | |
| Summer NOx Emissions | -0.663 X | 250 | Χ | 1.0188 = | -168.990 | | |
| Winter CO Emissions | -18.282 X | 250 | Χ | 0.9812 = | -4,484.497 | | |
| Summer CO2 Emissions | -520.110 X | 250 | Χ | 1.0000 | -130,027.475 | | |
| Calculate cost effectiveness | (first year cost per | kg of emissions | redu | ıced) | | | |
| Project | , Adj. ne | et change | F | First year cost | | | |
| Emission Cost | in kg | g per year = | | per kilogram | | | |
| Summer VOC \$1,393,611 | / | -379.454 = | | \$3,673 | | | |
| Summer NOx \$1,393,611 | / | -168.990 = | | \$8,247 | | | |
| Winter CO \$1,393,611 | / -4 | 1,484.497 = | | \$311 | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

0.135

0.303

Spreadsheet Template Prepared by the Office of Transportation Planning

Summer CO2 \$1,393,611

With Improvements

74.7

-130,027.475 =

3.714

105.676

CMAQ Air Quality Analysis Worksheet for Bicycle and Pedestrian Project FILL IN SHADED BOXES ONLY Municipality: Lunenburg, TIP YEAR: 2017 Fitchburg, MPO: Montachusett Leominster 606124: Reconstruction of Summer Street and North Street Project: Step 1: Calculate Estimated Reduction in Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT): If VMT reduction per year is known then go to Step 2B, if not proceed with Step 1: A. Facility Length (L): 1.6 Miles B. Service Area Radius (R): 1.0 Miles (Default = 1 Mile) C. Service Area of Community(ies) (SA): L * 2R = SA 3.2 Sq. Miles D. Total Land Area of Community(ies) (T): 83 Sq. Miles E. Service Area % of Community(ies) Land Area (LA): SA / T = LA 3.9% F. Total Population of Community(ies) (TP): 91,163 Persons G. Population Served by Facility (P): LA * TP = P 3,515 Persons H. Total Number of Households in Community(ies) (HH): 35.544 НН I. Number of Households Served by Facility (HS): LA * HH = HS 1,370 НН J. Total Number of Workers Residing in Community(ies) (W): 44,992 Persons K. Workers Per household (WPHH): W / HH = WPHH 1.27 Persons L. Workers in Service Area (WSA): HS * WPHH = WSA 1.735 Persons M. Population Density of the Service area (PD): P / SA = PD 1,098 Persons Per Sq. Mile N. If the bicycle and pedestrian commuter mode share is known, enter the percentage at the right. (BMS) 1.6% If not, use the 2000 US Census Journey to Work data to determine the mode share and enter the percentage to the right. O. Bike and Ped. Work Utilitarian Trips (BWT): WSA * BMS = BWT 28 One-Way Trips P. Bike and Ped. Non-Work Utilitarian Trips (BNWT): BWT * 1.7 = BNWT 47 One-Way Trips (Latest planning assumptions estimate non-work utilitarian trips to be 1.7 times the work utilitarian.) Step 2: Calculate the VMT Reduction Per Day: **A.** ((2 * BWT) + (2 * BNWT)) * (0.5* L) = VMTR119.9 VMTR Per Day B. VMTR * Operating Days Per Year 1199 * 200 = 23 980 VMTR Per Year VMTR Per Year If the Vehicle Miles Traveled Reduction is known enter in the box to the right. Note: A manual entry of the VMTR will override the calculated cell. Step 3: MOBILE 6 Emission Factors for Average Commuter Travel Speed: Note: Use 35 MPH as a default if average speed is not known. Speed Used: 35 MPH 2016 Auto 2016 Auto 2016 Auto 2016 Auto Summer VOC Factor Summer NOx Factor Summer CO Factor Summer CO2 Factor grams/mile grams/mile grams/mile grams/mile 0.232 0.178 3.540 368.100 Step 4: Calculate emissions reductions in kilograms per year (Seasonally Adjusted): Summer VOC Summer NOx Summer CO Summer CO2 5.7 4.3 86.5 8,826.9 Step 5: Calculate cost effectiveness (first year cost per kg of emissions reduced) First year cost Project Emission Reduction Emission in kg per year per kilogram Cost Summer VOC \$1,393,611 5.7 = \$245,880 Summer NOx \$1,393,611 4.3 = \$320,473 Summer CO \$1,393,611 86.5 = \$16,114 Summer CO2 \$1,393,611 8.826.9 = \$158

Spreadhseet Template Prepared by the Office of Transportation Planning

CMAQ Analysis – Buy Replacement 30-Ft Bus (2)

CMAQ Analysis - Purchase - Replacement Vans (8)

CMAQ Analysis - Purchase - Bicycle Access, Facility & Equipment on Buses

2016 Regional Project Tracking

CMAQ Analysis -Rehab/Renovate - Bus Park & Ride Lot

*NOTE: Park & Ride Lot Analysis is for North Leominster Facility. Project cost is spread over FFY 2014, 2016 & 2017. Emission information was calculated for FFY 2014 only.

CMAQ Analysis - Buy Replacement <30 FT Bus (2)

2017 Regional Project Tracking

CMAQ Analysis - Purchase - Replacement Van (8)

CMAQ Analysis -Rehab/Renovate Ped Access/Walkways

2018 Regional Project Tracking

CMAQ Analysis - Purchase - Replacement Vans (8)

ATTACHMENT 1 - COMMENTS RECEIVED ON DRAFT TIP

COMMENTS AND RESPONSES

| COMMENT | RESPONSE |
|---------|----------|
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |